

# Automated Deduction

Laura Kovács

TU Wien

# Outline

Unification and Lifting

# Substitution

- ▶ A **substitution**  $\theta$  is a mapping from variables to terms such that the set  $\{x \mid \theta(x) \neq x\}$  is finite.
- ▶ This set is called the **domain** of  $\theta$ .
- ▶ Notation:  $\{x_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto t_n\}$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are pairwise different variables, denotes the substitution  $\theta$  such that

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } x = x_i; \\ x & \text{if } x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Application of this substitution to an expression**  $E$ : simultaneous replacement of  $x_i$  by  $t_i$ .
- ▶ Application of a substitution  $\theta$  to  $E$  is denoted by  $E\theta$ .
- ▶ Since substitutions are functions, we can define their **composition** (written  $\sigma\tau$  instead of  $\tau \circ \sigma$ ). Note that we have  $E(\sigma\tau) = (E\sigma)\tau$ .

# Example

Consider:

$$E = p(x, y, f(a))$$

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto b, y \mapsto x\}$$

What is  $E\theta$ ?

# Substitution composition

Suppose we have two substitutions

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \{x_1 \mapsto s_1, \dots, x_m \mapsto s_m\} \text{ and} \\ \theta_2 &= \{y_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto t_n\}.\end{aligned}$$

How can we compute their composition  $\theta_1\theta_2$ ?

The substitution  $\theta_1\theta_2$  is obtained from the set:

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_1 \mapsto s_1\theta_2, \dots, x_m \mapsto s_m\theta_2, \\ y_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto t_n\},\end{aligned}$$

by deleting

- ▶ all  $y_i \mapsto t_i$  with  $y_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ,
- ▶ all  $x_i \mapsto s_i\theta_2$  with  $x_i = s_i\theta_2$ .

# Example

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \{x \mapsto f(y), y \mapsto z\}, \\ \theta_2 &= \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, z \mapsto y\}.\end{aligned}$$

What is  $\theta_1\theta_2$ ?

# Instances, Ground

An **instance** of an expression (that is term, atom, literal, or clause)  $E$  is obtained by applying a substitution to  $E$ . Examples:

- ▶ some instances of the term  $f(x, a, g(x))$  are:  
 $f(x, a, g(x))$ ,  
 $f(y, a, g(y))$ ,  
 $f(a, a, g(a))$ ,  
 $f(g(b), a, g(g(b)))$ ;
- ▶ but the term  $f(b, a, g(c))$  is not an instance of this term.

**Ground instance:** instance with no variables.

# Herbrand's Theorem

For a set of clauses  $S$  denote by  $S^*$  the set of ground instances of clauses in  $S$ .

**Theorem** Let  $\Sigma$  be a signature with at least one constant symbol and  $S$  be a set of (universal) clauses over  $\Sigma$ . The following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $S$  is unsatisfiable;
2.  $S^*$  is unsatisfiable;

By compactness of first-order logic the last condition is equivalent to

3. there exists a finite unsatisfiable set of ground instances of clauses in  $S$ .

The theorem reduces the problem of checking unsatisfiability of sets of arbitrary clauses to checking unsatisfiability of sets of ground clauses ...

The only problem is that  $S^*$  can be infinite even if  $S$  is finite.



# Lifting

**Lifting** is a technique for proving completeness theorems in the following way:

1. Prove completeness of the system for a set of **ground** clauses;
2. **Lift** the proof to the non-ground case.

## Lifting, Example

Consider two (non-ground) clauses  $p(x, a) \vee q_1(x)$  and  $\neg p(y, z) \vee q_2(y, z)$ . If the signature contains function symbols, then both clauses have infinite sets of instances:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \{p(r, a) \vee q_1(r) & r \text{ is ground}\} \\ \{\neg p(s, t) \vee q_2(s, t) & s, t \text{ are ground}\} \end{array}$$

We can resolve such instances if and only if  $r = s$  and  $t = a$ . Then we can apply the following inference

$$\frac{p(s, a) \vee q_1(s) \quad \neg p(s, a) \vee q_2(s, a)}{q_1(s) \vee q_2(s, a)} \text{ (BR)}$$

But there is an infinite number of such inferences.

## Lifting, Idea

The idea is to represent an **infinite number of ground inferences** of the form

$$\frac{p(s, a) \vee q_1(s) \quad \neg p(s, a) \vee q_2(s, a)}{q_1(s) \vee q_2(s, a)} \text{ (BR)}$$

by a **single non-ground inference**

$$\frac{p(x, a) \vee q_1(x) \quad \neg p(y, z) \vee q_2(y, z)}{q_1(y) \vee q_2(y, a)} \text{ (BR)}$$

Is this always possible?

Yes!

$$\frac{p(x, a) \vee q_1(x) \quad \neg p(y, z) \vee q_2(y, z)}{q_1(y) \vee q_2(y, a)} \text{ (BR)}$$

Note that the substitution  $\{x \mapsto y, z \mapsto a\}$  is a solution of the “equation”  $p(x, a) = p(y, z)$ .

# What should we lift?

- ▶ Ordering  $\succ$ ;
- ▶ Selection function  $\sigma$ ;
- ▶ Calculus  $\text{Sup}_{\succ, \sigma}$ .

Most importantly, for the lifting to work we should be able to **solve equations**  $s = t$  between terms and between atoms. This can be done using **most general unifiers**.