Software Engineering using Formal Methods Modeling Distributed Systems

Wolfgang Ahrendt

11 September 2015

This Lecture

You know you have a distributed system when the crash of a computer you've never heard of stops you from getting any work done.—Leslie Lamport

Using Prometa channels for modeling distributed systems

Modeling Distributed Systems

Distributed systems consist of

- nodes
- interacting via communication channels
- protocols dictate how nodes communicate with each other

Distributed systems are very complex

Models of distributed systems abstract away from details of networks/protocols/nodes

In Promela:

- ▶ nodes modeled by PROMELA processes
- communication channels modeled by PROMELA channels
- protocols modeled by algorithm distributed over processes

Channels in Promela

In PROMELA, channels are first class citizens

Data type chan with two operations for sending and receiving

A variable of channel type is declared by initializer:

```
chan name = [capacity] of \{type_1, ..., type_n\}

name name of channel variable capacity non-negative integer constant type; PROMELA data types
```

Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Meaning of Channels

```
chan name = [capacity] of \{type_1, ..., type_n\}

Creates channel, stored in name

Messages communicated via channel are n-tuples \in type_1 \times ... \times type_n

Can buffer up to capacity messages, if capacity \geq 1

\Rightarrow "buffered channel"

The channel has no buffer if capacity = 0
```

⇒ "rendezvous channel"

Meaning of Channels

Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }

Creates channel, stored in ch

Messages communicated via ch are 3-tuples ∈ mtype × byte × bool

Given, e.g., mtype = {red, yellow, green},
an example message on ch can be: green, 20, false

ch is a buffered channel, buffering up to 2 messages
```

Sending and Receiving

send statement has the form:

```
name ! expr_1, ..., expr_n
```

- name: channel variable
- ► *expr*₁, ... , *expr*_n: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- \triangleright sends values of expr₁, ..., expr_n as one message
- ▶ example: ch ! green, i+20, false

receive statement has the form:

 $name ? var_1, ..., var_n$

- name: channel variable
- var₁, ..., var_n: sequence of variables, where number and types match message type
- assigns values of message to var₁, ..., var_n
- example: ch ? color, time, flash

Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
active proctype Client0() {
  request ! 0
}
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1
}
...
```

ClientO and Client1 send messages O resp. 1 to channel request order of sending is nondeterministic

Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
....
active proctype Server() {
  byte num;
  do
    :: request ? num;
      printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
  od
}
```

Server loops on

- receiving first message from request, storing value in num
- printing

Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

```
request ? num
 executable only when another process offers send on channel request
  \Rightarrow receive statement frequently used as guard in if/do-statements
  do
     :: request ? num ->
         printf("servinguclientu%d\n", num)
  od
("->" equivalent to ";", but highlights guard role of request ? num)
```

Rendezvous Channels

```
chan ch = [0] of { byte, byte };
/* global only to make visible in SpinSpider */
byte hour, minute:
active proctype Sender() {
  printf("ready\n");
  ch! 11, 45;
  printf("Sent\n")
active proctype Receiver() {
  printf("steady\n");
  ch ? hour, minute;
  printf("Received\n")
```

Which interleavings can occur? \Rightarrow ask SpinSpider

Rendezvous are Synchronous

On a rendezvous channel:

transfer of message from sender to receiver is synchronous, i.e., one single operation

```
Sender Receiver
\vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots
(11,45) \longrightarrow (hour,minute)
\vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots
```

Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

- Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): "offer to engage in rendezvous"
- 2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): "rendezvous can be accepted"

or the other way round:

- Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): "offer to engage in rendezvous"
- Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): "rendezvous can be accepted"

in any case:

location counter of both processes is incremented at once

only place where $\ensuremath{\mathrm{PROMELA}}$ processes execute synchronously

Reconsider Client Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
active proctype Server() {
  byte num;
  do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
  od
active proctype Client0() {
  request ! 0
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1
so far no reply to clients
```

Reply Channels

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
chan reply = [0] of { bool };
active proctype Server() {
  byte num;
  do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving client \%d\n", num);
        reply! true
 od
active proctype ClientO() {
  request ! 0; reply ? _
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1; reply ? _
(anonymous variable "_" used if interested in receipt, not content)
```

Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype };
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };
active proctype Server() {
  mtype msg;
  do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
  od
}
active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
                                  Is the assertion valid? Ask Spin.
active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

Several Servers

More realistic with several servers: active [2] proctype Server() { mtype msg; do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg od } active proctype NiceClient() { mtype msg; request ! nice; reply ? msg; assert(msg == nice) And here? Analyse with Spin. active proctype RudeClient() { mtype msg; request ! rude; reply ? msg }

Sending Channels via Channels

To fix the protocol:

clients declare local reply channel + send it to server

Sending Channels via Channels

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype, chan };
active [2] proctype Server() {
 mtype msg; chan ch;
 do :: request ? msg, ch;
        ch! msg
 od
active proctype NiceClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! nice, reply; reply ? msg;
  assert( msg == nice )
}
active proctype RudeClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! rude, reply; reply ? msg
}
      verify with Spin
```

