

510996

Types Types for Proofs and Programs

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Coordination Action} \\ \mbox{FP6-2002-IST-C} \end{array}$

Periodic management report no 1

Period covered: Sept 1, 2004 – Aug 31, 2005

Date of preparation: November 8, 2005

Duration of project: Sept 1, 2004 – Aug 31, 2007

Coordinator: Bengt Nordström, Chalmers University of Technology

Summary

The aim of the research in the Types consortium is to develop the technology of formal reasoning and computer programming based on Type Theory. This is done by improving the languages and computerised tools for reasoning, and by applying the technology in several domains such as analysis of programming languages, certified software, formalisation of mathematics and mathematics education.

The funding for the Types project goes to coordination of and communications between research groups. The research itself is funded by other sources. The Types consortium receives funding for three annual meetings to communicate recent work throughout, six smaller thematic workshops on designated research themes, one summer school, short courses and short visits between sites.

The consortium consists of 35 research groups from universities and industries in Europe. It would have been unfeasible to let each of these groups be full participants in the action. In order to manage this, we have created a two-level hierachy with 15 main sites (the contractors of the project) and 21 subsites (subcontractors). The following are the main sites (contractors): Chalmers, CNRS – Paris 7, INRIA-Futurs, INRIA-Sophia, Paris – Sud, Munich – LMU, Munich – TU, Nijmegen, Bialystok, Royal Holloway, Edinburgh, Manchester, Torino, Udine, Warsaw, Tallinn. The small sites (subcontractors) are: Bergen, Helsinki, Stockholm/Uppsala, Minho, Padova, Bologna, Dassault-Aviation, Grenoble, France Telecom, Kent, Novi Sad, Krakow, Savoie, Swansea, Toulouse, Birmingham, Nottingham and Sheffield.

1 Justification of major cost items and resources

Each site has spent 5000 - 10000 euros on trips to the Types meeting and to the small workshops.

We have had no major cost items.

The low figure for expenses at Chalmers has two reasons. The cost for the summer school (lecturers and grants) will be declared during the next period. The summer school was held in the end of August and only a small fraction of it could be paid during this period. In the budget we had calculated all expenses for the summer school to occur during this period. The cost for one senior researcher (around 3000 euros) will also occur during the next period.

2 Form C Financial statement per activity for the contractual reporting period

This section is not present in the electronic version of this document. The original signed documents are sent to Brussels together with a paper version of this document. A summary of the Form C is found on page 3

3 Summary financial report

Site	Expenditure	Budget	Actual cost	Spent %	Remaining
Chalmers	Management	43 667	2 979		
	Coordination	22 667	$14 \ 338$		
	Indirect cost	$13 \ 267$	$3\ 463$		
	total cost	79 600	20 780	$\mathbf{26\%}$	58 820
CNRS/Universite Paris 7	Coordination	9 100	4 395		
	Indirect cost	1 820	879		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	$5 \ 274$	48%	5646
INRIA	Coordination	21 233	4 440		
	Indirect cost	4 247	888		
	total cost	$25 \ 480$	$5 \ 328$	$\mathbf{21\%}$	$20 \ 152$
Universite Paris Sud	Coordination	12 133	7 341		
	Indirect cost	2 427	$1\ 468$		
	total cost	14 560	8 809	61%	5 751
LMU Munchen	Coordination	$6\ 067$	1 166		
	Indirect cost	$1 \ 213$	233		
	total cost	7 280	1 399	19%	5 881
TU Munchen	Coordination	9 100	$3 \ 937$		
	Indirect cost	1 820	787		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	$4 \ 724$	43%	6 196
Nijmegen	Coordination	$6\ 067$	8 445		
	Indirect cost	$1 \ 213$	1689		
	total cost	7 280	10 134	139%	-2854
Bialystok	Coordination	$6\ 067$	6 842		
	Indirect cost	$1 \ 213$	$1 \ 368$		
	total cost	7 280	8 210	113%	-930
Royal Holloway	Coordination	$21 \ 233$	$12 \ 329$		
	Indirect cost	4 247	$2\ 466$		
	total cost	$25 \ 480$	14 795	58%	10 685
Edinburgh	Coordination	$6\ 067$	$4\ 660$		
	Indirect cost	$1 \ 213$	932		
	total cost	7 280	5 592	77%	1 688
Manchester	Coordination	9 100	4 047		
	Indirect cost	1 820	809		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	4 856	44%	6 064
Torino	Coordination	9 100	5 349		
	Indirect cost	1 820	$1 \ 070$		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	6 419	59%	4 501
Udine	Coordination	9 100	7 719		
	Indirect cost	1 820	1 544		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	9 263	85%	1 657
Warsaw	Coordination	9 100	-		
	Indirect cost	1 820	-		
	total cost	$10 \ 920$	-	0%	10 920
IoC Tallinn	Coordination	5088	3 991		
		1 010	700		
	Indirect cost	1 018	798		
	Indirect cost total cost	1 018 6 106	4 789	$\mathbf{78\%}$	$1 \ 317$

Table 1: Cost Budget Follow-up, Sept 1, 2004 - Aug 31, 2005