Nested induction and coinduction

Nils Anders Danielsson

2014-06-13





The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/EPC grant agreement n° 247219. It has also received financial support from EPSRC and (perhaps) the Swedish Research Council. This presentation does not necessarily reflect the views of the EPC or the EU. The EU is not liable for any use of the research formation.

Introduction

- ▶ Programming: Introducing hand-crafted types.
- ▶ Mathematics/logic: Defining sets/types.

Introduction

- ▶ Induction: Finite.
- ▶ Coinduction: (Potentially) infinite.
- ▶ Combinations.

Example: Liveness properties.

Induction

Induction

Rough idea

Values of a certain type are constructed by applying certain rules. The rules must only be applied a finite number of times.

Example: The natural numbers

- **▶** 0, 1, 2, 3, ...
- ▶ Two rules:

$$\frac{n:\mathbb{N}}{0:\mathbb{N}} \qquad \frac{n:\mathbb{N}}{1+n:\mathbb{N}}$$

Example: The natural numbers

Two constructors, zero and successor:

 $\frac{n\,:\,\mathbb{N}}{{\rm zero}\,:\,\mathbb{N}} \qquad \qquad \frac{n\,:\,\mathbb{N}}{{\rm suc}\,n\,:\,\mathbb{N}}$

Example: The natural numbers

Two constructors, zero and successor:

```
\frac{n : \mathbb{N}}{\mathsf{zero} : \mathbb{N}} \qquad \frac{n : \mathbb{N}}{\mathsf{suc} \; n : \mathbb{N}}
```

```
zero
suc zero
suc (suc zero)
suc (suc (suc zero))
:
```

Example: Finite lists

 $\frac{x:A \quad xs:List\ A}{\operatorname{cons}\ x\ xs:List\ A}$

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{nil} \\ \text{cons } 0 \text{ nil} \\ \text{cons } 1 \text{ nil} \\ \text{cons } 0 \text{ (cons } 1 \text{ nil)} \\ \vdots \end{array}
```

Destruction

 $program: Inductive \rightarrow Whatever$

Values in inductive types can be destructed using *iteration*, in which each constructor is uniformly replaced by a (total) function.

Destruction

```
program: Inductive \rightarrow Whatever
```

Values in inductive types can be destructed using *iteration*, in which each constructor is uniformly replaced by a (total) function.

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{cons}\ 1\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 2\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 3\ \mathsf{nil})) \\ \Downarrow \\ add\ 1\ (add\ 2\ (add\ 3\ 0)) \end{array}
```

Destruction

```
program: Inductive \rightarrow Whatever
```

Values in inductive types can be destructed using *iteration*, in which each constructor is uniformly replaced by a (total) function.

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{cons} 1 \; (\operatorname{cons} 2 \; (\operatorname{cons} 3 \; \operatorname{nil})) \\ \Downarrow \\ 1 + (2 + (3 + 0)) \end{array}$$

```
\begin{array}{lll} sum &: List \: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \: \mathrm{nil} &= 0 \\ sum \: (\mathrm{cons} \: x \: xs) \: = \: x + sum \: xs \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum \,:\, List \, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \, \operatorname{nil} &= 0 \\ sum \, (\operatorname{cons} x \, xs) \,=\, x + sum \, xs \end{array}
```

$$sum (\underline{\mathsf{cons}} \ 5 \ (\mathsf{cons} \ 3 \ \mathsf{nil})) =$$

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum : List \, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \, \operatorname{nil} &= 0 \\ sum \, (\operatorname{cons} x \, xs) \, = \, x + sum \, xs \end{array}
```

$$sum (cons 5 (cons 3 nil)) = 5 + sum (cons 3 nil) =$$

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum : List \, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \, \operatorname{nil} &= 0 \\ sum \, (\operatorname{cons} x \, xs) \, = \, x + sum \, xs \end{array}
```

