Lecture Computability (DIT312, DAT415)

Nils Anders Danielsson

2019-11-06



- Inductively defined sets.
- Functions defined by primitive recursion.
- Proofs by structural induction.

# Natural numbers

The set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N}$ , is defined inductively in the following way:

- zero  $\in \mathbb{N}$ .
- If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then suc  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We can construct natural numbers by using these rules a finite number of times. Examples:

• 
$$0 =$$
zero.

• 
$$1 = suc zero.$$

• 
$$2 = suc (suc zero).$$

The value zero and the function suc are called *constructors*.

#### An alternative way to present the rules:

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{zero} \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad \qquad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{suc} n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

#### Propositions, predicates and relations

- A proposition is something that can (perhaps) be proved or disproved.
- ► A predicate on a set A is a function from A to propositions.
- ► A *binary relation* on two sets *A* and *B* is a function from *A* and *B* to propositions.
- Relations can also have more arguments.

Two natural numbers are equal if they are built up by the same constructors.

We can see this as an inductively defined relation:

$$rac{1}{zero = zero}$$
  $rac{1}{suc m = suc n}$ 

m = n

(The names of the constructors have been omitted.)

We can define a function from  $\mathbb N$  to a set A in the following way:

- A value  $z \in A$ , the function's value for zero.
- A function s ∈ N → A → A, that given n ∈ N and the function's value for n gives the function's value for suc n.

A definition by primitive recursion can be given the following schematic form:

$$\begin{array}{l} f \in \mathbb{N} \to A \\ f \, {\sf zero} &= z \\ f \, ({\sf suc} \, \, n) = s \, n \, (f \, n) \end{array}$$

We can capture this scheme with a higher-order function:

 $rec \in A \to (\mathbb{N} \to A \to A) \to \mathbb{N} \to A$  $rec \ z \ s \ zero = z$  $rec \ z \ s \ (suc \ n) = s \ n \ (rec \ z \ s \ n)$ 

#### Example: Equality with zero

- ► Can we define *is-zero* ∈ N → Bool using primitive recursion?
- ▶ Let "A" be Bool.
- Scheme:

$$is$$
-zero  $\in \mathbb{N} \to Bool$   
 $is$ -zero zero  $=$ ?  
 $is$ -zero (suc  $n$ )  $=$  ?

#### Example: Equality with zero

- ► Can we define *is-zero* ∈ N → Bool using primitive recursion?
- ▶ Let "A" be Bool.
- Scheme:

is-zero  $\in \mathbb{N} \to Bool$ is-zero zero = true is-zero (suc n) = false

#### Example: Equality with zero

- ► Can we define *is-zero* ∈ N → Bool using primitive recursion?
- ▶ Let "A" be Bool.
- With the higher-order function:

$$is\text{-}zero \in \mathbb{N} \to Bool$$
  
 $is\text{-}zero = rec \text{ true } (\lambda n r. \text{ false})$ 

- Can we define add ∈ N → N → N using primitive recursion?
- Let "A" be  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ .
- Scheme:

$$add \in \mathbb{N} \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N})$$
  
add zero = ?  
add (suc m) = ?

- Can we define add ∈ N → N → N using primitive recursion?
- Let "A" be  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ .
- Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{l} add \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \\ add \, \mathsf{zero} &= \lambda \, n. \, n \\ add \, (\mathsf{suc} \, m) = ? \end{array}$$

- Can we define add ∈ N → N → N using primitive recursion?
- Let "A" be  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ .
- Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{l} add \in \mathbb{N} \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ add \; \mathsf{zero} &= \lambda \; n. \; n \\ add \; (\mathsf{suc} \; m) = \lambda \; n. \; ? \end{array}$$

- Can we define add ∈ N → N → N using primitive recursion?
- Let "A" be  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ .
- Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{l} add \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \\ add \, {\sf zero} &= \lambda \, n. \, n \\ add \, ({\sf suc} \, m) = \lambda \, n. \, {\sf suc} \, (add \, m \, n) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} add \in \mathbb{N} \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ add \, {\sf zero} &= \lambda \, n. \, n \\ add \, ({\sf suc} \, m) = \lambda \, n. \, {\sf suc} \, (add \, m \, n) \end{array}$$

#### Which of the following terms define addition?

1. 
$$rec (\lambda n. n) (\lambda m r. \lambda n. suc (r m n))$$

2. 
$$rec (\lambda n. n) (\lambda m r. \lambda n. suc (r n))$$

3.  $rec (\lambda n. n) (\lambda m r. \lambda n. suc (r m))$ 

Another way to define addition:

- Let us fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Now we can define "addition by m".
- Let "A" be  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{l} add'_m \in \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ add'_m \; \mathsf{zero} &= m \\ add'_m \; (\mathsf{suc} \; n) = \mathsf{suc} \; (add'_m \; n) \end{array}$$

#### Addition again

Another way to define addition:

Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{l} add' \in \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ add' \ m \, {\sf zero} &= m \\ add' \ m \, ({\sf suc} \ n) = {\sf suc} \ (add' \ m \ n) \end{array}$$

Using rec:

$$\begin{array}{l} add' \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ add' \ m = \mathsf{rec} \ m \left(\lambda \, n \ r. \, \mathsf{suc} \ r\right) \end{array}$$



Multiplication by m, defined recursively:

 $\begin{aligned} & mul \in \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ & mul \ m \ \mathsf{zero} \quad = \mathsf{zero} \\ & mul \ m \ (\mathsf{suc} \ n) = add \ m \ (mul \ m \ n) \end{aligned}$ 

Complete the following definition of multiplication. You can make use of addition (*add*).

