

A remark on impredicativity

The first version of the calculus of constructions (March 1984) was based on combinators. It was a rather direct extension of de Bruijn language PAL. The syntax was the following

$$t, A ::= k \mid [x : A]t \mid * \quad k ::= c \mid x \mid k t$$

A context Γ, Δ is a list of type declarations $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ and the rules are

$$\frac{x : A \text{ in } \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad \frac{c : A}{\Gamma \vdash c : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash k : [x : A]B \quad \Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash k t : B(t)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B : *}{\Gamma \vdash [x : A]B : *}$$

The rule of context extensions are the following

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : *}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash *} \quad \frac{}{\vdash *} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, x : C \vdash *} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C}{\Gamma \vdash [x : A]C}$$

Finally, if we have $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B$ we can introduce a new constant

$$c : [x_1 : A_1] \dots [x_n : A_n] B$$

with the computation rule

$$c x_1 \dots x_n = t$$

Examples

We define $N : *$

$$N = [A : *][a : A][f : [x : A]A]A$$

We have $A : *, a : A, f : [x : A]A \vdash a : A$.

Hence we can introduce $0 : N$ by the equation $0 A a f = a$.

We have $n : N, A : *, a : A, f : [x : A]A \vdash f (n A f a) : A$.

Hence we can introduce $S : [n : N]N$ by the equation $S n A f a = f (n A f a)$.