$$sum (cons 5 (cons 3 nil)) = 5 + sum (cons 3 nil) = 5 + (3 + sum nil) =$$

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum \ : List \ \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \ \mathrm{nil} & = \ 0 \\ sum \ (\mathrm{cons} \ x \ xs) \ = \ x + sum \ xs \end{array}
```

$$\begin{array}{ll} sum \; (\underline{\mathsf{cons}} \; 5 \; (\mathsf{cons} \; 3 \; \mathsf{nil})) \; = \\ 5 + sum \; (\underline{\mathsf{cons}} \; 3 \; \mathsf{nil}) & = \\ 5 + (3 + sum \; \underline{\mathsf{nil}}) & = \\ 5 + (3 + 0) & = \end{array}$$

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum : List \, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ sum \, \operatorname{nil} &= 0 \\ sum \, (\operatorname{cons} x \, xs) \, = \, x + sum \, xs \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} sum \; (\underline{\mathsf{cons}} \; 5 \; (\mathsf{cons} \; 3 \; \mathsf{nil})) \; = \\ 5 + sum \; (\underline{\mathsf{cons}} \; 3 \; \mathsf{nil}) & = \\ 5 + (3 + sum \; \underline{\mathsf{nil}}) & = \\ 5 + (3 + 0) & = \\ 8 \end{array}
```

Iteration

Scheme for lists:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f: List \: A \to X \\ f \: \text{nil} &= n \\ f \: (\cos x \: xs) \: = \: c \: x \: (f \: xs) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} f \: (\cos 5 \: (\cos 3 \: \text{nil})) \: = \\ c \: 5 \: (c \: 3 \: n) \end{array}$$

```
\begin{array}{ccc} primes : List \: \mathbb{N} \to List \: \mathbb{N} \\ primes \: \text{nil} &= \: \text{nil} \\ primes \: (\text{cons} \: x \: xs) \: = & \\ & \quad \textbf{if} \: prime \: x \: \textbf{then} \: \text{cons} \: x \: (primes \: xs) \\ & \quad \textbf{else} & \quad primes \: xs \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} bad : List \ A \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ bad \ \mathrm{nil} &= 0 \\ bad \ (\mathsf{cons} \ x \ xs) \ = \ bad \ (\mathsf{cons} \ x \ xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} bad \,:\, List\; A \to \mathbb{N} \\ bad\; \mathrm{nil} &=\; 0 \\ bad\; (\mathrm{cons}\; x\; xs) \,=\, bad\; (\mathrm{cons}\; x\; xs) \end{array}
```

bad (cons 0 nil) =

```
\begin{array}{ll} bad \,:\, List\,\,A \to \mathbb{N} \\ bad\,\, \mathrm{nil} &=\, 0 \\ bad\,\, (\mathrm{cons}\,\,x\,\,xs) \,=\, bad\,\, (\mathrm{cons}\,\,x\,\,xs) \\ \\ bad\,\, (\mathrm{cons}\,\,0\,\,\mathrm{nil}) \,=\, \\ bad\,\, (\mathrm{cons}\,\,0\,\,\mathrm{nil}) \,=\, \\ \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} bad : List \: A \to \mathbb{N} \\ bad \: \operatorname{nil} &= 0 \\ bad \: (\operatorname{cons} x \: xs) \: = \: bad \: (\operatorname{cons} x \: xs) \\ \\ bad \: (\operatorname{cons} 0 \: \operatorname{nil}) \: = \\ bad \: (\operatorname{cons} 0 \: \operatorname{nil}) \: = \\ \vdots \end{array}
```

Non-termination

- ▶ Especially bad in (certain) logics: 2 + 2 = 5.
- ▶ Iteration guarantees termination.
- Iteration can be awkward.
 Many other recursion schemes exist.

Induction

Inductive definitions are very common in computer science:

- ▶ Data types (functional programming).
- ▶ Predicates used to state program correctness: "the list *xs* contains only primes".
- ▶ Semantics (meaning) of programs.
- Syntax of programs.
- ▶ Type systems.
- **.**..

