

Lecture

Computability

(DIT312, DAT415)

Nils Anders Danielsson

2019-11-11

Today

Two models of computation:

- ▶ PRF.
- ▶ The recursive functions.

PRF

The primitive recursive functions

- ▶ A model of computation.
- ▶ Programs taking tuples of natural numbers to natural numbers.
- ▶ Every program is terminating.

Sketch

The primitive recursive functions can be constructed in the following ways:

$$f() = 0$$

$$f(x) = 1 + x$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) = x_k$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1 + x) = \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x))$$

Abstract syntax

Vectors

Vectors, lists of a fixed length:

$$\frac{}{\text{nil} \in A^0} \qquad \frac{xs \in A^n \quad x \in A}{xs, x \in A^{1+n}}$$

Read nil, x, y, z as $((\text{nil}, x), y), z$.

Indexing

An indexing operation can be defined by (a slight variant of) primitive recursion:

$$index \in A^n \rightarrow \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq i < n\} \rightarrow A$$

$$index \ (xs, x) \ \mathbf{zero} \quad = \ x$$

$$index \ (xs, x) \ (\mathbf{suc} \ n) = index \ xs \ n$$

Abstract syntax

PRF_n : Functions that take n arguments ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

$$\overline{\text{zero} \in PRF_0}$$

$$\overline{\text{suc} \in PRF_1}$$

$$\frac{i \in \mathbb{N} \quad 0 \leq i < n}{\text{proj } i \in PRF_n}$$

$$\frac{f \in PRF_m \quad gs \in (PRF_n)^m}{\text{comp } f \text{ } gs \in PRF_n}$$

$$\frac{f \in PRF_n \quad g \in PRF_{2+n}}{\text{rec } f \text{ } g \in PRF_{1+n}}$$

Denotational semantics

Denotational semantics

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket \in PRF_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$$

$$\llbracket \text{zero} \rrbracket \text{ nil} = 0$$

$$\llbracket \text{suc} \rrbracket (\text{nil}, n) = 1 + n$$

$$\llbracket \text{proj } i \rrbracket \rho = \text{index } \rho \ i$$

$$\llbracket \text{comp } f \ gs \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket f \rrbracket (\llbracket gs \rrbracket \star \rho)$$

$$\llbracket \text{rec } f \ g \rrbracket (\rho, \text{zero}) = \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho$$

$$\llbracket \text{rec } f \ g \rrbracket (\rho, \text{suc } n) = \llbracket g \rrbracket (\rho, n, \llbracket \text{rec } f \ g \rrbracket (\rho, n))$$

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket \star \in (PRF_m)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n)$$

$$\llbracket \text{nil} \rrbracket \star \rho = \text{nil}$$

$$\llbracket fs, f \rrbracket \star \rho = \llbracket fs \rrbracket \star \rho, \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho$$

Denotational semantics

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket &\in PRF_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \\ \llbracket \text{zero} \rrbracket \text{ nil} &= 0 \\ \llbracket \text{suc} \rrbracket (\text{nil}, n) &= 1 + n \\ \llbracket \text{proj } i \rrbracket \rho &= \text{index } \rho \ i \\ \llbracket \text{comp } f \ gs \rrbracket \rho &= \llbracket f \rrbracket (\llbracket gs \rrbracket \star \rho) \\ \llbracket \text{rec } f \ g \rrbracket (\rho, n) &= \text{rec } (\llbracket f \rrbracket \rho) \\ &\quad (\lambda n \ r. \llbracket g \rrbracket (\rho, n, r)) \\ &\quad n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket \star &\in (PRF_m)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n) \\ \llbracket \text{nil} \rrbracket \star \rho &= \text{nil} \\ \llbracket fs, f \rrbracket \star \rho &= \llbracket fs \rrbracket \star \rho, \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho \end{aligned}$$

Quiz

Which of the following terms, all in PRF_2 , define addition?

1. $\text{rec } (\text{proj } 0) (\text{proj } 0)$
2. $\text{rec } (\text{proj } 0) (\text{proj } 1)$
3. $\text{rec } (\text{proj } 0) (\text{comp suc } (\text{nil}, \text{proj } 0))$
4. $\text{rec } (\text{proj } 0) (\text{comp suc } (\text{nil}, \text{proj } 1))$

Hint: Examine $\llbracket p \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n)$ for each program p .

Addition

Goal: Define *add* satisfying the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket add \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, \text{zero}) &= m \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket add \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, \text{suc } n) &= \\ &\quad \text{suc } (\llbracket add \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n))\end{aligned}$$

If we can find a definition of *add* that satisfies these equations, then we can use structural induction to prove that *add* is an implementation of addition.

