

Coinduction in Agda using Copatterns and Sized Types

Andreas Abel¹

with James Chapman, Brigitte Pientka, Anton Setzer, David Thibodeau

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Gothenburg University, Sweden

Types for Proofs and Programs, TYPES 2014
Part of IHP Trimester on Proofs
15 May 2014

Copatterns

- Copatterns: “invented” to integrate sized coinductive types with pattern matching.
- Inspired by coalgebraic approach to coinduction (Anton Setzer).
- “Solved” the subject reduction problem of dependent matching on codata.
- Operational semantics is WYSIWYG.
- Implemented in Agda 2.3.4 (forthcoming).

Coalgebras

- Copatterns = pattern matching for coalgebras.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{s} & F(A) \\
 \text{coit}(s) \downarrow & & \downarrow F(\text{coit}(s)) \\
 \nu F & \xrightarrow{\text{force}} & F(\nu F)
 \end{array}$$

- Computation: Only unfold infinite object on demand.

$$\text{force}(\text{coit } s \ a) = F(\text{coit } s) (s \ a)$$

Copatterns: Syntax

- Elimination contexts (spines):

$$\begin{array}{l}
 E ::= \bullet \quad \text{head} \\
 \quad | \quad E t \quad \text{application} \\
 \quad | \quad \pi E \quad \text{projection}
 \end{array}$$

- Copatterns = pattern matching elimination contexts.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 Q ::= \bullet \quad \text{head} \\
 \quad | \quad Q p \quad \text{application pattern} \\
 \quad | \quad \pi Q \quad \text{projection pattern}
 \end{array}$$

- Rule $Q[f] = t$ fires if copattern matches elimination context.

$$\frac{E = Q\sigma}{E[f] \longrightarrow t\sigma}$$

Example: De Bruijn Lambda Terms and Values

```

data Tm (n : ℕ) : Set where
  var  : (x   : Fin n)      → Tm n
  abs  : (t   : Tm (suc n)) → Tm n
  app  : (r s : Tm n)      → Tm n

```

mutual

```

record Val : Set where
  constructor clos
  field      {n} : ℕ
             body : Tm (suc n)
             env  : Env n

```

Env = Vec Val

Running Example: Naive Call-By-Value Interpreter

Evaluator (draft).

mutual

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket _ : \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \text{Tm } n \rightarrow \text{Env } n \rightarrow \text{Val}$$

$$\llbracket \text{var } x \quad \rrbracket \rho = \text{lookup } x \rho$$

$$\llbracket \text{abs } t \quad \rrbracket \rho = \text{clos } t \rho$$

$$\llbracket \text{app } r \ s \rrbracket \rho = \text{apply } (\llbracket r \rrbracket \rho) (\llbracket s \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$\text{apply} : \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val}$$

$$\text{apply } (\text{clos } t \rho) \ v = \llbracket t \rrbracket (v :: \rho)$$

Of course, termination check fails!

The Coinductive Delay Monad

```
CoInductive Delay (A : Type) : Type :=
| return (a : A)
| later (a? : Delay A).
```

mutual

```
data Delay (A : Set) : Set where
  return : (a : A)      → Delay A
  later  : (a' : Delay' A) → Delay A
```

```
record Delay' (A : Set) : Set where
  coinductive
  constructor delay
  field      force : Delay A
```

open Delay' public

The Coinductive Delay Monad (Ctd.)

Nonterminating computation.

`forever` : $\forall\{A\} \rightarrow \text{Delay}' A$
`force forever` = `later forever`

Monad instance.

`mutual`

`_>=>_` : $\forall\{A B\} \rightarrow \text{Delay } A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \text{Delay } B) \rightarrow \text{Delay } B$

`return a >=> k` = `k a`

`later a' >=> k` = `later (a' >=>' k)`

`_>=>'_` : $\forall\{A B\} \rightarrow \text{Delay}' A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \text{Delay } B) \rightarrow \text{Delay}' B$

`force (a' >=>' k)` = `force a' >=> k`

Evaluation In The Delay Monad

Monadic evaluator.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket _ &: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \text{Tm } n \rightarrow \text{Env } n \rightarrow \text{Delay Val} \\ \llbracket \text{var } x \rrbracket \rho &= \text{return (lookup } x \rho) \\ \llbracket \text{abs } t \rrbracket \rho &= \text{return (clos } t \rho) \\ \llbracket \text{app } r s \rrbracket \rho &= \text{apply} (\llbracket r \rrbracket \rho) (\llbracket s \rrbracket \rho) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{apply} &: \text{Delay Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay Val} \\ \text{apply } u? v? &= u? \gg= \lambda u \rightarrow \\ &\quad v? \gg= \lambda v \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{later (apply' } u v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{apply}' &: \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay' Val} \\ \text{force (apply' (clos } t \rho) v) &= \llbracket t \rrbracket (v :: \rho) \end{aligned}$$

Not guarded by constructors!

