

# Advanced Topics in Automata

## Exercise 2

Submission: April 8, 2003

### Exercise

1. Prove that the  $R_{i,j}^k$  construction yields (in the worst case) an exponential blow up.

### Food for thought

2. Consider the languages:

$$L_n = \left\{ \{0, 1, \#\}^* \# w \# \{0, 1, \#\}^* \$ w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^n \right\}$$

We have shown that a DFW accepting  $L_n$  has at least  $2^{2^n}$  states. We gave the general idea of how to construct a concurrent AFW (an  $E, A, C$  machine) of size  $O(\log(n))$  that accepts  $L_n$ . Formalize, these ideas.

3. Let  $e$  be a regular expression and let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  be a finite set of regular expressions over a common alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\Sigma_E$  be the alphabet  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . Intuitively,  $\Sigma_E$  consists of names for the regular expressions in  $E$ . Let  $f$  be a regular expression over  $\Sigma_E$ . the regular expression  $f(E)$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is obtained by substituting  $e_i$  for  $a_i$  in  $f$ .

We say that  $f$  is an *approximation* of  $e$  with respect to  $E$  if  $L(f(E))$  is contained in  $L(e)$ . We say that  $f$  is a *rewriting* of  $e$  with respect to  $E$  if  $L(f(E))$  is equal to  $L(e)$ .

- (a) Given  $e$  and  $E$ , use the DFW for  $e$  and the NFW for the regular expressions in  $E$  (all over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ ), to construct a DFW (over the alphabet  $\Sigma_E$ ) that accepts some word iff there is a nonempty approximation of  $e$  with respect to  $E$ .
- (b) Replace every transition reading  $a_i$  in the automaton above by an automaton for  $e_i$ . Show that the resulting automaton accepts  $L(e)$  iff there is a rewriting of  $e$  with respect to  $E$ .