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Question 1. (a) q 1 , $\mathrm{q} 2, \mathrm{q} 3, \mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{q} 1$, leading to $\mathrm{n}=0$
(b) $\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{q} 1, \mathrm{q} 2, \mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3, \mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{q} 1$ giving $\mathrm{n}=1$
(c) $\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2$, and then repeat ( $\mathrm{q} 1, \mathrm{q} 2, \mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2$ ) infinitely often.
(d) Yes (both processes run infinitely often).

Question 2. (a) See textbook, p. 152.
(b) See textbook, p. 119.
(c) Three, one for each condition variable, and one for monitor entry.
(d) Like (a), but remove condition variables, guard entry put by when buffer not full and entry get by when buffer not empty. The bodies of the entries need no if or signal.

Question 3. (a) The completed table:

|  | State $=(\mathrm{pi}, \mathrm{qi}, \mathrm{wp}, \mathrm{wq})$ | next state if p moves | next state if q moves |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s_{1}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 2,0,0)$ | $s_{7}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 2,1,0)$ | $s_{2}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3,0,-1)$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3,0,-1)$ | $s_{8}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,-1)$ | $\left.s_{4}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 5,0,-1)\right)$ |
| $s_{3}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3,0,1)$ | $s_{11}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,1,1)$ | $s_{5}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 5,0,1)$ |
| $s_{4}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 5,0,-1)$ | $s_{12}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,-1,-1)$ | $s_{1}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 2,0,0)$ |
| $s_{5}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 5,0,1)$ | $s_{13}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,1,1)$ | $s_{1}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 2,0,0)$ |
| $s_{6}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 2,-1,0)$ | $s_{14}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 2,-1,0)$ | $s_{9}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,1)$ |
| $s_{7}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 2,1,0)$ | $s_{15}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 2,1,0)$ | $s_{10}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,1,-1)$ |
| $s_{8}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,-1)$ | blocked | $s_{12}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,-1,-1)$ |
| $s_{9}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,1)$ | $s_{16}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,1)$ | blocked |
| $s_{10}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,1,-1)$ | $s_{17}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,1,-1)$ | blocked |
| $s_{11}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 3,1,1)$ | blocked | $s_{13}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,1,1)$ |
| $s_{12}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,-1,-1)$ | blocked | $s_{6}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 2,-1,0)$ |
| $s_{13}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 5,1,1)$ | blocked | $s_{7}=(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{q} 2,1,0)$ |
| $s_{14}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 2,-1,0)$ | $s_{1}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 2,0,0)$ | $s_{16}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,1)$ |
| $s_{15}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 2,1,0)$ | $s_{1}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 2,0,0)$ | $s_{17}=(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,1,-1)$ |
| $s_{16}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,-1,1)$ | $s_{3}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3,0,1)$ | blocked |
| $s_{17}$ | $(\mathrm{p} 5, \mathrm{q} 3,1,-1)$ | $s_{2}=(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{q} 3,0,-1)$ | blocked |

(b) There are no states with $(p 5, q 5, ?, ?)$.
(c) There are no states with both $p$ and $q$ blocked.
(d) Write $S_{i}$ for the set of states that must lead to a $p 5$-state in $i$ moves. Then $S_{0}=\left\{s_{14}\right.$ through $\left.s_{17}\right\}, S_{1}=\left\{s_{9}, s_{10}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{s_{6}, s_{7}\right\}, S_{3}=\left\{s_{12}, s_{13}\right\}, S_{4}=\left\{s_{8}, s_{11}\right\}$. Then $S$ is the union of these states. Each of the remaining states has a $p$-move into $S$, so a fair scheduler has to take one of them some time.

Question 4. (a) $N$ holds at $s_{1}$ by initialisation. Subsequently, $p$ can only reach $p 2$ from $p 5$. At $p 3$ and $p 5$, $w p$ is -1 or 1 because of $p 2$.
(b) $M$ holds at $s_{1}$ since neither $p 5$ nor $q 5$ holds. Any state $(p 5, q 3, ?, ?)$ must have been reached from $(p 3, q 3, ?, ?)$ or $(p 5, q 2, ?, ?)$. In any $(p 3, q 3, ?, ?)$ state precisely one of $p$ and $q$ can proceed (there are four combinations of $w p$ and $w q$, two of which have $w p=w q$ while the other two have $w p \neq w q$ ). Finally, if $q$ moves in $(p 5, q 2, ?, ?)$, it will set $w q$ to block itself.
(c) We show that any state $(p 3, ?, ?, ?)$ must lead to a $p 5$-state.

In the states $(p 3, q 2, ?, ?)$. either $p$ moves so we have progress, or, after $q 2$, we have $w p \neq w q$, so $q$ blocks itself and releases $p$. Then the scheduler has to pick $p$.

In the states $(p 3, q 3, ?, ?)$, either $q$ is blocked and $p$ free, so we have progress, or the other way around. Then $q$ must do $q 5$ and release $p$, subsequently blocking itself anyway at $q 2$.
Similarly for $(p 3, q 5, ?, ?)$.
Question 5. (a) The computation starts off Ints --qi--> Sift.
It prints 2 and evolves to Ints --qi--> Filter (2) --q2--> Sift, where q2 is the local channel q when Sift received 2. We show only the integer parameter of Filter, the two channels are shown in the diagram.
Filter(2) then swallows 3 but relays 4, which Sift prints. New state: Ints --qi--> Filter(2) --q2--> Filter(4) --q4--> Sift
Filter(2) then swallows 3 but relays 4, which Sift prints. New state: Ints --qi--> Filter (2) --q2--> Filter(4) --q4--> Sift

Next, 5 and 7 are swallowed by Filter (2) and 6 by Filter ( 4, , , but 8 is printed and we have Ints --qi--> Filter(2) --q2--> Filter(4) --q4--> Filter(8) --q8--> Sift.
The program prints powers of 2 .
(b) The program prints $3,6,12,24 \ldots$ and $5,10,20,40 \ldots$
(c) and (d). No. The program grows forever, and greater channel capacity merely stores more numbers in the pipeline. No synchronisation nor do the actions change when a channel delivers a number.
(e) Change the line if $\mathrm{n} \bmod \mathrm{p}=0$ in Filter to if $\mathrm{n} \bmod \mathrm{p} \neq 0$.

Question 6. (a) For barrier synchronisation. Each divisor is run past each remaining candidate prime before we go on to the next one.
(b) A Worker might finish with one divisor and steal the next start and re-do the same divisor, while another Worker misses that divisor altogether.
(c) When the Master has used up all the divisors, it times out and terminates, leaving the Workers waiting for the next start.
(d) Master posts a new tuple todo right at the start, and removes it at alldone:. Before it removes the start, each Worker tries to read todo with a time-out causing termination.

