

Lecture

Computability

(DIT312, DAT415)

Nils Anders Danielsson

2019-11-18

Today

X, a small functional language:

- ▶ Concrete and abstract syntax.
- ▶ Operational semantics.
- ▶ Several variants of the halting problem.
- ▶ Representing inductively defined sets.

Concrete and abstract syntax

Rough definitions (in the context of programming languages):

- ▶ Concrete syntax: The well-formed strings of a programming language.
- ▶ Abstract syntax: The essential information of a program text, ignoring details of notation.

Concrete syntax

Concrete syntax

$$\begin{array}{l} e ::= x \\ \quad | (e_1 \ e_2) \\ \quad | \lambda x. e \\ \quad | C(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ \quad | \mathbf{case} \ e \ \mathbf{of} \ \{ C_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow e_1; \dots \} \\ \quad | \mathbf{rec} \ x = e \end{array}$$

Variables (x) and constructors (C) are assumed to come from two disjoint, countably infinite sets.

Sometimes extra parentheses are used, and sometimes parentheses are omitted around applications: $e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3$ means $((e_1 \ e_2) \ e_3)$.

Examples

X	Haskell
$\lambda x. e$	<code>\x -> e</code>
<code>True()</code>	<code>True</code>
<code>Suc(<i>n</i>)</code>	<code>Suc n</code>
<code>Cons(<i>x</i>, <i>xs</i>)</code>	<code>x : xs</code>
<code>rec <i>x</i> = <i>e</i></code>	<code>let x = e in x</code>

Note: Haskell is typed and non-strict, λ is untyped and strict.

Another example

X:

case e **of** { **Zero**() $\rightarrow x$; **Suc**(n) $\rightarrow y$ }

Haskell:

```
case e of
  Zero  -> x
  Suc n -> y
```

And two more

rec *add* = $\lambda m. \lambda n. \mathbf{case\ } n \mathbf{ of}$
 { *Zero*() $\rightarrow m$
 ; *Suc*(*n*) $\rightarrow \mathbf{Suc}(\mathit{add\ } m\ n)$
 }

$\lambda m. \mathbf{rec\ } \mathit{add} = \lambda n. \mathbf{case\ } n \mathbf{ of}$
 { *Zero*() $\rightarrow m$
 ; *Suc*(*n*) $\rightarrow \mathbf{Suc}(\mathit{add\ } n)$
 }

What is the value of the following expression?

```
(rec foo =  $\lambda m. \lambda n. \text{case } n \text{ of } \{$   
  Zero()  $\rightarrow m;$   
  Suc( $n$ )  $\rightarrow \text{case } m \text{ of } \{$   
    Zero()  $\rightarrow \text{Zero}();$   
    Suc( $m$ )  $\rightarrow \text{foo } m \ n\} \}$ )  
Suc(Suc(Zero())) Suc(Zero())
```

- | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Zero() | 3. Suc(Suc(Zero())) |
| 2. Suc(Zero()) | 4. Suc(Suc(Suc(Zero()))) |

Abstract syntax

Abstract syntax

$$\frac{x \in Var}{\text{var } x \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \in Exp \quad e_2 \in Exp}{\text{apply } e_1 \ e_2 \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{x \in Var \quad e \in Exp}{\text{lambda } x \ e \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{x \in Var \quad e \in Exp}{\text{rec } x \ e \in Exp}$$

Var: Assumed to be countably infinite.

Abstract syntax

$$\frac{c \in \textit{Const} \quad es \in \textit{List Exp}}{\textit{const } c \textit{ } es \in \textit{Exp}}$$

$$\frac{e \in \textit{Exp} \quad bs \in \textit{List Br}}{\textit{case } e \textit{ } bs \in \textit{Exp}}$$

$$\frac{c \in \textit{Const} \quad xs \in \textit{List Var} \quad e \in \textit{Exp}}{\textit{branch } c \textit{ } xs \textit{ } e \in \textit{Br}}$$

Const: Assumed to be countably infinite.

Examples

Concrete syntax	Abstract syntax
$\lambda x. e$	lambda $\underline{x}$ $\underline{e}$
True()	const $\underline{True}$ nil
Suc(n)	const $\underline{Suc}$ (cons $\underline{n}$ nil)
Cons(x, xs)	const $\underline{Cons}$ (cons $\underline{x}$ (cons $\underline{xs}$ nil))
rec $x = e$	rec $\underline{x}$ $\underline{e}$

Here $\underline{x}$ is the element of Var standing for x ,
 $\underline{e}$ the element of Exp standing for e , and
 $\underline{True}$ the element of $Const$ standing for True.

