

# Programs

Programs

$$M, A ::= v_k \mid M M \mid \lambda M \mid \Pi A A \mid M D \mid c \vec{M} \mid B \mid L$$

Definitions, Branches and Labelled Sums

$$D ::= [\vec{M} : \vec{A}] \quad B ::= c_1 M_1, \dots, c_k M_k \quad L ::= c_1 \vec{A}_1, \dots, c_k \vec{A}_k$$

## Environments and Values

### Environments and Values

$$\rho, \sigma ::= () \mid \rho, u \mid D\rho \quad u, V ::= M\rho \mid u \mid X_l \mid \Pi V V$$

### Access rules

$$v_0(\sigma, u) = u \quad v_{k+1}(\sigma, u) = v_k \sigma$$

and if  $\rho = [\vec{M} : \vec{A}]\sigma$  then

$$v_i \rho = v_i(\sigma, \vec{M} \rho)$$

## Evaluation rules

$$(M_1 M_2)\rho = M_1\rho (M_2\rho) \quad (M D)\rho = M(D\rho)$$

$$(\Pi A F)\rho = \Pi (A\rho) (F\rho) \quad (c \vec{M})\rho = c (\vec{M}\rho)$$

$$(\lambda M)\rho u = M(\rho, u) \quad (c_1 N_1, \dots, c_k N_k)\rho (c_i \vec{u}) = N_i(\rho, \vec{u})$$

## Programs, version with names

### Programs

$$M, A ::= x \mid M \ M \mid \lambda x.M \mid \Pi x : A, A \mid M \ D \mid c \ \vec{M} \mid B \mid L$$

$$T ::= () \mid T' \quad T' ::= A \mid (x : A, T')$$

### Definitions, Branches and Labelled Sums

$$D ::= [\vec{x} : T = \vec{M}] \quad B ::= c_1 \vec{x}_1 \rightarrow M_1, \dots, c_k \vec{x}_k \rightarrow M_k$$

$$L ::= c_1 T_1, \dots, c_k T_k$$

## Conversion test

Each branch  $B$  has a name  $f_B$  and each labelled sum  $L$  a name  $d_L$  associated to it. We test  $A_1 = A_2$  by comparing  $R_k A_1$  and  $R_k A_2$

$$R_k X_l = v_{k-l-1}$$

$$R_k ((\lambda M)\rho) = \lambda R_{k+1}(M(\rho, X_k)) \quad R_k (u_1 u_2) = R_k u_1 (R_k u_2)$$

$$R_k (\Pi V F) = \Pi (R_k V) (R_k F) \quad R_k (c \vec{u}) = c (R_k \vec{u})$$

$$R_k (B\rho) = f_B(R_k \rho) \quad R_k (L\rho) = d_L(R_k \rho)$$

$$R_k () = () \quad R_k (\rho, u) = (R_k \rho, R_k u) \quad R_k (D\rho) = R_k \rho$$

## Conversion test

Here is the grammar for the normal forms produced by the readback function  $R_k$

$$\begin{aligned} t & ::= \lambda t \mid d_L(t, \dots, t) \mid \Pi t t \mid f_B(t, \dots, t) \mid c(t, \dots, t) \mid n \\ n & ::= v_l \mid n t \mid f_B(t, \dots, t) n \end{aligned}$$

## Type-checking

The judgements are of the form  $\rho, \Gamma \vdash_k A$  and  $\rho, \Gamma \vdash_k M : V$  where  $\Gamma$  is a list of type values and  $k$  the number of free variables. For instance

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\rho, \Gamma \vdash_k v_n : \Gamma!n} \\
 \rho, \Gamma \vdash_k N : \Pi V F \quad \rho, \Gamma \vdash_k M : V \\
 \hline
 \rho, \Gamma \vdash_k N M : F (M\rho) \\
 (\rho, X_k), (\Gamma, V) \vdash_{k+1} N : F X_k \\
 \hline
 \rho, \Gamma \vdash_k \lambda N : \Pi V F
 \end{array}$$

## Examples

`Nat : Set = 0 | S Nat`

`Bin : Set = 1 | S0 Bin | S1 Bin`

`natrec : (P : Nat -> Set) ->  
 P 0 -> ((i : Nat) -> P i -> P (S i)) ->  
 (n : Nat) -> P n =  
 \ P -> \ p0 -> \ pS ->  
 [ 0 -> p0  
 |S x -> pS x (natrec P p0 pS x) ]`



## Examples

mutual

BSTree : Set =

slf | snd (a : A) (l r : BSTree) (>=T a l) (<=T a r)

>=T : A -> BSTree -> Set =

\ a -> [ slf -> True  
|snd x l r \_ \_ -> (a <= x) & (>=T a r) ]

<=T : A -> BSTree -> Set =

\ a -> [ slf -> True  
|snd x l r \_ \_ -> (x <= a) & (<=T a l) ]