 $mul \ m = rec \ ? \ (\lambda \ n \ r. \ ?)$ 

#### Structural induction

Let us assume that we have a predicate P on  $\mathbb{N}$ . If we can prove the following two statements, then we have proved  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . P n:

- ► P zero.
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $P \ n$  implies  $P \ (\mathsf{suc} \ n)$ .

Theorem:  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ . add m zero = m. Proof:

- Let us use structural induction, with the predicate  $P = \lambda m$ . add m zero = m.
- There are two cases:

 $P \text{ zero } \leftarrow \{ \text{By definition.} \}$ add zero zero = zero  $\leftarrow \{ \text{By definition.} \}$ zero = zero

Theorem:  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ . add m zero = m. Proof:

- Let us use structural induction, with the predicate P = λ m. add m zero = m.
- There are two cases:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} P (\mathsf{suc} \ m) & \Leftarrow \\ add (\mathsf{suc} \ m) \ \mathsf{zero} = \mathsf{suc} \ m & \Leftarrow \\ \mathsf{suc} \ (add \ m \ \mathsf{zero}) = \mathsf{suc} \ m & \Leftarrow \\ add \ m \ \mathsf{zero} = m & \Leftarrow \\ P \ m \end{array}$ 

# More inductively defined sets

### The cartesian product of two sets A and B is defined inductively in the following way:

$$\frac{x \in A \qquad y \in B}{\text{pair } x \ y \in A \times B}$$

Notice that this definition is "non-recursive".

Scheme for primitive recursion for pairs:

$$f \in A \times B \to C f (pair x y) = p x y$$

The corresponding higher-order function:

 $uncurry \in (A \to B \to C) \to A \times B \to C$ uncurry p (pair x y) = p x y

#### Structural induction

Let us assume that we have a predicate P on  $A \times B$ . If we can prove the following statement, then we have proved  $\forall p \in A \times B$ . P p:

•  $\forall x \in A$ .  $\forall y \in B$ . P (pair x y).

## The set of finite lists containing natural numbers is defined inductively in the following way:

	$x \in \mathbb{N}$	xs	$\in$ Nat-list
$\overline{nil \in \mathit{Nat-list}}$	cons x :	$xs \in$	Nat-list

#### Primitive recursion

Scheme for primitive recursion for natural number lists:

$$f \in Nat\text{-}list \to A$$
  
f nil = n  
f (cons x xs) = c x xs (f xs)

The corresponding higher-order function:

$$\begin{array}{ll} listrec \in A \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow Nat\text{-}list \rightarrow A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \\ Nat\text{-}list \rightarrow A \\ listrec \ n \ c \ \text{nil} &= n \\ listrec \ n \ c \ (\text{cons} \ x \ xs) = c \ x \ xs \ (listrec \ n \ c \ xs) \end{array}$$

Note that the recursion does not descend into the natural numbers.

#### Structural induction

Let us assume that we have a predicate P on *Nat-list.* If we can prove the following statements, then we have proved  $\forall xs \in Nat\text{-list. } P xs$ :

- ► *P* nil.
- ▶  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\forall xs \in Nat\text{-list}$ . P xs implies P (cons x xs).

# The set of finite lists containing elements of the set A is defined inductively in the following way:

$$\frac{x \in A \qquad xs \in List A}{\operatorname{cons} x \, xs \in List A}$$

Scheme for primitive recursion for lists:

$$f \in List \ A \to B$$
  
f nil = n  
f (cons x xs) = c x xs (f xs)

The corresponding higher-order function:

$$\begin{array}{ll} listrec \in B \to (A \to List \; A \to B \to B) \to \\ List \; A \to B \\ listrec \; n \; c \; \mathsf{nil} &= n \\ listrec \; n \; c \; (\mathsf{cons} \; x \; xs) = c \; x \; xs \; (listrec \; n \; c \; xs) \end{array}$$

#### Structural induction

Let us assume that we have a predicate P on List A. If we can prove the following statements, then we have proved  $\forall xs \in List A. P xs$ :

- ► *P* nil.
- ▶  $\forall x \in A$ .  $\forall xs \in List A$ . *P xs* implies *P* (cons *x xs*).

#### Use *listrec* and *uncurry* to define a function from *List* $(A \times B)$ to *List* B that replaces every pair in the list with its second component.

listrec??

#### Use *listrec* and *uncurry* to define a function from *List* $(A \times B)$ to *List* B that replaces every pair in the list with its second component.

*listrec* nil ( $\lambda p \ ps \ r.$  cons (*uncurry* ( $\lambda x \ y.$ ?) p)?)

#### Pattern

- Given an inductive definition of the kind presented here, we can derive:
  - The structural induction principle.
  - The primitive recursion scheme.
- Pattern:
  - One case per constructor.
  - One argument per constructor argument, plus an extra argument (for induction: an inductive hypothesis) per *recursive* constructor argument.

#### Pattern (with recursive constructor arguments last):

$$\begin{array}{l} drec \in \text{One assumption per constructor} \rightarrow D \rightarrow A \\ drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ (\mathsf{c}_1 \ x_1 \ \dots \ x_{n_1}) = \\ f_1 \ x_1 \ \dots \ x_{n_1} \ (drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ x_{i_1}) \ \dots \ (drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ x_{n_1}) \\ \vdots \\ drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ (\mathsf{c}_k \ x_1 \ \dots \ x_{n_k}) = \\ f_k \ x_1 \ \dots \ x_{n_k} \ (drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ x_{i_k}) \ \dots \ (drec \ f_1 \ \dots \ f_k \ x_{n_k}) \end{array}$$



Define the booleans inductively and write down the structural induction principle. How many cases does the principle have?

► 1 ► 2

► 3 ► 4

Bonus question: Can you think of an inductive definition for which the answer would be 0?



- Inductively defined sets.
- Functions defined by primitive recursion.
- Proofs by structural induction.