Addition

Perhaps we can use rec:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, \text{zero}) &= m \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, \text{suc } n) &= \\ &\quad \text{suc } (\llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n))\end{aligned}$$

Addition

Perhaps we can use rec:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m) &= m \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, \text{suc } n) &= \\ &\quad \text{suc } (\llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n))\end{aligned}$$

Addition

Perhaps we can use rec:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m) &= m \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \llbracket g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, \llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n)) &= \\ \quad \text{suc } (\llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n))\end{aligned}$$

Addition

The zero case:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m) = m$$

Addition

The zero case:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket \text{proj } 0 \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m) = m$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, \llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n)) = \\ \text{suc } (\llbracket \text{rec } f \, g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n))$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r) = \text{suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket \text{comp } h \text{ } hs \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r) = \text{suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket h \rrbracket (\llbracket hs \rrbracket \star (\text{nil}, m, n, r)) = \text{suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket \text{suc} \rrbracket (\llbracket \text{nil}, k \rrbracket \star (\text{nil}, m, n, r)) = \text{suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket \text{suc} \rrbracket (\text{nil}, \llbracket k \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r)) = \text{suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ suc } (\llbracket k \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r)) = \text{ suc } r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket k \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r) = r$$

Addition

The suc case:

$$\forall m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}. \llbracket \text{proj } 0 \rrbracket (\text{nil}, m, n, r) = r$$

Addition

We end up with the following definition:

$$\text{rec } (\text{proj } 0) (\text{comp suc } (\text{nil}, \text{proj } 0))$$

Big-step operational semantics

Big-step operational semantics

- ▶ The semantics can also be defined inductively.
- ▶ $f[\rho] \Downarrow n$ means that the result of evaluating f with input ρ is n .
- ▶ $f[\rho] \Downarrow n$ is well-formed (“type-correct”) if

$$\exists m \in \mathbb{N}. f \in PRF_m \wedge \rho \in \mathbb{N}^m \wedge n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- ▶ $fs[\rho] \Downarrow^* \rho'$ is well-formed if

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \\ &f \in (PRF_m)^n \wedge \rho \in \mathbb{N}^m \wedge \rho' \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that well-formed statements do not need to be true.

Big-step operational semantics

$$\frac{}{\text{zero} [\text{nil}] \Downarrow 0}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{suc} [\text{nil}, n] \Downarrow 1 + n}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{proj } i [\rho] \Downarrow \text{index } \rho \ i}$$

$$\frac{f [\rho] \Downarrow n}{\text{rec } f \ g [\rho, \text{zero}] \Downarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{rec } f \ g [\rho, m] \Downarrow n \\ g [\rho, m, n] \Downarrow o \end{array}}{\text{rec } f \ g [\rho, \text{suc } m] \Downarrow o}$$

Big-step operational semantics

$$\frac{gs[\rho] \Downarrow^* \rho' \quad f[\rho'] \Downarrow n}{\text{comp } f \text{ } gs[\rho] \Downarrow n}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{nil}[\rho] \Downarrow^* \text{nil}} \quad \frac{fs[\rho] \Downarrow^* ns \quad f[\rho] \Downarrow n}{fs, f[\rho] \Downarrow^* ns, n}$$

Equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} f[\rho] \Downarrow n & \text{ iff } \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho = n, \\ fs[\rho] \Downarrow^* \rho' & \text{ iff } \llbracket fs \rrbracket \star \rho = \rho'. \end{aligned}$$

This can be proved by induction on the structure of the semantics in one direction, and f/fs in the other.

Equivalence

Thus the operational semantics is total and deterministic:

- ▶ $\forall f \rho. \exists n. f[\rho] \Downarrow n.$
- ▶ $\forall f \rho \ m \ n.$
 $f[\rho] \Downarrow m$ and $f[\rho] \Downarrow n$ implies $m = n.$

Quiz

Which of the following propositions are true?

1. $\text{comp zero nil [nil, 5, 7]} \Downarrow 0$
2. $\text{comp suc (nil, proj 0) [nil, 5, 7]} \Downarrow 6$
3. $\text{rec zero (proj 0) [nil, 2]} \Downarrow 0$

(All three statements are well-formed.)

Computability for PRF

No self-interpreter

- ▶ Not every (Turing-) computable function is primitive recursive.
- ▶ Exercise: Define a computable function $code \in PRF_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with a computable left inverse.
- ▶ There is no program $eval \in PRF_1$ satisfying

$$\forall f \in PRF_1, n \in \mathbb{N}. \\ \llbracket eval \rrbracket (\text{nil}, \ulcorner (f, n) \urcorner) = \llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, n),$$

where $\ulcorner (f, n) \urcorner = 2^{code\ f} 3^n$.

No self-interpreter

Proof sketch:

- Define $g \in PRF_1$ by

$$\text{comp suc } (\text{nil}, \text{comp } eval (\text{nil}, f)),$$

where $\llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, n) = 2^n 3^n$.