Coinduction

Coinduction

Dual to induction:

	Induction	Coinduction
Basic concept	Constructors	Destructors
_		Construct values
	(recursion)	(corecursion)

Coinduction

Rough idea

Values of a certain type are *destructed* by applying certain rules. The rules must only be applied a finite number of times.

Example: Infinite streams

Two destructors, head and tail:

```
\frac{xs : Stream \ A}{\mathsf{head} \ xs : A} \qquad \frac{xs : Stream \ A}{\mathsf{tail} \ xs : Stream \ A}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{head}\,xs & = \, 0 \\ \operatorname{head}\,(\operatorname{tail}\,xs) & = \, 1 \\ \operatorname{head}\,(\operatorname{tail}\,(\operatorname{tail}\,xs)) & = \, 2 \\ \vdots \\ xs & = \, 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{array}
```

Construction

 $program \,:\, Whatever \rightarrow Coinductive$

Values in coinductive types can be constructed using *coiteration*, in which each destructor is uniformly replaced by a (total) function.

Coiteration

Scheme for streams:

```
\begin{array}{l} f: X \rightarrow Stream \ A \\ \text{head} \ (f \ x) \ = \ h \ x \\ \text{tail} \ \ (f \ x) \ = \ f \ (t \ x) \\ \\ \text{head} \ (\text{tail} \ (\text{tail} \ (f \ x))) \ = \\ h \ \ \ (t \ \ (t \ \ x)) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} nats \,:\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \mathsf{head} \,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\,=\,\, n \\ \mathsf{tail} \,\,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\,=\,\, nats \,\, (1+n) \end{array}
```

 $= n, 1+n, 2+n, \dots$

nats n

```
\begin{array}{ll} nats \,:\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\, = \,\, n \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\, = \,\, nats \,\, (1+n) \\ \\ nats \,\, n &= \,\, n, \,\, 1+n, \,\, 2+n, \,\, \dots \\ nats \,\, (1+n) \,\, = \,\, 1+n, \,\, 2+n, \,\, \dots \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} nats \,:\, \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\, = \,\, n \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (nats \,\, n) \,\, = \,\, nats \,\, (1+n) \\ \\ nats \,\, n \qquad \qquad = \,\, n, \,\, 1+n, \,\, 2+n, \,\, \ldots \\ nats \,\, (1+n) \,\, = \,\, 1+n, \,\, 2+n, \,\, \ldots \end{array}
```

head (tail (nats 0)) =

```
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}
head (nats n) = n
tail (nats n) = nats (1+n)
nats n = n, 1+n, 2+n, ...
nats (1+n) = 1+n, 2+n, ...
head ( tail ( nats 0)) =
head ( tail (nats (1 + 0))) =
```

```
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}
head (nats n) = n
tail (nats n) = nats (1+n)
nats n = n, 1+n, 2+n, ...
nats (1+n) = 1+n, 2+n, ...
\mathsf{head}\;(\qquad \mathsf{tail}\;(\;nats\;0)))\;=\;
\mathsf{head}\;(\qquad \quad \underline{\mathsf{tail}}\;(nats\;(1+\qquad \  \, 0)))\;=\;
\frac{\text{head}}{\text{head}} (nats (1 + (1 + 0))) =
```

```
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}
head (nats n) = n
tail (nats n) = nats (1+n)
nats n = n, 1+n, 2+n, ...
nats (1+n) = 1+n, 2+n, \dots
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{head} \; (& \operatorname{tail} \; (\; \operatorname{nats} \; 0))) \; = \\ \operatorname{head} \; (& \operatorname{\underline{tail}} \; (\operatorname{nats} \; (1 + & 0))) \; = \\ \operatorname{\underline{head}} \; (\operatorname{nats} \; (1 + & (1 + & 0))) \; = \\ & 1 + & (1 + & 0) \end{array}$$

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \; \mathbb{N} \to Stream \; \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \; (inc \; xs) \; = \; 1 + \operatorname{head} \; xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \; \; (inc \; xs) \; = \; inc \; (\operatorname{tail} \; xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, 1 \,+\, \operatorname{head} \,\, xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, inc \,\, (\operatorname{tail} \,\, xs) \end{array}
```

```
\mathsf{head}\ (\mathtt{\underline{tail}}\ (inc\ (nats\ 0)))\ =
```

