

HITs in cubical sets

Spheres, syntactical presentation

We define the circle S^1 by the rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash S^1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{base} : S^1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{loop} r : S^1}$$

with the equalities $\mathbf{loop} 0 = \mathbf{loop} 1 = \mathbf{base}$.

Since we want to represent the *free* type with one base point and a loop, we add composition as a *constructor* operation \mathbf{hcomp}^i (which bounds i in u)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : S^1 \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : S^1[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : S^1[\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

Given a dependent type $x : S^1 \vdash A$ and $a : A(x/\mathbf{base})$ and $l : \mathbf{Path}^i A(x/\mathbf{loop} i)$ a a we can define a function $g : \Pi(x : S^1)A$ by the equations¹

$$g \mathbf{base} = a \quad g (\mathbf{loop} r) = l r$$

This definition is non ambiguous since $l 0 = l 1 = a$ and we get *judgemental* computation rules. Finally

$$g (\mathbf{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) = \mathbf{comp}^i A(x/v) [\varphi \mapsto g u] (g u_0)$$

where $v = \mathbf{fill}^i S^1 [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 = \mathbf{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u(i/i \wedge j), (i=0) \mapsto u_0] u_0$.

We have a similar definition for S^n taking as constructors \mathbf{base} and $\mathbf{loop} r_1 \dots r_n$.

Spheres, semantical presentation

We suppose to have a fresh name function on the set of names, with $\mathbf{fresh}(I)$ being a name not in I , and we write $I^+ = I, \mathbf{fresh}(I)$. We can define in a functorial way $f^+ : J^+ \rightarrow I^+$ extending $f : J \rightarrow I$ by sending $\mathbf{fresh}(I)$ to $\mathbf{fresh}(J)$. We also have for natural transformations the projection $p : I^+ \rightarrow I$ and the map $0 : I \rightarrow I^+$ (resp. $1 : I \rightarrow I^+$) sending $\mathbf{fresh}(I)$ to 0 (resp. 1).

A cubical set X is defined to be a family of sets $X(I)$ with restriction maps $X(I) \rightarrow X(J)$, $u \mapsto uf$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$ such that $u1_I = u$ and $(uf)g = u(fg)$ if $g : K \rightarrow J$.

We define first a cubical set $X(I)$ which is an ‘‘upper approximation’’ of the circle. An element of $X(I)$ is of the form \mathbf{base} or $\mathbf{loop} r$ with $r \neq 0, 1$ in $\mathbb{I}(I)$ or of the form $\mathbf{hcomp} [\psi \mapsto u] u_0$ with $\psi \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{F}(I)$ and u_0 in $X(I)$ and u a family of elements u_f in $X(J^+)$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$ such that $\psi f = 1$. In this way an element of $X(I)$ can be seen as a well-founded tree. We can define uf in $X(J)$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$ by induction on u . We take $\mathbf{base} f = \mathbf{base}$ and $(\mathbf{loop} r)f = \mathbf{loop} (rf)$ if $rf \neq 0, 1$ and $(\mathbf{loop} r)f = \mathbf{base}$ if rf is 0 or 1 . Finally $(\mathbf{hcomp} [\psi \mapsto u] u_0)f$ if $u_f 1$ if $\psi f = 1$ and $\mathbf{hcomp} [\psi f \mapsto u f^+] (u_0 f)$ if $\psi f \neq 1$ where $u f^+$ is the family $(u f^+)_g = u_{fg}$ for $g : K \rightarrow J$. This defines a cubical set.

We then define the subset $S^1(I) \subseteq X(I)$ by taking the elements \mathbf{base} and $\mathbf{loop} r$ and $\mathbf{hcomp} [\psi \mapsto u] u_0$ such that u_0 in $S^1(I)$ and each u_f in $S^1(J^+)$ and $u_0 f = u_f 0$ and $u_f g^+ = u_{fg}$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$ and $g : K \rightarrow J$. This defines the sub-cubical set S^1 of X .

¹For the equation $g (\mathbf{loop} r) = l r$, it may be that l and r are dependent on the same name i , and this could not work without a diagonal operation on names.

