Monads

David Sands

Monads seen so far: IO vs Gen

IO A



- Instructions to build a value of type A by interacting with the operating system
- Run by the ghc runtime system

Gen A



- Instructions to create a random value of type A
- Run by the QuickCheck library functions to perform random tests

Terminology

- A *"monadic value"* is just an expression whose type is an instance of class Monad
- *"t is a monad"* means t is an instance of the class Monad
- We have often called a monadic value an *"instruction".* This is not standard terminology
  - but sometimes they are called "actions"

Parsing

- So far: how to write

```
readExpr :: String -> Maybe Expr
```

- Key idea:

```
type Parser = String -> Maybe (a, String)
```

- This lecture: Building Parsers; Parsers as a new type of ”instructions” – i.e. a monad.

The Big Picture



Recall some key building blocks

```
succeed :: a -> Parser a
succeed a = P $ \s -> Just(a,s)

sat :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char

(>->) :: Parser a -> Parser b -> Parser b
(>*>) :: Parser a -> (a -> Parser b) -> Parser b
```

```
Main> parse (digit >*> \a -> sat (==a)) "22xx"
Just ('2',"xxx")
Main> parse (digit >*> \a -> sat (==a)) "12xx"
Nothing
```

The Parser Monad

- Using these building blocks we can make Parser an instance of the class Monad
  - We get a language of “Parsing Instructions”
  - Another way to write Parsers using do notation
  - Deeper understanding of Monads

Monads seen so far: IO vs Gen

IO A



- Instructions to build a value of type A by interacting with the operating system
- Run by the ghc runtime system

Gen A



- Instructions to create a random value of type A
- Run by the QuickCheck library functions to perform random tests

Monads = Instructions

- What is the type of doTwice?

```
Main> :i doTwice
doTwice :: Monad a => a b -> a (b,b)
```

Even the *kind of instructions* can vary! Different kinds of instructions, depending on who obeys them.

Whatever kind of result argument produces, we get a pair of them

IO means operating system.

Monads and do notation

- To be an instance of class Monad you need (as a minimal definition) two operations: **>>=** and **return**

```
class Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  return :: a -> m a

  (>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b
  x >> y = x >>= \_ -> y

  fail :: String -> m a
  fail msg = error msg
```

Default implementations

Monad

- To be an instance of class Monad you need two operations: **>>=** and **return**

```
instance Monad Parser where
  return = succeed
  (>>=)  = (>*>)
   -- (>->) is equivalent to (>>)
```

- Why bother?

•First example of a home-grown monad
•Can understand and use do notation

The truth about Do

- Do syntax is just a shorthand:

```
do act1
   act2
```

==

```
act1 >> act2
```

==

```
act1 >>= \_ -> act2
```

```
do v <- act1
   act2
```

==

```
act1 >>= \v -> act2
```

The truth about Do

Full translation (I)

```
do act1
   …
   actn
```

==

```
act1 >> do …
          actn
```

```
do v <- act1
   …
   actn
```

==

```
act1 >>= \v -> do …
                  actn
```

```
do actn
```

==

```
actn
```

The truth about Do

Full Translation (II): Let and pattern matching

```
do let p = e
   …
   actn
```

==

```
let p = e in
do …
   actn
```

```
do pattern <- act1
   …
   actn
```

```
let f pattern = do …
                  actn
    f _         = fail "Error"
in  act1 >>= f
```

==

Example

- recall doTwice

```
doTwice :: Monad m => m a -> m (a,a)
doTwice cmd =
  do a <- cmd
     b <- cmd
     return (a,b)
```

Main> parse (*doTwice number) ”9876”*
Just ((’9’,’8’), ”76”)

Example revisited: Parsing Expressions

```
expr :: Parser Expr
expr s1 = case parse num s1 of
            Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of
                             '+':s3 -> case parse expr s3 of
                                         Just (b,s4) -> Just (Add a b, s4)
                                         Nothing     -> Just (a,s2)
                             _      -> Just (a,s2)
            Nothing     -> Nothing
```

modified to use the new version of Parser type. Otherwise as before

Monadic style abstracts away from implementation of the Parser type

```
expr :: Parser Expr
expr  = do a <- num
           do char '+'
              b <- expr
              return (Add a b)
           +++ return a
```

Parser Combinators

```
zeroOrMore, oneOrMore :: Parser a -> Parser [a]

zeroOrMore p = oneOrMore p +++ return []

oneOrMore p = do v <- p
                 vs <- zeroOrMore p
                 return(v:vs)
```

Main> parse (*oneOrMore number) ”9876+”*
Just (”9876”,”+”)

Combinator: a function which take functions as arguments and produces a function as a result

Parser Combinators

```
nat :: Parser Int -- Parses a non negative integer
nat =  do xs <- oneOrMore number
          return (read xs)

int :: Parser Int
int =  nat +++
       do char '-'
          n <- nat
          return (-n)
```

Chain

```
chain p op f = P $ \s1 ->
      case parse p s1 of
       Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of
                        c:s3 | c == op -> case chain p op f s3 of
                                            Just (b,s4) -> Just (f a b, s4)
                                            Nothing     -> Just (a,s2)
                                          -> Just (a,s2)
                        _
       Nothing     -> Nothing
```

Old definition (modified to work with the new type)

```
chain p op f = do v <- p
                  vs <- zeroOrMore (char op >> p)
                  return (foldr1 f (v:vs))
```

Prelude.foldr1 : fold operation for lists with at least one element (no ”nil” case)

Factor

```
factor :: Parser Expr
factor ('(':s) =
   case expr s of
      Just (a, ')':s1) -> Just (a, s1)
      _                -> Nothing

factor s = num s
```



```
factor :: Parser Expr
factor = num +++
             do char '('
                e <- expr
                char ')'
                return e
```

Summary

- We can use higher-order functions to build Parsers from other more basic Parsers.
- Parsers can be viewed as an instance of Monad
- We can build our own Monads!
  - A lot of ”plumbing” is nicely hidden away
  - The implementation of the Monad is not visible and can thus be changed or extended

IO t

- Instructions for interacting with operating system
- Run by GHC runtime system produce value of type t

Gen t

- Instructions for building random values
- Run by **quickCheck** to generate random values of type t

Parser t

- Instructions for parsing
- Run by **parse** to parse a string and **Maybe** produce a value of type t

Three Monads

Code

- Parsing.hs
  - module containing the parser monad and simple parser combinators.

- ReadExprMonadic.hs
  - A reworking of Read

See course home page

A fun blog post about functors, applicatives and monads

http://adit.io/posts/

2013-04-17-functors,_applicatives,_and_monads_in_pictures.html

Maybe



Here is a function



They can be composed



Here is a function

```
half x = if even x
           then Just (x `div` 2)
           else Nothing
```



What if we feed it a wrapped value?

We need to use >>= to shove our wrapped value into the function

>>=

>>=

Here's how it works:

```
> Just 3 >>= half
Nothing
> Just 4 >>= half
Just 2
> Nothing >>= half
Nothing
```

What's happening inside? `Monad` is another typeclass. Here's a partial definition:

```
class Monad m where
    (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
```

>>=


(>>=) :: ma → (a → mb) → mb
1. >>= TAKES A MONAD (LIKE Just 3)
2. AND A FUNCTION THAT RETURNS A MONAD (LIKE half)
3. AND IT RETURNS A MONAD


2. FEEDS THE UNWRAPPED VALUE INTO THE FUNCTION
1. BIND UNWRAPS THE VALUE
NOT EVEN
NOTHING
3. WRAPPED VALUE COMES OUT

>>=


1. NOTHING GOES IN
2. NOTHING DONE
3. NOTHING COMES OUT

```
Just 20 >>= half >>= half >>= half
```




20
10
10


10
5
5

Maybe another Monad

- Maybe is a very simple monad

```
instance Monad Maybe where
   Just x  >>= k = k x
   Nothing >>= _ = Nothing

   return        = Just
   fail s        = Nothing
```

Although simple it can be useful…

Congestion Charge Billing

Congestion Charge Billing

Registration number used to find the Personnummer of the owner

```
carRegister :: [(RegNr,PNr)]
```

Personnummer used to find the name of the owner

```
nameRegister :: [(PNr,Name)]
```

Name used to find the address of the owner

```
addressRegister :: [(Name,Address)]
```

Example: Congestion Charge Billing

```
type CarReg = String ; type PNr = String
type Name = String ; type Address = String

carRegister :: [(CarReg,PNr)]
carRegister
 = [("JBD 007","750408-0909"), ...]

nameRegister :: [(PNr,Name)]
nameRegister
 = [("750408-0909","Dave“), ... ]

addressRegister :: [((Name,PNr),Address)]
addressRegister =
  [(("Dave","750408-0909"),"42 Streetgatan\n Askim")
  , ... ]
```

Example: Congestion Charge Billing

With the help of
`lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a,b)] -> Maybe b`
we can return the address of car owners

```
billingAddress :: CarReg -> Maybe (Name, Address)
billingAddress car =
 case lookup car carRegister of
   Nothing -> Nothing
   Just pnr -> case lookup pnr nameRegister of
        Nothing -> Nothing
        Just name ->
           case lookup (name,pnr) addressRegister of
                Nothing -> Nothing
                Just addr -> Just (name,addr)
```

Example: Congestion Charge Billing

Using the fact that Maybe is a member of class Monad we can avoid the spaghetti and write:

```
billingAddress car = do
  pnr  <- lookup car carRegister
  name <- lookup pnr nameRegister
  addr <- lookup (name,pnr) addressRegister
  return (name,addr)
```

Example: Congestion Charge Billing

Unrolling one layer of the do syntactic sugar:

```
billingAddress car ==
 lookup car carRegister >>= \pnr ->
 do
  name <- lookup pnr nameRegister
  addr <- lookup (name,pnr) addressRegister
  return (name,addr)
```

- `lookup car carRegister` gives `Nothing`

then the definition of `>>=` ensures that the whole result is `Nothing`

- `return` is `Just`

Another Example: A Stack

- A Stack is a stateful object
- Stack operations can push values on, pop values off, add the top elements

```
type Stack = [Int]
newtype StackOp t = StackOp (Stack -> (t,Stack))

-- the type of a stack operation that produces
-- a value of type t
pop :: StackOp Int
push :: Int -> StackOp ()
add :: StackOp ()
```

Running a StackOp

```
type Stack = [Int]
newtype StackOp t = StackOp (Stack -> (t,Stack))

run (StackOp f) = f

-- run (StackOp f) state = f state
```

Operations

```haskell
pop :: StackOp Int
pop = StackOp $ \(x:xs) -> (x,xs) -- can fail

push :: Int -> StackOp ()
push i = StackOp $ \s -> ((),i:s)

add :: StackOp ()
add = StackOp $ \(x:y:xs) -> ((),x+y:xs) -- can fail
```

Building a new StackOp…

```
swap :: StackOp ()
swap = StackOp $ \s ->
          let (x,s') = run pop s
              (y,s'') = run pop s'
              (_,s''') = run (push x) s''
              (_,s'''') = run (push y) s'''
           in (_, s'''')
```

StackOp is a Monad

- Stack instructions for producing a value

```
-- (>>=) :: StackOp a -> (a -> StackOp b) -> StackOp b
instance Monad StackOp
  where return n = StackOp $ \s -> (n,s)
        sop >>= f  = StackOp $ \s ->
                               let (i,s') = run sop s
                               in run (f i) s'
```

Stack t

- Stack instructions producing a value of type t
- Run by **run**

Maybe t

- Instructions for either producing a value or nothing
- Run by ?? (not an abstract data type)

Two More Monads

Summary: Parsing

- We can use higher-order functions to build Parsers from other more basic Parsers.
- Parsers can be viewed as an instance of Monad

- We can build our own Monads!
  - A lot of ”plumbing” is nicely hidden away
  - A powerful pattern, used widely in Haskell
  - A pattern that can be used in other languages, but syntax support helps
    - F# computation expressions
    - Scala