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, 1 \,+\, \operatorname{head} \,\, xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, inc \,\, (\operatorname{tail} \,\, xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{head} \; (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \; (inc \; (nats \; 0))) \; = \\ \underline{\mathsf{head}} \; (inc \; (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \; (nats \; 0))) \; = \\ \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, 1 \,+\, \operatorname{head} \,\, xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, inc \,\, (\operatorname{tail} \,\, xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{head} \; (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \; (inc \; (nats \; 0))) \; = \\ \underline{\mathsf{head}} \; (inc \; (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \; (nats \; 0))) \; = \\ 1 \; + \; \mathsf{head} \; (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \; (nats \; 0)) \; = \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \to Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, 1 \,+\, \operatorname{head} \,\, xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \,\,\, (inc \,\, xs) \,\,=\,\, inc \,\, (\operatorname{tail} \,\, xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{head} \ (\underline{\mathsf{tail}} \ (inc \ (nats \ 0))) \ = \\ \underline{\mathsf{head}} \ (inc \ (\mathsf{tail} \ (nats \ 0))) \ = \\ 1 + \mathsf{head} \ (\mathsf{tail} \ (nats \ 0)) \ = \\ 1 + 1 \end{array}
```

```
bad: Stream \mathbb{N}

bad bad = 0

bad = tail bad
```

```
bad: Stream \mathbb{N}

bad bad = 0

bad = tail bad
```

```
head (\underline{tail} \ bad) =
```

```
bad: Stream \mathbb{N}

bad bad = 0

bad = tail bad
```

```
head (\underline{tail} \ bad) = \\ head (\underline{tail} \ bad) = \\
```

```
bad: Stream \ \mathbb{N}
head \ bad = 0
tail \ bad = tail \ bad
head \ (\underline{tail} \ bad) = head \ (\underline{tail} \ bad) = \vdots
```

Coinduction

using

constructors

Coinduction

Rough idea

Values of a certain type are constructed by applying certain rules.

Example: Infinite streams

$$\frac{x\,:\,A\qquad xs\,:\,Stream\;A}{\cos x\;xs\,:\,Stream\;A}$$

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{cons}\ 0\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 1\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 2\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 3\ ...))) \\ \mathsf{cons}\ 2\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 3\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 5\ (\mathsf{cons}\ 7\ ...))) \\ \vdots \end{array}
```

Destructors

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{head}\;(\mathsf{cons}\;x\;xs)\;=\;x\\ \mathsf{tail}\;\;(\mathsf{cons}\;x\;xs)\;=\;xs \end{array}
```

Coiteration

Scheme for streams:

```
\begin{array}{ll} f: X \rightarrow Stream \ A \\ f \ x \ = \ \cos \left(h \ x\right) \left(f \ (t \ x)\right) \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} f \ x \\ = \cos \left(h \ x\right) \left(f \ (t \ x)\right) \\ = \cos \left(h \ x\right) \left(\cos \left(h \ (t \ x)\right) \left(f \ (t \ (t \ x))\right)\right) \ = \\ \vdots \end{array}
```

Coiteration

Productivity

It is always possible to compute the next constructor in a finite number of steps.