## Denotational Semantics

Formal neighbourhoods

$$W ::= \nabla \mid W \rightarrow W \mid W \cap W \mid c \vec{W} \mid [c_1 \vec{U}_1, \dots, c_n \vec{U}_n]$$

$$U ::= \Delta \mid W$$

## Denotational Semantics

$$\frac{\Gamma, \vec{U}^{(0)} \vdash \vec{M} : \vec{U}^{(1)} \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, \vec{U}^{(l-1)} \vdash \vec{M} : \vec{U}^{(l)} \quad \Gamma, \vec{U}^{(l)} \vdash N : V}{\Gamma \vdash ND : V}$$

where  $D$  is  $[\vec{M} : \vec{A}]$  and  $\vec{U}^{(0)}$  is  $\vec{\Delta}$ .

## Denotational Semantics

The elements of the domain  $D$  are either constructor terms  $c \vec{u}$  or product  $\Pi u f$  or labelled sums  $[c_1 \vec{a}_1, \dots, c_n \vec{a}_n]$  or functions  $f$

**Theorem:** *If the semantics of a term  $M$  is  $\neq \perp$  then  $M$  is SN*

## Models

A *totality* on  $D$  is a subset  $X \subseteq D$  such that  $\perp \notin X$  and  $\top \in X$ . We write  $\text{TP}(D)$  the set of all totality on  $D$ .

A partial interpretation of type theory is a pair  $(X, El)$  with  $X$  in  $\text{TP}(D)$  and  $El$  in  $X \rightarrow \text{TP}(D)$  such that  $El(\top)$  is the singleton  $\{\top\}$

We extend  $X$  and  $El$  to vectors:  $()$  in  $X$  and  $() \in El()$  and  $(a, \vec{a})$  in  $X$  iff  $a \in X$  and  $\vec{a} u$  in  $X$  for all  $u \in El(a)$ . Then  $(u, \vec{u})$  in  $El(a, \vec{a})$  iff  $u \in El(a)$  and  $\vec{u}$  in  $El(\vec{a} u)$

## Models

$(X, El)$  total interpretation:  $b$  in  $X$  iff

$b = \Pi a f$  and  $a \in X$  and  $f u \in X$  for all  $u \in El(a)$  and  $w \in El(b)$  iff  $w u \in El(f u)$  for all  $u \in El(a)$

or  $b = [c_1 \vec{a}_1, \dots, c_n \vec{a}_n]$  and  $\vec{a}_i \in X$  and  $w \in El(b)$  iff  $w = c_i \vec{u}$  with  $\vec{u} \in El(\vec{a}_i)$

## Peano induction for binary numbers

$\text{Bin} : \text{Set} = 1 \mid \text{S0 Bin} \mid \text{S1 Bin}$

$\text{bsuc} : \text{Bin} \rightarrow \text{Bin} =$

$[ 1 \rightarrow \text{S0 } 1$

$\mid \text{S0 } x \rightarrow \text{S1 } x$

$\mid \text{S1 } x \rightarrow \text{S0 } (\text{bsuc } x) ]$

## Peano induction for binary numbers

```

binPeano : (P : Bin -> Set) -> P 1 ->
  ((i : Bin) -> P i -> P (bsuc i)) ->
  (b : Bin) -> P b =
    \ P -> \ p1 -> \ ps ->
  [ 1 -> p1
  |S0 x ->
    binPeano (\ b -> P (S0 b)) (ps 1 p1)
      (\ i h -> ps (S1 1) (ps (S0 i) (ps (S0 i) h))) x
  |S1 x ->
    binPeano (\ b -> P (S1 b)) (ps (S0 1) (ps 1 p1))
      (\ i h ->
        ps (S1 1) (ps (S0 (bsuc i)) (ps (S1 i) h))) x ]

```



## Peano induction for binary numbers

“So that’s that, except that it’s a bit tricky and a bit higher-order and, worst of all, quite expensive in the *size* of the inductions involved. If we’re being scrupulous about universe levels, we have to be careful about quantifying over arbitrary  $P : Bin \rightarrow Set_i$ . To be allowed such a thing we need to use our structural induction principal at  $Set_{i+1}$ .”

Induction principle in this version of type theory (with pattern-matching) works on an *arbitrary* type

Similar analysis in Lorenzen: induction principle is justified on an arbitrary formula

## Peano induction for binary numbers

Peano : Bin -> Set where

p1 : Peano 1

ps : {x : Bin} -> Peano x -> Peano (bsuc x)

peano : (b : Bin) -> Peano b

double : {b : Peano} -> Peano b -> Peano (S0 b)

## Other example

Lookup function on vectors

$\text{vec} : (\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{vec } B \ 0 = \text{One}$

$\text{vec } B \ (\text{S } x) = (\text{vec } B \ x) * (B \ x)$

$\text{get} : (B : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow (n \ x : \text{Nat}) \rightarrow$   
 $x < n \rightarrow \text{vec } B \ n \rightarrow B \ x$