


CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG



Parallel & Distributed Real-Time Systems

Lecture #6

Professor Jan Jonsson

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Chalmers University of Technology

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Feasibility testing

What techniques for feasibility testing exist?

- Hyper-period analysis (for static and dynamic priorities)
 - In a simulated schedule no task execution may miss its deadline
- Guarantee bound analysis (for static and dynamic priorities)
 - The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the task set must not exceed a given bound
- Response time analysis (for static priorities)
 - The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the deadline of the task
- Processor demand analysis (for dynamic priorities)
 - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Feasibility testing

What techniques for feasibility testing exist?

- Hyper-period analysis (for static and dynamic priorities)
 - In a simulated schedule no task execution may miss its deadline
- Guarantee bound analysis (for static and dynamic priorities)
 - The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the task set must not exceed a given bound
- Response time analysis (for static priorities)
 - The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the deadline of the task
- Processor demand analysis (for dynamic priorities)
 - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Response time:

- The response time R_i for a task τ_i represents the worst-case completion time of the task when execution interference from other tasks are accounted for.

The response time for a task τ_i consists of:

- C_i The task's uninterrupted execution time (WCET)
- I_i Interference from higher-priority tasks

$$R_i = C_i + I_i$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Interference:

- For static-priority scheduling, the interference term is

$$I_i = \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

where $hp(i)$ is the set of tasks with higher priority than τ_i .

- The response time for a task τ_i is thus:

$$R_i = C_i + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Response-time calculation:

- The equation does not have a simple analytic solution.
- However, an iterative procedure can be used:

$$R_i^{n+1} = C_i + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i^n}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

- The iteration starts with a value that is guaranteed to be less than or equal to the final value of R_i (e.g. $R_i^0 = C_i$)
- The iteration completes at convergence ($R_i^{n+1} = R_i^n$) or if the response time exceeds the deadline D_i

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Schedulability test: (Joseph & Pandya, 1986)

- An exact condition for static-priority scheduling is

$$\forall i: R_i \leq D_i$$

The test is only valid if all of the following conditions apply:

1. Single-processor system
2. Synchronous task sets
3. Independent tasks
4. Periodic tasks
5. Tasks have deadlines not exceeding the period ($D_i \leq T_i$)

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Time complexity:

Response-time analysis has pseudo-polynomial time complexity

Proof:

calculating the response-time for task τ_i requires no more than D_i iterations

since $D_i \leq T_i$ the number of iterations needed to calculate the response-time for task τ_i is bounded above by T_i

the procedure for calculating the response-time for all tasks is therefore of time complexity $O(\max\{T_i\})$

the longest period of a task is also the largest number in the problem instance

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Accounting for blocking:

- Blocking caused by critical regions
 - Blocking factor B_i represents the length of critical region(s) that are executed by processes with lower priority than τ_i
- Blocking caused by non-preemptive scheduling
 - Blocking factor B_i represents largest WCET (not counting τ_i)

$$R_i = C_i + B_i + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_j}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

Observation: the feasibility test is now only sufficient since the worst-case blocking will not always occur at run-time.

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using PCP or ICPP)

When using priority ceiling a task τ_i can only be blocked once by a task with lower priority than τ_i .

This occurs if the lower-priority task is within a critical region when τ_i arrives, and the critical region's ceiling priority is higher than or equal to the priority of τ_i .

Blocking now means that the start time of τ_i is delayed (= the blocking factor B_i)

As soon as τ_i has started its execution, it cannot be blocked by a lower-priority task.

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Response-time analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using PCP or ICPP)

Determining the blocking factor for τ_i

1. Determine the ceiling priorities for all critical regions.
2. Identify the tasks that have a priority lower than τ_i and that calls critical regions with a ceiling priority equal to or higher than the priority of τ_i .
3. Consider the times that these tasks lock the actual critical regions. The longest of those times constitutes the blocking factor B_i .

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

(this page intentionally left blank)

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Processor demand:

- The processor demand for a task τ_i in a given time interval $[0, L]$ is the amount of processor time that the task needs in the interval in order to meet the deadlines that fall within the interval.

Let N_i^L represent the number of instances of τ_i that must complete execution before L .

The total processor demand up to L is

$$C_p(0, L) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i^L C_i$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Number of relevant task arrivals:

- We can calculate N_i^L by counting how many times task τ_i has arrived during the interval $[0, L - D_i]$.
- We can ignore instance of the task that has arrived during the interval $[L - D_i, L]$ since $D_i > L$ for these instances.

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Processor-demand analysis:

- We can express N_i^L as

$$N_i^L = \left\lfloor \frac{L - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor + 1$$

The total processor demand is thus

$$C_p(0, L) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) C_i$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Schedulability test: (Baruah et al., 1990)

- A sufficient and necessary condition for EDF scheduling is

$$\forall L \in K : C_p(0, L) \leq L$$

The test is only valid if all of the following conditions apply:

1. Single-processor system
2. Synchronous task sets
3. Independent tasks
4. Periodic tasks
5. Tasks have deadlines not exceeding the period ($D_i \leq T_i$)

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Schedulability test: (Baruah et al., 1990)

- The set of control points K is

$$K = \{ D_i^k \mid D_i^k = kT_i + D_i, D_i^k \leq L_{\max}, 1 \leq i \leq n, k \geq 0 \}$$

$$L_{\max} = \max \left\{ D_1, \dots, D_n, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - D_i) U_i}{1 - U} \right\}$$

Observation:

$$L_{\max} \leq \max \left\{ \max\{D_i\}, \frac{U}{1-U} \max\{T_i - D_i\} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \max\{T_i\}, \frac{U}{1-U} \max\{T_i\} \right\}$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Time complexity:

Processor-demand analysis has pseudo-polynomial time complexity if total task utilization is less than 100%

Proof:

the number of control points needed to check the processor demand is bounded above by

$$Q_L^{\max} = \max \left\{ \max\{T_i\}, \frac{U}{1-U} \max\{T_i\} \right\} = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{U}{1-U} \right\} \lceil \max\{T_i\} \rceil$$

since $U/(1-U)$ is a constant the procedure for calculating the processor demand is therefore of time complexity $O(\max\{T_i\})$

the longest period of a task is also the largest number in the problem instance

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using Stack Resource Policy)

Tasks are assigned static preemption levels:

The preemption level of task τ_i is denoted π_i

Task τ_i is not allowed to preempt another task τ_j unless $\pi_i > \pi_j$

If τ_i has higher priority than τ_j and arrives later, then τ_i must have a higher preemption level than τ_j .

Note:

- The preemption levels are static values, even though the tasks priorities may be dynamic.
- For EDF scheduling, suitable levels can be derived if tasks with shorter relative deadlines get higher preemption levels, that is:

$$\pi_i > \pi_j \Leftrightarrow D_i < D_j$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using Stack Resource Policy)

Resources are assigned dynamic resource ceilings:

Each shared resource is assigned a ceiling that is always equal to the maximum preemption level among all tasks that may be blocked when requesting the resource.

The protocol keeps a system-wide ceiling that is equal to the maximum of the current ceilings of all resources.

A task with the earliest deadline is allowed to preempt only if its preemption level is higher than the system-wide ceiling.

Note:

The original priority of the task is not changed at run-time.

The resource ceiling is a dynamic value calculated at run-time as a function of current resource availability.

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using Stack Resource Policy)

Blocking factor B_i represents the length of critical / non-preemptive regions that are executed by tasks with lower preemption levels than τ_i

Tasks are indexed in the order of increasing preemption levels, that is: $\pi_i > \pi_j \Leftrightarrow i < j$

$$\forall L \in K, \forall i \in [1, n]: C_p^i(0, L) \leq L$$
$$C_p^i = \sum_{k=1}^i \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L - D_k}{T_k} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) C_k + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) B_i$$

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Processor-demand analysis

Accounting for blocking: (using Stack Resource Policy)

Determining the blocking factor for τ_i

1. Determine the worst-case resource ceiling for each critical region, that is, assume the run-time situation where the corresponding resource is unavailable.
2. Identify the tasks that have a preemption level lower than τ_i and that calls critical regions with a worst-case resource ceiling equal to or higher than the preemption level of τ_i .
3. Consider the times that these tasks lock the actual critical regions. The longest of those times constitutes the blocking factor B_i .

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

End of lecture #6