```
nats : \mathbb{N} \to Stream \ \mathbb{N}
nats \ n = \operatorname{cons} n \ (nats \ (1+n))
```

$$nats : \mathbb{N} \to Stream \ \mathbb{N}$$

$$nats \ n = \cos n \ (nats \ (1+n))$$

nats 0

$$nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}$$
 $nats n = \cos n (nats (1 + n))$
 $nats 0 = \cos 0 (nats 1) =$

```
\begin{array}{ll} nats \,:\, \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Stream \,\, \mathbb{N} \\ nats \,\, n \,\, = \,\, \mathsf{cons} \,\, n \,\, (nats \,\, (1+n)) \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} nats \,\, 0 & = \\ \mathsf{cons} \,\, 0 \,\, (nats \,\, 1) & = \\ \mathsf{cons} \,\, 0 \,\, (\mathsf{cons} \,\, 1 \,\, (nats \,\, 2)) & = \end{array}
```

```
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream
```

```
nats: \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}
nats n = cons n (nats (1 + n))
nats 0
cons 0 (nats 1)
cons \ 0 \ (cons \ 1 \ (nats \ 2))
cons \ 0 \ (cons \ 1 \ (cons \ 2 \ (nats \ 3)))
```

 $inc: Stream \ \mathbb{N} \to Stream \ \mathbb{N}$ $inc \ (\cos x \ xs) = \cos (1+x) \ (inc \ xs)$

```
\begin{array}{l} inc \,:\, Stream \; \mathbb{N} \to Stream \; \mathbb{N} \\ inc \; (\mathsf{cons} \; x \; xs) \; = \; \mathsf{cons} \; (1+x) \; (inc \; xs) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} inc \,:\, Stream \; \mathbb{N} \to Stream \; \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{head} \; (inc \; xs) \; = \; 1 + \operatorname{head} \; xs \\ \operatorname{tail} \; \; (inc \; xs) \; = \; inc \; (\operatorname{tail} \; xs) \end{array}
```

```
primes: Stream \mathbb{N} \to Stream \mathbb{N}

primes (cons x xs) =

if prime x then cons x (primes xs)

else primes xs
```

Example: Potentially infinite lists

```
\frac{x:A \quad xs:Colist\ A}{\operatorname{cons}\ x\ xs:Colist\ A} \frac{\operatorname{nil}}{\operatorname{cons}\ 0\ \operatorname{nil}} \operatorname{cons}\ 0\ (\operatorname{cons}\ 1\ \operatorname{nil})
```

cons 0 (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 ...)))

Coinduction

Examples of uses of coinductive definitions in computer science:

- ▶ Data types.
- ▶ Predicates used to state program correctness: "the stream xs contains only primes".
- ▶ Modelling of abstract data types.
- ▶ Non-terminating programs in total languages.
- ▶ Semantics (meaning) of non-termination.
- **.**..

Nested induction

and coinduction

- ightharpoonup SP: Representation of stream processors.
- $ightharpoonup run: SP
 ightarrow Stream \ Bit
 ightarrow Stream \ Bit$

$$\frac{x:SP \quad y:SP}{\text{get } x\,y:SP} \qquad \frac{b:Bit \quad x:SP}{\text{put } b\,x:SP}$$

- ightharpoonup get x y: Read one bit, continue as x if 0, y if 1.
- ightharpoonup put b x: Write b, continue as x.

```
copy : SP
copy = get (put 0 copy) (put 1 copy)
not : SP
not = get (put 1 not) (put 0 not)
```

```
copy: SP copy = get (put 0 copy) (put 1 copy) not: SP not = get (put 1 not) (put 0 not)
```

Are these definitions OK?

How should this mixed definition be interpreted?

 $\frac{x:SP \quad y:SP}{\text{get } x \ y:SP} \qquad \frac{b:Bit \quad x:SP}{\text{put } b \ x:SP}$

How should this mixed definition be interpreted?

$$\frac{x:SP \quad y:SP}{\text{get } x\:y:SP} \qquad \frac{b:Bit \quad x:SP}{\text{put } b\:x:SP}$$

Outer inductive definition, inner coinductive one:

- ▶ Only finite number of gets.
- ► Cannot define *copy* or *not*.

How should this mixed definition be interpreted?

$$\frac{x:SP \quad y:SP}{\text{get } x\:y:SP} \qquad \frac{b:Bit \quad x:SP}{\text{put } b\:x:SP}$$

Outer coinductive definition, inner inductive one:

- ▶ Only finite number of *consecutive* gets.
- ▶ Total number of gets can be infinite.
- ► Can define *copy* and *not*.