Sized Coinductive Types

- Track guardedness in the type system (Hughes Pareto Sabry 1996).
- Size = iteration stage towards greatest fixed point.
- Deflationary iteration (F need not be monotone).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{force} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 \nu^\alpha F & \cong & \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} F(\nu^\beta F) \\
 & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & \text{delay} &
 \end{array}$$

- $\nu^0 F = \top$ universe of terms / terminal object.
- Contravariant subtyping $\nu^\alpha F \leq \nu^\beta F$ for $\alpha \geq \beta$.
- Stationary point $\nu^{\infty+1} F = \nu^\infty F$ reached for some ordinal ∞ .

Sized Coinductive Delay Monad

mutual

```

data Delay {i : Size} (A : Set) : Set where
  return  : (a : A)           → Delay {i} A
  later   : (a' : Delay' {i} A) → Delay {i} A

record Delay' {i : Size} (A : Set) : Set where
  coinductive
  constructor delay
  field      force : ∀{j : Size < i} → Delay {j} A
open Delay' public

```

- **Size** = depth = how often can we **force**?
- Not to be confused with “number of **laters**”?

Sized Coinductive Delay Monad (II)

Corecursion = induction on depth.

`forever` : $\forall\{i\} A \rightarrow \text{Delay}' \{i\} A$

`force` (`forever` $\{i\}$) $\{j\}$ = `later` (`forever` $\{j\}$)

Since $j < i$, the recursive call `forever` $\{j\}$ is justified.

Sized Coinductive Delay Monad (III)

Monadic bind preserves depth.

mutual

$$_ \gg = _ : \forall \{i A B\} \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} B) \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} B$$

$$\text{return } a \gg = k = k a$$

$$\text{later } a' \gg = k = \text{later } (a' \gg = k)$$

$$_ \gg = ' _ : \forall \{i A B\} \rightarrow \text{Delay}' \{i\} A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} B) \rightarrow \text{Delay}' \{i\} B$$

$$\text{force } (a' \gg = ' k) = \text{force } a' \gg = k$$

Depth of $a' \gg = k$ is at least minimum of depths of a' and $k a$.

Sized Corecursive Evaluator

Add sizes to type signatures.

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket _ : \forall \{i\ n\} \rightarrow \text{Tm } n \rightarrow \text{Env } n \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} \text{ Val}$$

$$\llbracket \text{var } x \rrbracket \rho = \text{return (lookup } x \rho)$$

$$\llbracket \text{abs } t \rrbracket \rho = \text{return (clos } t \rho)$$

$$\llbracket \text{app } r s \rrbracket \rho = \text{apply} (\llbracket r \rrbracket \rho) (\llbracket s \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$\text{apply} : \forall \{i\} \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} \text{ Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} \text{ Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay } \{i\} \text{ Val}$$

$$\text{apply } u? v? = u? \gg= \lambda u \rightarrow$$

$$v? \gg= \lambda v \rightarrow$$

$$\text{later (apply' } u v)$$

$$\text{apply}' : \forall \{i\} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Delay}' \{i\} \text{ Val}$$

$$\text{force (apply' (clos } t \rho) v) = \llbracket t \rrbracket (v :: \rho)$$

Termination checker is happy!

Example: Fibonacci Stream

```
record S i A : Set where
  coinductive
  field head : A
        tail  :  $\forall\{j : \text{Size} < i\} \rightarrow S\ j\ A$ 
open S
```

```
zipWith :  $\forall\{i\ A\ B\ C\} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow S\ i\ A \rightarrow S\ i\ B \rightarrow S\ i\ C$ 
head (zipWith f s t) = f (head s) (head t)
tail (zipWith f s t) = zipWith f (tail s) (tail t)
```

```
fib :  $\forall\{i\} \rightarrow S\ i\ \mathbb{N}$ 
(      (head fib)) = 0
(head (tail fib)) = 1
(tail (tail fib)) = zipWith _+_ fib (tail fib)
```

Conclusions

- Type-based termination allows for natural corecursive programming.
 - Well-founded induction works around termination checker.
 - Nice work-around productivity checker?! (Danielsson 2010: DSLs, invasive.)
- Compatible with Isomorphism-as-Equality (HoTT).
- Available now!
- Not completely for free; user needs to refine type signatures.
- Size constraint solver could be more powerful.

Related Work

- 1980/90s: Mendler, Pareto, Amadio, Giménez.
- 2000s: Barthe, Uustalu, Blanqui, Riba, Roux, Gregoire, ...
- Sacchini: LICS 2013, Coq[^].
- Coalgebraic types: Hagino (1987), Cockett: Charity (1992).
- Acknowledgment: Invitations to McGill (Pientka), Tallinn (Uustalu).