Scope of Channels

channels are typically declared global

global channel

- usual case
- all processes can send and/or receive messages

local channel

- rarely used
- dies with its process
- can be useful to model security issues example:
 - local channel could be passed through a global channel

Sending Process IDs

used fixed constants used for identification (here nice, rude)

- inflexible
- doesn't scale

```
Alternative:
processes send their own, unique process ID, _pid, as part of message
experiment with rendezvous3.pml
example, clients code:
  chan reply = [0] of { byte, byte };
  request ! _pid, reply;
  reply ? serverID, serversClient;
  assert( serversClient == _pid )
```

Limitations of Rendezvous Channels

- rendezvous too restrictive for many applications
- servers and clients block each other too much
- difficult to manage uneven workload
 (online shop: dozens of webservers serve thousands of clients)

Buffered Channel

buffered channels queue messages; requests/services no not immediately block clients/servers

```
example:
chan ch = [3] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Buffered Channels

buffered channels, with capacity cap

- can hold up to cap messages
- are a FIFO (first-in-first-out) data structure: always the 'oldest' message in channel is retrieved by a receive
- (normal) receive statement reads and removes message
- sending and receiving to/from buffered channels is asynchronous,
 i.e. interleaved

Executability of Buffered Channel operations

given channel ch, with capacity cap, currently containing n messages

receive statement ch ? msg

is executable iff ch is not empty, i.e., n > 0

send statement ch ! msg

is executable iff there is still 'space' in the message queue, i.e., n < cap

An non-executable receive or send statement will block until it is executable again

(With option -m, SPIN has a different send semantics: attempt to send to a full channel does not block, but the message gets lost.)

Checking Channel for Full/Empty

this can save from unnecessary blocking:

given channel ch:

full(ch) checks whether ch is full
nfull(ch) checks whether ch is not full
empty(ch) checks whether ch is empty
nempty(ch) checks whether ch is not empty

illegal to negate those avoid combining with else

Copy Message without Removing

Assume ch to be a buffered channel.

```
ch ? color, time, flash
```

- ▶ assigns values from the message to color, time, flash
- removes message from ch

```
ch ? <color, time, flash>
```

- ▶ assign values from the message to color, time, flash
- leaves message in ch

Dispatching Messages

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message mtype = {hi, bye}; chan ch = [0] of $\{mtype\}$; active proctype Server () { mtype msg; read: ch ? msg; do :: msg == hi -> printf("Hello.\n"); goto read :: msg == bye -> printf("See,you.\n"); break od

There is a better way!

. . .

Pattern Matching

Receive statement allows also values as arguments:

$$ch ? exp_1, \ldots, exp_n$$

- $ightharpoonup exp_1, \dots, exp_n$ any(!) expressions of correct type
- ► statement is executable, iff message msg_1, \ldots, msg_n in channel ch matches arguments, i.e. if
 - exp_i is a variable, then any value of msg_i (of correct type) matches and is assigned if statement is executed
 - $ightharpoonup exp_i$ is a value, e.g. 23, msg_i must have same value

Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};
int id = 5;
```

Does ch ? 0, id match message

- ▶ [0, 5] ? ✓ [0, 7] ? ✓ [1, 7] ? 🗶
- Value of id afterwards?

To match the value stored in a variable var use eval(var)

Does ch ? 0, eval(id) match message

- ▶ [0, 5] ? ✓ [0, 7] ? **X** [1, 7] ? **X**
- ► Value of id afterwards?

Dispatching Messages Revisited

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message type.

```
mtype = {hi, bye};
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};

active proctype Server () {
   do
     :: ch ? hi -> printf("Hello.\n")
     :: ch ? bye -> printf("See_you.\n"); break
   od
}
```

Dispatching Messages Revisited

Random receive ?? (for buffered channels)

- Executable if matching message exists in channel.
- ▶ If executed, first matching message removed from channel.

Nicer Message Formatting

PROMELA provides an alternative, but equivalent syntax for

namely

Increases readability for certain applications, e.g. protocol modelling:

```
ch!send(msg,id) vs. ch!send,msg,id
ch!ack(id) vs. ch!ack,id
```

And finally

Buffered channels are part of the state!

State space gets much bigger using buffered channels

Use with care (and with small buffers).