```
\begin{array}{ll} run \,:\, SP \to Stream \; Bit \to Stream \; Bit \\ run \; (\mathsf{get} \; x \; y) \; (\mathsf{cons} \; 0 \; bs) \; = \; run \; x \; bs \\ run \; (\mathsf{get} \; x \; y) \; (\mathsf{cons} \; 1 \; bs) \; = \; run \; y \; bs \\ run \; (\mathsf{put} \; b \; x) \; bs \; \; = \; \mathsf{cons} \; b \; (run \; x \; bs) \end{array}
```

What if get were coinductive?

$$\frac{x:SP \quad y:SP}{\text{get } x\:y:SP} \qquad \frac{b:Bit \quad x:SP}{\text{put } b\:x:SP}$$

Could define *sink*:

```
sink : SP
sink = get sink sink
```

Could not define run: not productive.

By combining induction and coinduction, rather than using only coinduction:

- ▶ Fewer stream processors allowed.
- ▶ But can define *run*.

Trade-off: Less data, more functions.

Nested induction and coinduction

Other examples:

- ▶ Parser combinators.
- ▶ Program equivalences.
- Subtyping.
- **.**..

Summary

- ▶ Induction: Finite.
- ► Coinduction: (Potentially) infinite.
- Nested induction and coinduction:
 Both finite and infinite.
 Precise control over size of data.

Bonus slides

Example: Potentially infinite lists

 $\frac{x:A \quad xs:O}{\mathsf{nil}:Colist_{\mathsf{i}}\ A\ O} \quad \frac{x:A \quad xs:O}{\mathsf{cons}\ x\ xs:Colist_{\mathsf{i}}\ A\ O}$

 $\frac{xs \, : \, Colist \, A}{\mathsf{destruct} \, \, xs \, : \, Colist_{\mathsf{i}} \, A \, (Colist \, A)}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{destruct}\; xs \; = \; \operatorname{cons}\; 0\; ys \\ \operatorname{destruct}\; ys \; = \; \operatorname{cons}\; 1\; zs \\ \operatorname{destruct}\; zs \; = \; \operatorname{nil} \\ xs \; = \; 0,1 \end{array}$

Outer coinductive definition, inner inductive one:

$$\frac{x\,:\,SP_{\,\mathrm{i}}\,O\qquad y\,:\,SP_{\,\mathrm{i}}\,O}{\mathrm{get}\,x\,y\,:\,SP_{\,\mathrm{i}}\,O}\qquad \frac{b\,:\,Bit\qquad x\,:\,O}{\mathrm{put}\,b\,x\,:\,SP_{\,\mathrm{i}}\,O}$$

$$\frac{x:SP}{\mathsf{destruct}\;x:SP_{\mathsf{i}}\;SP}$$

Outer inductive definition, inner coinductive one:

$$\frac{x\,:\,O\qquad y\,:\,O}{\text{get}\;x\;y\,:\,SP_{\mathsf{i}}\;O}\qquad \frac{b\,:\,Bit\qquad x\,:\,SP_{\mathsf{i}}\;O}{\text{put}\;b\;x\,:\,SP_{\mathsf{i}}\;O}$$

$$\frac{x: SP_{\mathsf{i}} SP}{\mathsf{construct} \ x: SP}$$

Example:

Bit streams with a finite number of ones

Outer inductive definition, inner coinductive one: