

Software Engineering using Formal Methods

Proof Obligations

Wolfgang Ahrendt

16 October 2014

making the connection between

JML

and

Dynamic Logic / KeY

- ▶ generating,
- ▶ understanding,
- ▶ and proving

DL proof obligations from JML specifications

Tutorial Example

we follow 'KeY Quicktour' (for KeY 1.6) (cited below as [KQ])

paper + sources:

see 'KeY Quicktour' on course page, under 'Links, Papers, and Software'

scenario: **simple PayCard**

Inspecting JML Specification

```
inspect quicktour/jml/paycard/PayCard.java
```

follow [KQ, 2.2]

New JML Feature I: Nested Specification Cases

method `charge()` has **nested specification case**:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ {
@   requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;
@   ensures \result == true;
@   ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
@   assignable balance;
@
@   also
@
@   requires amount + balance >= limit;
@   ensures \result == false;
@   ensures unsuccessfulOperations
@         == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
@   assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
@ }
```

Nested Specification Cases

nested specification cases allow to factor out common preconditions

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
@ {
@   requires R1;
@   ensures E1;
@   assignable A1;
@
@   also
@
@   requires R2;
@   ensures E2;
@   assignable A2;
@ }
```

expands to ... (next page)

Nested Specification Cases

(previous page) ... expands to

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
@ requires R1;
@ ensures E1;
@ assignable A1;
@
@ also
@
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
@ requires R2;
@ ensures E2;
@ assignable A2;
```

Nested Specification Cases

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ {
@   requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;
@   ensures \result == true;
@   ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
@   assignable balance;
@
@   also
@
@   requires amount + balance >= limit;
@   ensures \result == false;
@   ensures unsuccessfulOperations
@     == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
@   assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
@ }
expands to ... (next page)
```


Nested Specification Cases

(previous page) ... expands to

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;
@ ensures \result == true;
@ ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
@ assignable balance;
@
@ also
@
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ requires amount + balance >= limit;
@ ensures \result == false;
@ ensures unsuccessfulOperations
@     == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
@ assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
```

Recall: pure vs. assignable \nothing

method `charge()` has exceptional behavior case:

```
@ public exceptional_behavior
@   requires amount <= 0;
@   assignable \nothing;
```

assignable \nothing prohibits side effects

difference to **pure**:

- ▶ **pure** is method-global, also prohibits non-termination & exceptions
- ▶ **assignable** clause is local to specification case
- ▶ **pure** not usable in this particular context

Generating Proof Obligations (POs)

generate **EnsuresPost** PO for normal behavior of `charge()`

follow [KQ, 3.1+3.2]

summary:

- ▶ start KeY prover
- ▶ in `quicktour/jml`, open `paycard`
- ▶ select `paycard > PayCard > charge` and **EnsuresPost**
- ▶ inspect **Assumed Invariants**

assuming less invariants:

- ▶ is fully sound
- ▶ can compromise provability

sometimes invariants of *other* classes also needed (select class+inv.)

- ▶ select contract which **modifies** balance
(in JML: **modifies** synonymous for **assignable**)
- ▶ **Current Goal** pane displays **proof obligation** as DL sequent

Generating Proof Obligations

for loading more proof obligations:

re-open **Proof Obligation Browser** under **Tools** menu (or **Ctrl-B**)

generate **EnsuresPost** PO for normal behavior of `isValid()`

generate **EnsuresPost** PO for exceptional behavior of `charge()`

generate **PreservesOwnInv** PO for `charge()`

expressing that `charge()` preserves all invariants (of its own class)

follow [KQ, 4.3.1+4.3.2]

Translating JML to POs in DL

in the following:

principles of translating **JML** to proof obligations in **DL**

- ▶ issues in translating arithmetic expressions
- ▶ translating **this**
- ▶ identifying the method's implementation
- ▶ translating **boolean JML expressions** to **first-order logic formulas**
- ▶ translating **preconditions**
- ▶ translating **class invariants**
- ▶ translating **postconditions**
- ▶ storing **\old fields** prior to method invocation
- ▶ storing **actual parameters** prior to method invocation
- ▶ expressing that **'exceptions are (not) thrown'**
- ▶ *putting everything together*

Translating JML to POs in DL

WARNING:

following presentation is

- ▶ incomplete
- ▶ not fully precise
- ▶ simplifying
- ▶ omitting details/complications
- ▶ deviating from exact implementation in KeY

aim of the following:

enable you to read/understand proof obligations

(notational remark: stick to ASCII syntax of KeY logic in this lecture)

Issues on Translating Arithmetic Expressions

often:

- ▶ KeY replaces arithmetic JAVA operators by generalized operators, generic towards various integer semantics (JAVA, Math).
example: “+” becomes “`javaAddInt`”
- ▶ KeY inserts casts like `(jint)`, needed for type hierarchy among primitive types.
example: “0” becomes “`(jint)(0)`”

(no need to memorize this)

Translating this

both

- ▶ explicit
- ▶ implicit

this reference translated to **self**

e.g., given class