Propositional truncation, syntactical presentation

We define the propositional truncation $\|A\|$ of a type A by the rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \|A\|} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inc } a : \|A\|} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash u_0 : \|A\| \quad \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \|A\| \quad \Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{squash } u_0 u_1 r : \|A\|}$$

with the equalities $\text{squash } u_0 u_1 0 = u_0$ and $\text{squash } u_0 u_1 1 = u_1$.

As before, we add composition as a constructor, but only in the form²

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \|A\| \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \|A\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \|A\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

This provides only a definition of $\text{comp}^i \|A\| [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0$ in the case where A is independent of i , and we have to explain how to define the general case.

Given $x : \|A\| \vdash B$ and $q : \Pi(x_0 : \|A\|)(y_0 : B(x_0))(x_1 : \|A\|)(y_1 : B(x_1))\text{Path}^i B(\text{squash } x_0 x_1 i) y_0 y_1$ and $f : \Pi(x : A)B(\text{inc } x)$ we define $g : \Pi(x : \|A\|)B$ by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{inc } a) &= f a \\ g(\text{squash } u_0 u_1 r) &= q u_0 (g u_0) u_1 (g u_1) r \\ g(\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) &= \text{comp}^i B(v) [\varphi \mapsto g u] (g u_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $v = \text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u(i/i \wedge j), (i = 0) \mapsto u_0] u_0$.

Flattening an open box

We still have to define the general composition operation. We define first

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I} \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \|A(i/r)\|}{\Gamma \vdash \text{forward } r u : \|A(i/1)\| [(r = 1) \mapsto u]}$$

by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forward } r(\text{inc } a) &= \text{inc}(\text{comp}^i A(i \vee r) [(r = 1) \mapsto a] a) \\ \text{forward } r(\text{squash } u_0 u_1 s) &= \text{squash}(\text{forward } r u_0) (\text{forward } r u_1) s \\ \text{forward } r(\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) &= \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto \text{forward } r u] (\text{forward } r u_0) \end{aligned}$$

Using this operation, we can define a general composition operation³

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \|A\| \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \|A(i0)\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i \|A\| [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \|A(i1)\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

by $\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i \|A\| [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 = \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto \text{forward } i u] (\text{forward } 0 u_0) : \|A(i/1)\|$.

Propositional truncation, semantical presentation

Given $\Gamma \vdash A$ we define $\Gamma \vdash \|A\|$. For this, we define first an ‘‘upper approximation’’ $\Gamma \vdash X$. An element of $X(I, \rho)$ is of the form $\text{inc } a$ with a in $A(I, \rho)$ or $\text{squash } u_0 u_1 r$ with $r \neq 0, 1$ in $\mathbb{I}(I)$ and u_0 in $X(I, \rho)$ and u_1 in $X(I, \rho)$ or of the form $\text{hcomp} [\psi \mapsto u] u_0$ with $\psi \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{F}(I)$ and u_0 in $X(I, \rho)$ and u a family of elements u_f in $X(J^+, \rho f p)$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$ such that $\psi f = 1$. Each element in $X(I, \rho)$ can be seen as a well-founded tree.

We can then define $u f$ in $X(J, \rho f)$ for u in $X(I, \rho)$ and $f : J \rightarrow I$ by induction on u in such a way that $(u f) g = u(f g)$ and $u 1_I = u$.

We define then $\|A\|$ to be the subpresheaf of X by taking $\|A\|(I, \rho)$ to be the subset of elements $\text{inc } a$ or $\text{squash } u_0 u_1 r$ with u_0 and u_1 in $\|A\|(I, \rho)$ and $\text{hcomp} [\psi \mapsto u] u_0$ with u_0 in $\|A\|(I, \rho)$ and $u_f 0 = u_0 f$ and each u_f in $\|A\|(J^+, \rho f p)$ and $u_f g^+ = u_f g$ for $g : J \rightarrow K$.

It is then possible to define a composition structure for $\Gamma \vdash \|A\|$ if we have a composition structure for $\Gamma \vdash A$ exactly as it is done syntactically.

²This restriction on the constructor is essential for the justification of the elimination rule, as explained in the Comments at the end.

³The open box is given by $\varphi \mapsto u$ and u_0 and it is flattened in the $\|A(i/1)\|$ type by the forward operation.

Universes

To any Grothendieck universe \mathcal{U} , we can associate a corresponding universe U by taking $U(I)$ to be the set of all \mathcal{U} -small dependent types $I \vdash A$ with a composition structure. This defines a univalent universe.

Having defined an operation $I \vdash \|A\|$ for $I \vdash A$, we can use the same operation to define a function $U \rightarrow U$, $A \mapsto \|A\|$, since $I \vdash \|A\|$ is \mathcal{U} -small if $I \vdash A$ is. This means that we have defined a univalent universe which is stable by proposition truncation.

We expect that the same method of defining a composition by “flattening an open box” can be used to define other higher inductive types (suspension, push-out, ...). It avoids coherence issues, and an application is that the addition of higher inductive types and univalence to type theory does not raise its proof-theoretic power. Indeed, all we do can be modelled in Aczel’s system $\text{CZFu}_{<\omega}$, which is interpretable in type theory with universes.

Comments

Flattening open boxes

One key step is the restriction of the constructor to the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \|T\| \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \|T\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \|T\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

instead of representing directly composition as a constructor (which is what we tried first to implement)

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash T \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \|T\| \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \|T(i/0)\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \|T(i/1)\| [\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

Indeed, with this later choice, it does not seem possible to define even a non dependent function $g : \|A\| \rightarrow B$ given $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $q : \Pi(x y : B)B$. We can define $g(\text{inc } a) = f a$ and $g(\text{squash } u_0 u_1 r) = q(g u_0)(g u_1) r$ but it is not clear how to define $g(\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0)$ since we only know at this point that we have some path $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash T$ such that $A = T(i/1)$ and $u_0 : T(i/0)$ and there is no way to apply an induction for defining $g(\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0)$.

Inductive definition

We have used a generalized inductive definition in the definition of $S^1(I)$. Actually, it is possible to see each element of $S^1(I)$ as a finite object, since a partial element u of extent ψ , which is a family u_f in $S^1(J)$ for each $f : J \rightarrow I$ such that $\psi f = 1$, is actually completely determined by the finite set of elements u_f where f is a face map (J is a subset of I and $f(i)$ can only take the value i or 0 or 1).

Suspension

Note that suspension is actually “simpler” than propositional truncation. We define $\text{susp } A$ by the rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{susp } A} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{north} : \text{susp } A} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{south} : \text{susp } A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{merid } a r : \text{susp } A}$$

with the equalities $\text{merid } u 0 = \text{north}$ and $\text{merid } u 1 = \text{south}$.

As before, we add composition as a constructor, but only in the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{susp } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{susp } A [\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \text{susp } A [\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

Given $x : \text{susp } A \vdash B$ and y_N in $B(\text{north})$ and y_S in $B(\text{south})$ and $q : \Pi(x : A)\text{Path}^i B(\text{merid } x i) y_N y_S$, we define $g : \Pi(x : \text{susp } A)B$ by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} g \text{ north} &= y_N \\ g \text{ south} &= y_S \\ g(\text{merid } a r) &= q a r \\ g(\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) &= \text{comp}^i B(v) [\varphi \mapsto g u] (g u_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $v = \text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u(i/i \wedge j), (i = 0) \mapsto u_0] u_0$.

For defining the general composition operation, we define first

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I} \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \text{susp } A(i/r)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{forward } r \ u : \text{susp } A(i/1)[(r = 1) \mapsto u]}$$

by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forward } r \ \text{north} &= \text{north} \\ \text{forward } r \ \text{south} &= \text{south} \\ \text{forward } r \ (\text{merid } a \ s) &= \text{merid } (\text{comp}^i A(i \vee r) [(r = 1) \mapsto a] a) \ s \\ \text{forward } r \ (\text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) &= \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto \text{forward } r \ u] (\text{forward } r \ u_0) \end{aligned}$$

Using this operation, we can define a general composition operation⁴

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{susp } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{susp } A(i0)[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i (\text{susp } A) [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \text{susp } A(i1)[\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

by $\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i (\text{susp } A) [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 = \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto \text{forward } i \ u] (\text{forward } 0 \ u_0) : \text{susp } A(i/1)$.

⁴The open box is given by $\varphi \mapsto u$ and u_0 and it is flattened in the $\text{susp } A(i/1)$ type by the `forward` operation.