Another example

Concrete:

case e **of** { $\text{Zero}() \rightarrow x$; $\text{Suc}(n) \rightarrow y$ }

Abstract:

case $\underline{e}$
 (cons (branch $\underline{\text{Zero}}$ nil $\underline{x}$)
 (cons (branch $\underline{\text{Suc}}$ (cons $\underline{n}$ nil) $\underline{y}$)
 nil))

Operational semantics

Operational semantics

- ▶ $e \Downarrow v$: e terminates with the value v .
- ▶ The expression e terminates (with a value) if $\exists v. e \Downarrow v$.
- ▶ Note that a “crash” does not count as termination (with a value).

Operational semantics

- ▶ The binary relation $\Downarrow$ relates *closed* expressions.
- ▶ An expression is closed if it has no free variables.

Free variables

$$FV \in Exp \rightarrow \wp Var$$

$$FV(\text{var } x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{apply } e_1 \ e_2) = FV \ e_1 \cup FV \ e_2$$

$$FV(\text{lambda } x \ e) = FV \ e \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{rec } x \ e) = FV \ e \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{const } c \ es) = \bigcup_{e \in \underline{es}} FV \ e$$

$$FV(\text{case } e \ bs) = FV \ e \cup \bigcup_{b \in \underline{bs}} FV_{Br} \ b$$

$$FV_{Br} \in Br \rightarrow \wp Var$$

$$FV_{Br}(\text{branch } c \ xs) = FV \ e \setminus \underline{xs}$$

(Here $\underline{xs}$ is a set containing the elements in xs .)

Quiz

Which of the following expressions are closed?

1. y
2. $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$
3. **case** x **of** $\{ \text{Cons}(x, xs) \rightarrow x \}$
4. **case** $\text{Suc}(\text{Zero}())$ **of** $\{ \text{Suc}(x) \rightarrow x \}$
5. **rec** $f = \lambda x. f$

Operational semantics (1/3)

$$\overline{\text{lambda } x \ e \Downarrow \text{lambda } x \ e}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \text{lambda } x \ e \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad e [x \leftarrow v_2] \Downarrow v}{\text{apply } e_1 \ e_2 \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x \ e] \Downarrow v}{\text{rec } x \ e \Downarrow v}$$

Substitution

- ▶ $e[x \leftarrow e']$: Substitute e' for every *free* occurrence of x in e .
- ▶ To keep things simple: e' must be closed.
- ▶ If e' is not closed, then this definition is prone to *variable capture*.

Substitution

$$\text{var } x [x \leftarrow e'] = e'$$

$$\text{var } y [x \leftarrow e'] = \text{var } y \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{apply } e_1 \ e_2 [x \leftarrow e'] = \\ \text{apply } (e_1 [x \leftarrow e']) (e_2 [x \leftarrow e']) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lambda } x \ e [x \leftarrow e'] &= \text{lambda } x \ e \\ \text{lambda } y \ e [x \leftarrow e'] &= \\ \text{lambda } y \ (e [x \leftarrow e']) &\quad \text{if } x \neq y \end{aligned}$$

And so on...

Quiz

What is the result of

$(\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; D(x) \rightarrow x \}) [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z]$?

$\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \quad \text{of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; \quad D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \quad \text{of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; \quad D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow \lambda z. z \}$
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(\lambda z. z) \rightarrow \lambda z. z \}$

Operational semantics (2/3)

$$\frac{es \Downarrow^* vs}{\text{const } c \text{ } es \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ } vs}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{nil} \Downarrow^* \text{nil}}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow v \quad es \Downarrow^* vs}{\text{cons } e \text{ } es \Downarrow^* \text{cons } v \text{ } vs}$$

An example

$$\frac{\frac{\text{lambda } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow}{\text{lambda } x (\text{var } x)} \quad \frac{\frac{\text{nil} \Downarrow^* \text{nil}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil} \Downarrow} \quad \frac{\frac{\text{nil} \Downarrow^* \text{nil}}{\text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{const } c \text{ nil}] \Downarrow}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil}}}{\text{apply } (\text{lambda } x (\text{var } x)) (\text{const } c \text{ nil}) \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ nil}}$$

Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ } vs \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ } bs \text{ } xs \text{ } e' \\ e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\text{case } e \text{ } bs \Downarrow v}$$

Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs} \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ bs } \Downarrow v}$$

The first matching branch, if any:

$$\frac{\text{Lookup } c (\text{cons } (\text{branch } c \text{ xs } e) \text{ bs}) \text{ xs } e}{c \neq c' \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e} \text{Lookup } c (\text{cons } (\text{branch } c' \text{ xs}' \ e') \text{ bs}) \text{ xs } e$$

Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ } vs \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ } bs \text{ } xs \text{ } e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ } bs \Downarrow v}$$

$e [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'$ holds iff

- ▶ there is some n such that
 $xs = \text{cons } x_1 \text{ } (...(\text{cons } x_n \text{ nil}))$ and
 $vs = \text{cons } v_1 \text{ } (...(\text{cons } v_n \text{ nil}))$, and
- ▶ $e' = ((e [x_n \leftarrow v_n])...) [x_1 \leftarrow v_1]$.

Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ } vs \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ } bs \text{ } xs \text{ } e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ } bs \Downarrow v}$$

$$\overline{e [\text{nil} \leftarrow \text{nil}] \mapsto e}$$

$$\frac{e [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'}{e [\text{cons } x \text{ } xs \leftarrow \text{cons } v \text{ } vs] \mapsto e' [x \leftarrow v]}$$

Quiz

Which of the following sets are inhabited?

case $C()$ **of** $\{ C() \rightarrow D(); C() \rightarrow C() \} \Downarrow C()$

case $C()$ **of** $\{ C() \rightarrow D(); C() \rightarrow C() \} \Downarrow D()$

case $C()$ **of** $\{ C(x) \rightarrow D(); C() \rightarrow D() \} \Downarrow D()$

case $C(C(), D())$ **of** $\{ C(x, x) \rightarrow x \} \Downarrow C()$

case $\text{Suc}(\text{False}())$ **of**

$\{ \text{Zero}() \rightarrow \text{True}(); \text{Suc}(n) \rightarrow n \} \Downarrow \text{False}()$

case $\text{Suc}(\text{False}())$ **of**

$\{ \text{Zero}() \rightarrow \text{True}(); \text{Suc}() \rightarrow \text{False}() \} \Downarrow \text{False}()$

Some
properties

Deterministic

The semantics is deterministic:
 $e \Downarrow v_1$ and $e \Downarrow v_2$ imply $v_1 = v_2$.

Values

- ▶ An expression e is called a value if $e \Downarrow e$.
- ▶ Values can be characterised inductively:

$$\frac{}{Value \text{ (lambda } x \ e)} \qquad \frac{Values \ es}{Value \text{ (const } c \ es)}$$

$$\frac{}{Values \text{ nil}} \qquad \frac{Value \ e \quad Values \ es}{Values \text{ (cons } e \ es)}$$

- ▶ $Value \ e$ holds iff $e \Downarrow e$.
- ▶ If $e \Downarrow v$, then $Value \ v$.

There is a non-terminating expression

- ▶ The program $\text{rec } x (\text{var } x)$ does not terminate with a value.
- ▶ Recall the rule for rec :
$$\frac{e [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x e] \Downarrow v}{\text{rec } x e \Downarrow v}.$$
- ▶ Note that
$$\text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x (\text{var } x)] = \text{rec } x (\text{var } x).$$
- ▶ Idea:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{rec } x (\text{var } x) & \rightarrow & \\ \text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x (\text{var } x)] & = & \\ \text{rec } x (\text{var } x) & \rightarrow & \\ \vdots & & \end{array}$$

There is a non-terminating expression

- If the program did terminate, then there would be a *finite* derivation of the following form:

$$\frac{\frac{\vdots}{\text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow v}}{\text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow v}}$$

- Exercise: Prove more formally that this is impossible, using induction on the structure of the semantics.

The halting problem

The extensional halting problem

There is no closed expression *halts* such that,
for every closed expression *p*,

- ▶ $halts (\lambda x. p) \Downarrow \text{True}()$, if *p* terminates, and
- ▶ $halts (\lambda x. p) \Downarrow \text{False}()$, otherwise.

The extensional halting problem

Note the abuse of notation:

- ▶ The variables *halts* and *p* are not χ variables.
- ▶ *Meta-variables* standing for χ expressions.
- ▶ An alternative is to use abstract syntax:

$\text{apply } \textit{halts} \text{ (lambda } \underline{x} \text{ } p) \Downarrow \text{const } \underline{\textit{True}} \text{ nil}$
 $\text{apply } \textit{halts} \text{ (lambda } \underline{x} \text{ } p) \Downarrow \text{const } \underline{\textit{False}} \text{ nil}$

(For *distinct* $\underline{\textit{True}}, \underline{\textit{False}} \in \textit{Const.}$)

- ▶ More verbose.

The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ Define $terminv \in Exp \rightarrow Exp$:

$$terminv\ p = \mathbf{case}\ halts\ (\lambda x. p)\ \mathbf{of}$$
$$\quad \{ \mathbf{True}() \rightarrow \mathbf{rec}\ x = x$$
$$\quad \quad ; \mathbf{False}() \rightarrow \mathbf{Zero}()$$
$$\quad \}$$

- ▶ For any closed expression p :
 $terminv\ p$ terminates iff p does not terminate.

The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Now consider the closed expression *strange* defined by $\mathbf{rec} \ p = \mathit{terminv} \ p$ (where $p \neq x$).
- ▶ We get a contradiction:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\exists v. \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathbf{rec} \ p = \mathit{terminv} \ p & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathit{terminv} \ p \ [p \leftarrow \mathit{strange}] & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathit{terminv} \ \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ \neg (\exists v. \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \end{array}$$

The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Note that we apply *halts* to a program, not to the source code of a program.
- ▶ How can source code be represented?

Representing
inductively
defined sets

Natural numbers

One method:

- ▶ Notation: $\ulcorner n \urcorner \in Exp$ represents $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ Representation:

$$\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner = \text{Zero}()$$

$$\ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner = \text{Suc}(\ulcorner n \urcorner)$$

Natural numbers

One method:

- ▶ Notation: $\ulcorner n \urcorner \in \text{Exp}$ represents $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ Representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner &= \text{Zero}() \\ \ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner &= \text{Suc}(\ulcorner n \urcorner)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that the concrete syntax should be interpreted as abstract syntax:

$$\begin{aligned}\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner &= \text{const } \underline{\text{Zero}} \text{ nil} \\ \ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner &= \text{const } \underline{\text{Suc}} (\text{cons } \ulcorner n \urcorner \text{ nil})\end{aligned}$$

(For some distinct $\underline{\text{Zero}}, \underline{\text{Suc}} \in \text{Const.}$)

Lists

If elements in A can be represented, then elements in $List\ A$ can also be represented:

$$\begin{aligned}\lceil nil \rceil &= Nil() \\ \lceil cons\ x\ xs \rceil &= Cons(\lceil x \rceil, \lceil xs \rceil)\end{aligned}$$

Many inductively defined sets can be treated in the same way.

Variables, constants

- ▶ Var : Countably infinite.
- ▶ Thus each variable $x \in Var$ can be assigned a unique natural number $number\ x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ Define $\ulcorner x \urcorner = \ulcorner number\ x \urcorner$.
- ▶ Similarly for constants.

Variables, constants

- ▶ Var : Countably infinite.
- ▶ Thus each variable $x \in Var$ can be assigned a unique natural number $number\ x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ Define $\ulcorner x \urcorner^{Var} = \ulcorner number\ x \urcorner^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- ▶ Similarly for constants.

Source code

$\ulcorner \text{var } x \urcorner$	$= \text{Var}(\ulcorner x \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{apply } e_1 \ e_2 \urcorner$	$= \text{Apply}(\ulcorner e_1 \urcorner, \ulcorner e_2 \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{lambda } x \ e \urcorner$	$= \text{Lambda}(\ulcorner x \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{rec } x \ e \urcorner$	$= \text{Rec}(\ulcorner x \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{const } c \ es \urcorner$	$= \text{Const}(\ulcorner c \urcorner, \ulcorner es \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{case } e \ bs \urcorner$	$= \text{Case}(\ulcorner e \urcorner, \ulcorner bs \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{branch } c \ xs \ e \urcorner$	$= \text{Branch}(\ulcorner c \urcorner, \ulcorner xs \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$

Example

- Concrete syntax: $\lambda x. \text{Suc}(x)$.
- Abstract syntax:

lambda $\underline{x}$ (const $\underline{\text{Suc}}$ (cons (var $\underline{x}$) nil))

(for some $\underline{x} \in \text{Var}$ and $\underline{\text{Suc}} \in \text{Const}$).

- Representation (concrete syntax):

Lambda($\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner$,
Const($\ulcorner \underline{\text{Suc}} \urcorner$, Cons(Var($\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner$), Nil()))))

- If $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{\text{Suc}}$ both correspond to zero:

Lambda(Zero(),
Const(Zero(),
Cons(Var(Zero()), Nil()))))

Example

Representation (abstract syntax):

```
const Lambda (  
  cons (const Zero nil) (  
    cons (const Const (  
      cons (const Zero nil) (  
        cons (const Cons (  
          cons (const Var (cons (const Zero nil) nil)) (  
            cons (const Nil nil)  
              nil)))  
        nil)))  
      nil)))  
    nil))
```

Quiz

How is `rec  $x = x$` represented?

Assume that x corresponds to 1.

1. `Rec(X(), X())`
2. `Rec(X(), Var(X()))`
3. `Equals(Rec(X()), X())`
4. `Rec(Suc(Zero()), Suc(Zero()))`
5. `Rec(Suc(Zero()), Var(Suc(Zero())))`
6. `Equals(Rec(Suc(Zero())), Suc(Zero()))`

The halting
problem,
take two

The intensional halting problem (with self-application)

There is no closed expression *halts* such that,
for every closed expression *p*,

- ▶ $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{True}()$, if $p \ulcorner p \urcorner$ terminates, and
- ▶ $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{False}()$, otherwise.

With self-application

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ Define the closed expression *terminv*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{terminv} = \lambda p. \textbf{case } \textit{halts } p \textbf{ of} \\ \quad \{ \text{True}() \rightarrow \text{rec } x = x \\ \quad \quad ; \text{False}() \rightarrow \text{Zero}() \\ \quad \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For any closed expression *p*:
terminv [⌈] *p* [⌋] terminates iff
p [⌈] *p* [⌋] does not terminate.
- ▶ Thus *terminv* [⌈] *terminv* [⌋] terminates iff
terminv [⌈] *terminv* [⌋] does not terminate.

The intensional halting problem

There is no closed expression *halts* such that, for every closed expression *p*,

- ▶ $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{True}()$, if *p* terminates, and
- ▶ $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{False}()$, otherwise.

The intensional halting problem

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ If we can use *halts* to solve the previous variant of the halting problem, then we have found a contradiction.

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \ulcorner \lambda x. x \urcorner \urcorner$$

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \ulcorner \text{lambda } \underline{x} (\text{var } \underline{x}) \urcorner \urcorner$$

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \text{Lambda}(\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner, \text{Var}(\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner)) \urcorner$$

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \text{Lambda}(\text{Zero}(), \text{Var}(\text{Zero}())) \urcorner$$

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
 $\ulcorner \text{const } \underline{Lambda} ($   
   $\text{cons } \ulcorner \text{Zero}() \urcorner ($   
     $\text{cons } \ulcorner \text{Var}(\text{Zero}()) \urcorner$   
     $\text{nil}) \urcorner$ 
```

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
 $\ulcorner \text{const } \underline{Lambda} ($   
   $\text{cons } (\text{const } \underline{Zero} \text{ nil}) ($   
     $\text{cons } \ulcorner \text{Var}(\text{Zero}()) \urcorner$   
     $\text{nil}) \urcorner$ 
```

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
 $\ulcorner \text{const } \underline{Lambda} ($   
   $\text{cons } (\text{const } \underline{Zero} \text{ nil}) ($   
     $\text{cons } (\text{const } \underline{Var} (\text{cons } (\text{const } \underline{Zero} \text{ nil}) \text{ nil}))$   
     $\text{nil})) \urcorner$ 
```

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
Const(Lambda,  
  Cons(Const(Zero, Nil()),  
    Cons(Const(Var,  
      Cons(Const(Zero, Nil()),  
        Nil()))),  
    Nil()))))
```

The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
Const(Suc(Zero()),  
  Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Zero()))), Nil()),  
  Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Suc(Zero()))),  
    Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Zero()))), Nil()),  
    Nil()))),  
  Nil())))
```

The intensional halting problem

Define the closed expression $halts'$ by

$$\lambda p. halts \text{ Apply}(p, code\ p).$$

For any closed expression p :

$$\begin{array}{llll} p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \text{ terminates} & & & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ } \ulcorner p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ True()} & & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ True()} & & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, code \ulcorner p \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ True()} & & \Rightarrow \\ halts' \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ True()} & & \end{array}$$

The intensional halting problem

Define the closed expression $halts'$ by

$$\lambda p. halts \text{ Apply}(p, code\ p).$$

For any closed expression p :

$$\begin{array}{llll} p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \text{ does not terminate} & & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ } \ulcorner p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, code \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow \\ halts' \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \end{array}$$

Thus $halts'$ solves the previous variant of the halting problem, and we have found a contradiction.

Summary

- ▶ Concrete and abstract syntax.
- ▶ Operational semantics.
- ▶ Several variants of the halting problem.
- ▶ Representing inductively defined sets.