

Slide 1

# TOPOLOGY

Slide 2

# TOPOLOGY

PO  $\mapsto$  TA  
OG  $\mapsto$  IT

Slide 3

# TOTALITY

## Termination and Guardedness Checking with Continuous Types

Yet Another Approach to General Recursion

Andreas Abel

TYPES Workshop 2002

Berg en Dal, Nijmegen

April 26, 2002

Slide 4

1. Survey: Type Systems for Structural Recursion
2. Corecursion
3. Continuous Types
4. Further Work

Work supported by: PhD Programme Logic in Computer Science, Munich (DFG)

## Totality of Recursive Definitions

---

Slide 5

1. Measure-based
  - Miculan (ordered uniformities)
  - Xi 2001 [8] (DML)
2. Totality proof (supported by tactics)
  - Bove (proof of accessibility)
  - Bertot (proof of well-definedness)
3. Syntactic (fully automatic)
  - By static analysis of code (Coquand 1992 [3], Gimenez 1994 [4], Abel/Altenkirch [2])
  - *By type-checking*

## Inductive Datatypes

---

Slide 6

- E.g. natural numbers and lists

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{NatF}(X) = \text{Zero} + \text{Succ } X & \text{ListF}(A)(X) = \text{Nil} + \text{Cons } A \times X \\ \text{Nat} = \mu\text{NatF} & \text{List}(A) = \mu(\text{ListF}(A)) \\ = \mu X. \text{Zero} + \text{Succ } X & = \mu X. \text{Nil} + \text{Cons } A \times X \end{array}$$

- Least fixed-point constructed from below via *approximations*.
- $\llbracket F \rrbracket^\alpha(\emptyset)$  contains trees of height  $< \alpha$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset = \llbracket F \rrbracket^0(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots \llbracket F \rrbracket^\alpha(\emptyset) \subseteq \llbracket F \rrbracket^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots \llbracket F \rrbracket^{\omega_1}(\emptyset) & \llbracket F \rrbracket^\alpha(\emptyset) & & & & & \\ & | & & | & & & | \\ & Y & & F(Y) & & & \mu F \end{array}$$

- Basic principle: To show  $f \in \llbracket \mu F \rrbracket \rightarrow T$ , fix some  $\alpha$ , assume  $f \in \llbracket F \rrbracket^\alpha(\emptyset) \rightarrow T$  and show  $f \in \llbracket F \rrbracket^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset) \rightarrow T$ .

## Mendler (1987) [7]: Iteration

---

- Strengthening the iteration rule.

$$\frac{Y : \text{type}, g : Y \rightarrow \tau \vdash M : F(Y) \rightarrow \tau}{\text{fix } g.M : \mu F \rightarrow \tau}$$

Slide 7

- Example: map

map :  $\forall A \forall B. (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{List}(A) \rightarrow \text{List}(B)$

map =  $\lambda f. \text{fix } g^{Y \rightarrow \text{List}(B)}. \lambda l^{\text{List } F(A)(Y)}. \text{case } l \text{ of}$

Nil  $\Rightarrow$  Nil  
 | Cons( $x, xs^Y$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Cons( $f x, g xs^Y$ )

- Typing restricts argument to  $xs$  in recursive call.
- Variable  $xs$  cannot be used as a list; hence iteration.

## Mendler: Primitive Recursion

---

- Syntax for approximations: Fresh type variables  $Y$ .

$$\frac{Y \leq \mu F, g : Y \rightarrow \tau \vdash M : F(Y) \rightarrow \tau}{\text{fix } g.M : \mu F \rightarrow \tau}$$

Slide 8

- Abbreviation:  $Y^n = F^n(Y)$ .

- Example: Insertion into sorted list.

insert :  $\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{List}(\text{Nat}) \rightarrow \text{List}(\text{Nat})$

insert =  $\lambda a. \text{fix } g^{Y \rightarrow \text{List}(\text{Nat})}. \lambda l^{Y^1}. \text{case } l \text{ of}$

Nil  $\Rightarrow$  Cons( $a, \text{Nil}$ )  
 | Cons( $x, xs^Y$ )  $\Rightarrow$  if  $a \leq x$  then Cons( $a, \text{Cons}(x, xs^{Y \leq \text{List}(\text{Nat})})$ )  
 else Cons( $x, g xs^Y$ )

- Coercion  $Y \leq \mu F$  may be used : Stronger than iteration.

## Mendler-style Course-of-Value Recursion

---

Slide 9

- Add subtyping  $Y \leq Y^1 = F(Y)$  for  $Y \leq \mu F$ .
- Example: take every other element of a list.  
 $\text{half} : \forall A. \text{List}(A) \rightarrow \text{List}(A)$   
 $\text{half} = \text{fix } g^{Y \rightarrow \text{List}(A)}. \lambda l^{Y^1}. \text{case } l \text{ of}$ 
  - $\text{Nil} \quad \Rightarrow \text{Nil}$
  - $| \text{Cons}(x, xs^Y) \Rightarrow \text{case } xs^{Y \leq Y^1} \text{ of}$ 
    - $\text{Nil} \quad \Rightarrow \text{Nil}$
    - $| \text{Cons}(y, ys^Y) \Rightarrow \text{Cons}(x, g y^Y)$
- Coercion  $Y \leq Y^1$  permits further unwinding.

## Giménez 1998 [5]: Size-Change Information

---

Slide 10

- Use type variable also in result type of recursive functions.  

$$\frac{Y \leq \mu F, g: Y \rightarrow \tau(Y) \vdash M : Y^1 \rightarrow \tau(Y^1) \quad Y \text{ only pos in } \tau(Y)}{\text{fix } g.M : \forall Y \leq \mu F. Y \rightarrow \tau(Y)}$$
- More precise typing. E.g.  
 $\text{map} \quad : (\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}) \rightarrow \forall Y \leq \text{List}(\text{Nat}). Y \rightarrow Y$   
 $\text{insert} \quad : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \forall Y \leq \text{List}(\text{Nat}). Y \rightarrow Y^1$
- “map” is non-size-increasing, “insert” increases length of list by at most one.
- But: no longer polymorphic.
- Many interesting algorithms are typable, e.g. Euclidean division, filter, quicksort.

- Nesting:

$$\text{nest} : \forall Y \leq \text{Nat}. Y \rightarrow Y$$

$$\text{nest Zero} = \text{Zero}$$

$$\text{nest (Succ } n^Y) = (\text{nest (nest } n^Y) Y)^{Y \leq Y^1}$$

- Abel 2002 [1]: SR, SN, bidirectional type-checking.

Slide 11

- Current work: Relax side condition on result types  
 $Y$  only pos in  $\tau(Y)$ .

## Presentation with Indexed Types

---

- Stage expressions and their interpretation:

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l|l} r, s ::= i & \text{variable} & \llbracket i \rrbracket & = \alpha \text{ for some ordinal } \alpha \\ & | \ s + 1 & \text{sucessor} & \llbracket s + 1 \rrbracket = \llbracket s \rrbracket + 1 \\ & | \ \infty & \text{ultimate limit} & \llbracket \infty \rrbracket = \omega_1 \text{ (first uncountable)} \end{array}$$

Slide 12

- Translation of previous system:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \mu^i F \\ Y^n &= \mu^{i+n} F \\ \mu F &= \mu^\infty F \end{aligned}$$

- Subtyping for approximations:

$$\mu^i F \leq \mu^{i+1} F \leq \dots \leq \mu^\infty F$$

## Presentation with Indexed Types (II)

---

- Recursion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma, i, g: \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i) \vdash M: \mu^{i+1} F \rightarrow \tau(i+1) \quad i \text{ only pos in } \tau(i)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } g.M: \forall i. \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i)}$$

Slide 13

- Reconciled with polymorphism.

$$\text{map} : \forall X \forall Y. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \forall i. \text{List}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{List}^i(Y)$$

- Forthcoming article: Barthe/Frade/Gimenez/Pinto/Uustalu.
- For natural number expressions already in Hughes/Pareto/Sabry 1996 [6].

## Example: Quick Sort

---

```

pivot : Int → List → List × List
pivot a [] = ([], [])
pivot a (x :: xs)i+1 = let (l, r) = pivot a xs in
  if x < a then ((x :: l), r)
  else (l, (x :: r))
  
```

Slide 14

```

qsapp : List → List → List
qsapp [] ys = ys
qsapp (x :: xs) ys = let (l, r) = pivot x xs in
  qsapp l (x :: qsapp r ys)

quicksort : List → List
quicksort l = qsapp l []
  
```

## Example: Quick Sort

---

Slide 15

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pivot} &: \text{Int} \rightarrow \forall i. \text{List}^i \rightarrow \text{List}^i \times \text{List}^i \\ \text{pivot } a \ []^{i+1} &= ([ ]^{i+1}, [ ]^{i+1}) \\ \text{pivot } a \ (x :: xs^i)^{i+1} &= \text{let } (l^i, r^i) = \text{pivot } a \ xs^i \text{ in} \\ &\quad \text{if } x < a \text{ then } ((x :: l)^{i+1}, r^{i \leq i+1}) \\ &\quad \text{else } (l^{i \leq i+1}, (x :: r)^{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qsapp} &: \forall i. \text{List}^i \rightarrow \text{List}^\infty \rightarrow \text{List}^\infty \\ \text{qsapp} \ []^{i+1} \ ys &= ys \\ \text{qsapp} \ (x :: xs^i)^{i+1} \ ys &= \text{let } (l^i, r^i) = \text{pivot } x \ xs^i \text{ in} \\ &\quad \text{qsapp } l^i \ (x :: \text{qsapp } r^i \ ys) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{quicksort} &: \text{List}^\infty \rightarrow \text{List}^\infty \\ \text{quicksort } l &= \text{qsapp } l \ [] \end{aligned}$$

## Guarded Corecursion

---

Slide 16

- Let  $[\text{Stream}]^n$  denote the set of integer streams which have goodness  $n$ , i.e., can be unrolled  $n$  times.
- A recursively defined stream  $g = M(g)$  surely is of goodness  $\omega$ , if

$$g \in [\text{Stream}]^n \implies M(g) \in [\text{Stream}]^{n+1}.$$

We say that  $g$  is guarded in  $M$ .

- Rules for corecursion, e.g.:

$$\frac{\Gamma, i, g: \text{Stream}^i \vdash M: \text{Stream}^{i+1}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix}^\nu g.M : \forall i. \text{Stream}^i}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, i, g: \text{Stream}^i \rightarrow \text{Stream}^i \vdash M: \text{Stream}^{i+1} \rightarrow \text{Stream}^{i+1}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix}^\nu g.M : \forall i. \text{Stream}^i \rightarrow \text{Stream}^i}$$



## Example: Sequence of Natural Numbers

---

$\text{mapStr} : \forall X \forall Y. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \forall i. \text{Stream}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{Stream}^i(Y)$

$\text{mapStr } f (x :: xs^i)^{i+1} = ((f x) :: \text{mapStr } f xs^i)^{i+1}$

$\text{nats} : \forall i. \text{Stream}^i(\text{Int})$

Slide 17  $\text{nats} = (0 :: (\text{mapStr } +1 \text{nats}^i)^i)^{i+1}$

Subtyping for Stream:

$$\text{Stream}^\infty(\tau) \leq \dots \text{Stream}^{i+1}(\tau) \leq \text{Stream}^i(\tau)$$

## Semantics

---

- Let  $\Gamma \vdash \tau$  : type and  $\theta : \Gamma$  a valuation of the free type and stage variables in  $\tau$ .
- Semantics  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \theta$ :

Slide 18

$$\llbracket \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \theta = \{M \mid \forall N \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \theta. M N \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \theta\}$$

$$\llbracket \text{List}^i(\tau) \rrbracket \theta = \Phi_{\text{List}(\tau), \theta}^{[i]\theta}(\emptyset)$$

$$\llbracket \text{Stream}^i(\tau) \rrbracket \theta = \Phi_{\text{Stream}(\tau), \theta}^{[i]\theta}(\text{SN})$$

with

$$\Phi_{\text{List}(\tau), \theta}(Q) = \{\text{nil}, M :: N \mid M \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \theta, N \in Q\}$$

$$\Phi_{\text{Stream}(\tau), \theta}(Q) = \{M \mid \text{fst } M \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \theta, \text{snd } M \in Q\}$$

## Uniform Operator Iteration

---

- Iterates  $\Phi^\alpha$  can be defined uniformly for least and greatest fixpoints using limes inferior. Let  $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{TM})$ .

$$\underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha_0 < \lambda} \bigcap_{\alpha_0 \leq \alpha < \lambda} P(\alpha)$$

$$\Phi^0(Q) = Q$$

$$\Phi^{\alpha+1}(Q) = \Phi(\Phi^\alpha(Q))$$

$$\Phi^\lambda(Q) = \underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)$$

Slide 19

- Lemma: Assume  $\Phi$  increasing, i.e.,  $\Phi^\alpha(Q) \subseteq \Phi^\beta(Q)$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

$$\Phi^\lambda(Q) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)$$

- Lemma: Assume  $\Phi$  decreasing, i.e.,  $\Phi^\alpha(Q) \supseteq \Phi^\beta(Q)$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

$$\Phi^\lambda(Q) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)$$

## Relaxing the Side Condition

---

- Recursion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma, i, g : \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i) \vdash M : \mu^{i+1} F \rightarrow \tau(i+1) \quad i \cap\text{-cont } \tau(i)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } g.M : \forall i. \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i)}$$

Slide 20

- Soundness is proven by transfinite induction on the ordinal  $\llbracket i \rrbracket$ . For the limit case to hold,  $\tau$  must admit

$$\underline{\lim}_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \llbracket \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i) \rrbracket_{i \rightarrow \alpha} \subseteq \llbracket \mu^i F \rightarrow \tau(i) \rrbracket_{i \rightarrow \lambda}$$

- Thus, result type of this fix-construction must be *continuous* in  $i$ .
- We distinguish two kinds of continuity.

## U-Continuity

---

- A set-valued function  $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{TM})$  is called **U-continuous** if

$$P(\lambda) \subseteq \varinjlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha)$$

- Grammar for U-continuous types  $i$  U-cont  $\tau$ :

Slide 21

$$\frac{i \text{ only neg in } \tau}{i \text{ U-cont } \tau} \quad \frac{i \text{ U-cont } \sigma, \tau}{i \text{ U-cont } \sigma + \tau, \sigma \times \tau} \quad \frac{i \text{ U-cont } \tau}{i \text{ U-cont } \text{List}^s(\tau)}$$

- Theorem: If  $i$  U-cont  $\tau$  then  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket(i)$  is U-continuous.
- All polynomial datatypes (finitely branching trees) are U-continuous.

## $\cap$ -Continuity

---

- A set-valued function  $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{TM})$  is called  **$\cap$ -continuous** if

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha) \subseteq P(\lambda)$$

- Grammar for  $\cap$ -continuous types  $i$   $\cap$ -cont  $\tau$ :

Slide 22

$$\frac{i \text{ only pos in } \tau}{i \cap\text{-cont } \tau} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } \sigma, \tau}{i \cap\text{-cont } \sigma + \tau, \sigma \times \tau} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } \tau}{i \cap\text{-cont } \text{List}(\tau)}$$

$$\frac{i \text{ U-cont } \sigma \quad i \cap\text{-cont } \tau}{i \cap\text{-cont } \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } \tau}{i \cap\text{-cont } \text{Stream}^s(\tau)}$$

- Theorem: If  $i$   $\cap$ -cont  $\tau$  then  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket(i)$  is  $\cap$ -continuous.
- All positive datatypes are  $\cap$ -continuous.

## Strong Normalization

---

- Unrolling of fixpoints is limited by guards  $C$  (constructor) and  $D$  (destructor).

$$(\lambda x.M) N \longrightarrow_{\beta} [N/x]M$$

$$(\text{fix}^{\mu} g.M) (CN) \longrightarrow_{\beta} ([\text{fix}^{\mu} g.M/g]M) (CN)$$

$$D((\text{fix}^{\nu} g.M) N) \longrightarrow_{\beta} D([\text{fix}^{\nu} g.M/g]M) N$$

Slide 23

- This enables us to prove strong normalization.

## Contribution and Further Work

---

My work so far:

- Strongly normalizing language with fairly liberal general recursion.
- Polymorphism.
- Subtyping.

Slide 24 Further work:

- Lexicographic and simultaneous product of ordinal indices.
- Constructor subtyping.
- Interplay between recursion and corecursion.
- Size inference.
- More termination orderings.

## References

- [1] Andreas Abel. Termination checking with types. Technical Report 0201, Institut für Informatik, Ludwigs-Maximilians-Universität München, 2002.
- [2] Andreas Abel and Thorsten Altenkirch. A predicative analysis of structural recursion. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 12(1):1–41, January 2002.
- Slide 25 [3] Thierry Coquand. Infinite objects in type theory. In Henk Barendregt and Tobias Nipkow, editors, *Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES '93)*, volume 806 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 62–78. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [4] Eduardo Giménez. Codifying guarded definitions with recursive schemes. In Peter Dybjer, Bengt Nordström, and Jan Smith, editors, *Types for Proofs and Programs, International Workshop TYPES '94, Båstad, Sweden, June 6-10, 1994, Selected Papers*, volume 996 of *LNCS*, pages 39–59. Springer, 1995.
- [5] Eduardo Giménez. Structural recursive definitions in type theory. In *Automata, Languages and Programming, 25th International Colloquium, ICALP'98, Aalborg, Denmark, July 13-17, 1998, Proceedings*, volume 1443 of *LNCS*, pages 397–408. Springer, 1998.
- [6] John Hughes, Lars Pareto, and Amr Sabry. Proving the correctness of reactive systems using sized types. In *Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 410–423, 1996.
- Slide 26 [7] Nax P. Mendler. Recursive types and type constraints in second-order lambda calculus. In *Proceedings of the Second Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, Ithaca, N.Y.*, pages 30–36. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1987.
- [8] Hongwei Xi. Dependent types for program termination verification. In *Proceedings of 16th IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, Boston, USA, June 2001.