- We get

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, code\ g) &= \\ 1 + \llbracket eval \rrbracket (\text{nil}, \llbracket f \rrbracket (\text{nil}, code\ g)) &= \\ 1 + \llbracket eval \rrbracket (\text{nil}, 2^{code\ g} 3^{code\ g}) &= \\ 1 + \llbracket eval \rrbracket (\text{nil}, \ulcorner (g, code\ g) \urcorner) &= \\ 1 + \llbracket g \rrbracket (\text{nil}, code\ g). \end{aligned}$$

Knuth's up-arrow

- Addition amounts to repeatedly taking the successor:

$$m + n = \overbrace{\text{suc} (\dots (\text{suc } m) \dots)}^n$$

- Multiplication is repeated addition:

$$mn = \overbrace{m + \dots + m}^n$$

- Exponentiation is repeated multiplication:

$$m^n = \overbrace{m \cdots m}^n$$

Knuth's up-arrow

We can continue:

$$m \uparrow\uparrow n = m \overbrace{\cdot \cdot \cdot}^n$$

$$m \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow n = \overbrace{m \uparrow\uparrow (\dots (m \uparrow\uparrow m) \dots)}^n$$

$$m \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow n = \overbrace{m \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow (\dots (m \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow m) \dots)}^n$$
$$\vdots$$

All of these functions are primitive recursive.

Quiz

What is the value of $2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3$?

$$m \uparrow\uparrow n = \overbrace{m \cdot \cdot}^n$$

$$m \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow n = \overbrace{m \uparrow\uparrow (\dots (m \uparrow\uparrow m) \dots)}^n$$

Knuth's up-arrow

A generalisation:

$$\uparrow \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$m \uparrow^{\text{zero}} k = mk$$

$$m \uparrow^{\text{suc } n} \text{zero} = 1$$

$$m \uparrow^{\text{suc } n} \text{suc } k = m \uparrow^n (m \uparrow^{\text{suc } n} k)$$

This is a variant of Knuth's up-arrow notation.

Knuth's up-arrow

- ▶ Every individual function \uparrow^n is primitive recursive.
- ▶ However, \uparrow is not, even though it is computable.

The Ackermann function

- ▶ Another example of a computable function that is not primitive recursive.
- ▶ One variant:

$$ack \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$ack(\text{zero}, n) = \text{suc } n$$

$$ack(\text{suc } m, \text{zero}) = ack(m, \text{suc zero})$$

$$ack(\text{suc } m, \text{suc } n) = ack(m, ack(\text{suc } m, n))$$

- ▶ The function “grows faster” than every primitive recursive function.

The recursive functions

The recursive functions

- ▶ A model of computation.
- ▶ Programs taking tuples of natural numbers to natural numbers.
- ▶ Not every program is terminating.

Abstract syntax

- ▶ Extends PRF with one additional constructor.
- ▶ RF_n : Functions that take n arguments.
- ▶ Minimisation:

$$\frac{f \in RF_{1+n}}{\min f \in RF_n}$$

- ▶ Rough idea: $\min f[\rho]$ is the smallest n for which $f[\rho, n]$ is 0.
- ▶ Note that there may not be such a number.

Big-step operational semantics

The operational semantics is extended:

$$\frac{f[\rho, n] \Downarrow 0 \quad \forall m < n. \exists k \in \mathbb{N}. f[\rho, m] \Downarrow 1 + k}{\min f[\rho] \Downarrow n}$$

Big-step operational semantics

The operational semantics is extended:

$$\frac{f[\rho, n] \Downarrow 0 \quad \forall m < n. \exists k \in \mathbb{N}. f[\rho, m] \Downarrow 1 + k}{\min f[\rho] \Downarrow n}$$

The semantics is deterministic, but not total:

- ▶ $f[\rho] \Downarrow m$ and $f[\rho] \Downarrow n$ implies $m = n$.
- ▶ $\forall m. \exists f \in RF_m. \forall \rho. \nexists n. f[\rho] \Downarrow n$.

Quiz

- Construct $f \in RF_0$ in such a way that $\nexists n. f[\text{nil}] \Downarrow n$.

Denotational semantics?

We can try to extend the denotational semantics:

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket \in RF_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\llbracket \text{min } f \rrbracket \rho = \text{search } f \rho 0$$

$$\text{search} \in RF_{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{search } f \rho n =$$

$$\text{if } \llbracket f \rrbracket (\rho, n) = 0$$

$$\text{then } n$$

$$\text{else } \text{search } f \rho (1 + n)$$

Partial functions

- ▶ This “definition” does not give rise to (total) functions.
- ▶ We can instead define a semantics as a function to partial functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket - \rrbracket &\in RF_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^n \multimap \mathbb{N}) \\ \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho &= \\ &\text{if } f[\rho] \Downarrow n \text{ for some } n \\ &\text{then } n \\ &\text{else undefined} \end{aligned}$$

Expressiveness

- ▶ Equivalent to Turing machines, λ -calculus, ...

Summary

Two models of computation:

- ▶ PRF.
- ▶ The recursive functions.