```
public class MyClass {  
    ...  
    private int f;  
    ...  
}
```

- ▶ **f** translated to **self.f**
- ▶ **this.f** translated to **self.f**

Identifying the Method's Implementation

JAVA's dynamic dispatch selects a method's implementation *at runtime*

for a method call `m(args)`,

KeY models selection of implementation from `package.Class` by
`m(args)@package.Class`

example:

`charge(x)@paycard.PayCard`

executes class `paycard.PayCard`'s implementation of method call
`charge(x)`

Translating Boolean JML Expressions

first-order logic treated fundamentally different in JML and KeY logic

JML

- ▶ formulas no separate syntactic category
- ▶ instead:
JAVA's **boolean** expressions extended with first-order concepts (i.p. quantifiers)

KeY logic

- ▶ **formulas** and **expressions** completely separate
- ▶ truth constants **true**, **false** are formulas, **boolean** constants **TRUE**, **FALSE** are expressions
- ▶ atomic formulas take expressions as arguments; e.g.:
 - ▶ $x - y < 5$
 - ▶ $b = \text{TRUE}$

\mathcal{F} Translates boolean JML Expressions to Formulas

$\mathcal{F}(v)$	=	$v = \text{TRUE}$
$\mathcal{F}(f)$	=	$\mathcal{T}(f) = \text{TRUE}$
$\mathcal{F}(m())$	=	$\mathcal{T}(m)() = \text{TRUE}$
$\mathcal{F}(!b_0)$	=	$!\mathcal{F}(b_0)$
$\mathcal{F}(b_0 \ \&\& \ b_1)$	=	$\mathcal{F}(b_0) \ \& \ \mathcal{F}(b_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(b_0 \ \ b_1)$	=	$\mathcal{F}(b_0) \ \ \mathcal{F}(b_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(b_0 \ ==> \ b_1)$	=	$\mathcal{F}(b_0) \ \rightarrow \ \mathcal{F}(b_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(b_0 \ <==> \ b_1)$	=	$\mathcal{F}(b_0) \ \leftrightarrow \ \mathcal{F}(b_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(e_0 \ == \ e_1)$	=	$\mathcal{E}(e_0) = \mathcal{E}(e_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(e_0 \ != \ e_1)$	=	$!\mathcal{E}(e_0) = \mathcal{E}(e_1)$
$\mathcal{F}(e_0 \ >= \ e_1)$	=	$\mathcal{E}(e_0) \ >= \ \mathcal{E}(e_1)$

$v/f/m()$ **boolean** variables/fields/pure methods

b_0, b_1 **boolean** JML expressions

e_0, e_1 JAVA expressions

\mathcal{T} may add 'self.' or '@ClassName' (see pp. 16, 17)

\mathcal{E} may add casts, transform operators (see p. 15)

\mathcal{F} Translates boolean JML Expressions to Formulas

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{\texttt{forall T x; e_0}}) = \text{\texttt{forall T x;}} \\ \text{\texttt{!x = null -> F(e_0)}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{\texttt{exists T x; e_0}}) = \text{\texttt{exists T x;}} \\ \text{\texttt{!x = null \& F(e_0)}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{\texttt{forall T x; e_0; e_1}}) = \text{\texttt{forall T x;}} \\ \text{\texttt{!x = null \& F(e_0)}} \\ \text{\texttt{-> F(e_1)}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{\texttt{exists T x; e_0; e_1}}) = \text{\texttt{exists T x;}} \\ \text{\texttt{!x = null}} \\ \text{\texttt{\& F(e_0) \& F(e_1)}}$$

Translating Preconditions

if selected contract *Contr* has **preconditions**

@ **requires** *b_1*;

@ ...

@ **requires** *b_n*;

they are translated to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{PRE}(Contr) \\ & = \\ & \mathcal{F}(b_1) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ \mathcal{F}(b_n) \end{aligned}$$

Translating Class Invariants

the invariant

```
class C {  
  ...  
  //@ invariant inv_i;  
  ...  
}
```

is translated to

$$\mathcal{INV}(\text{inv_i})$$

=

```
\forall C o; ((o.<created> = TRUE & !o = null) ->  
          {self:=o} \mathcal{F}(\text{inv\_i}))
```

Translating Postconditions

if selected contract *Contr* has **postconditions**

@ **ensures** **b_1**;

@ ...

@ **ensures** **b_n**;

they are translated to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathit{POST}(\mathit{Contr}) \\ & = \\ & \mathcal{F}(b_1) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ \mathcal{F}(b_n) \end{aligned}$$

special treatment of expressions in post-condition: see next slide

Translating Expressions in Postconditions

below, we assume the following assignable clause

```
@ assignable <assignable_fields>;
```

translating expressions in postconditions (interesting cases only):

$$\mathcal{E}(\backslash\mathbf{result}) = \mathbf{result}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\backslash\mathbf{old}(e)) = \mathcal{E}_{old}(e)$$

\mathcal{E}_{old} defined like \mathcal{E} , with the exception of:

$$\mathcal{E}_{old}(e.f) = \mathbf{fAtPre}(\mathcal{E}_{old}(e))$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{old}(f) = \mathbf{fAtPre}(\mathbf{self})$$

for $f \in \langle \mathit{assignable_fields} \rangle$

' \mathbf{fAtPre} ' intuitively refers to field ' f ' in the pre-state

But the logic does not know. Must be expressed in formula (next slide).

Storing Pre-State of a Field

given an **assignable** field **f** of class **C**

```
class C {  
  ...  
  private T f;  
  ...  
}
```

translation of postcondition replaces **f** in $\backslash\text{old}(\dots)$ by **fAtPre** (p. 24)
left to do: store pre-state values of **f** in **fAtPre**

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{STORE}(f) \\ & = \\ & \backslash\text{for } C \text{ } o; \text{ fAtPre}(o) := o.f \end{aligned}$$

note: not a formula, but a **quantified update**
(more proper explanation next lecture)

Storing Pre-State of All Assignable Fields

if selected contract *Contr* has assignable clause:

@ assignable *f_1*, ..., *f_n*;

then pre-state of *all* assignable fields can be stored by *one* parallel update:

$$\begin{aligned} & STORE(Contr) \\ & \quad = \\ & \{ STORE(f_1) \parallel \dots \parallel STORE(f_n) \} \end{aligned}$$

Expressing Normal Termination

how can you express in DL:

method call `m()` will **not** throw an exception

(if method body from class `C` in package `p` is executed)

```
\<{ exc = null;
  try {
    m()@p.C;
  } catch (Throwable e) {
    exc = e;
  }
}\> exc = null
```

note difference:

- ▶ **JAVA assignments**
- ▶ **equation, i.e., formula**

Expressing Exceptional Termination

how can you express in DL:

method call `m()` will throw an exception

(if method body from class `C` in package `p` is executed)

```
\<{ exc = null;
  try {
    m()@p.C;
  } catch (Throwable e) {
    exc = e;
  }
}\> !exc = null & <exc has right type>
```

PO for Normal Behavior Contract

PO for a **normal behavior** contract *Contr* for void method *m()*,
with chosen **assumed invariants** *inv_1*, ..., *inv_n*

==>

```
INV(inv_1)
& ...
& INV(inv_n)
& PRE(Contr)
-> STORE(Contr)
  \<{ exc = null;
    try {
      m()@p.C;
    } catch (Throwable e) {
      exc = e;
    }
  }
  \> exc = null & POST(Contr)
```

PO for Normal Behavior Allowing Non-Termination

PO for a **normal behavior** contract *Contr* for method *m()*,
where *Contr* has clause **diverges true**;

==>

```
    INV(inv_1)
  & ...
  & INV(inv_n)
  & PRE(Contr)
-> STORE(Contr)
  \[ { exc = null;
      try {
        m()@p.C;
      } catch (Throwable e) {
        exc = e;
      }
    } \] exc = null & POST(Contr)
```

PO for Normal Behavior of Non-Void Method

PO for a normal behavior contract *Contr* for **non-void** method `m()`,

==>

```
    INV(inv_1)
  & ...
  & INV(inv_n)
  & PRE(Contr)
-> STORE(Contr)
  \<{ exc = null;
    try {
      result = m()@p.C;
    } catch (Throwable e) {
      exc = e;
    }
  }\> exc = null & POST(Contr)
```

recall: *POST(Contr)* translates `\result` to `result` (p. 24)

PO for Preserving Invariants

assume method `m()` has contracts $Contr_1, \dots, Contr_j$

PO stating that:

Invariants inv_1, \dots, inv_n are preserved
in all cases covered by a contracts.

==>

```
 $INV(inv_1) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ INV(inv_n)$   
& (  $PRE(Contr_1) \ | \ \dots \ | \ PRE(Contr_1)$  )  
-> \[ { exc = null;  
    try {  
        m()@p.C;  
    } catch (Throwable e) {  
        exc = e;  
    }  
}\]  $INV(inv_1) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ INV(inv_n)$ 
```


Examples

don't fit on slide: execute quicktour with KeY instead

Literature for this Lecture

Essential

KeY Quicktour see course page, under 'Links, Papers, and Software'