







CHARGEN INVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG Priority assignment policy *P* is said to be <u>optimal</u> with respect to a feasibility test *S* and a given task model, if and only if the following holds: *P* is optimal if there are no task sets that are compliant with the task model that are deemed schedulable by test *S* using another priority assignment policy, that are not also deemed schedulable by test *S* using policy *P*. Cobservations: • The definition is applicable to both sufficient feasibility tests and exact feasibility tests; optimal performance is still provided with respect to the limitations of the test itself.

























CHALMERS

(E) UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG CHALMERS Feasibility testing Feasibility testing What techniques for feasibility testing exist? What techniques for feasibility testing exist? Hyper-period analysis (for static and dynamic priorities) Hyper-period analysis (exponential time complexity) - In a simulated schedule no task execution may miss its deadline In a simulated schedule no task execution may miss its deadline Guarantee bound analysis (for static and dynamic priorities) Guarantee bound analysis (polynomial time complexity) - The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the - The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the task set must not exceed a given bound task set must not exceed a given bound • Response time analysis (for static priorities) Response time analysis (pseudo-polynomial complexity) - The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the - The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the deadline of the task deadline of the task Processor demand analysis (for dynamic priorities) Processor demand analysis (pseudo-polynomial complexity) - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval

CHALMERS

CHALMERS **UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG** Hyper-period analysis Motivation: · When it is not obvious which feasibility analysis should be used for a particular task set it is always possible to generate a schedule by simulating the execution of the tasks, and then check schedulability for individual tasks. For example, this is currently the only way to perform exact feasibility tests on asynchronous task sets where tasks will never be released simultaneously. • The schedule interval that is sufficient to investigate is related to the hyper-period of the task set, that is, the least-common-multiple (LCM) of the task periods. Thus, hyper-period analysis will in general have an exponential time complexity.

Hyper-period analysis

Lecture #5

Feasibility intervals:

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

- · For synchronous systems it is sufficient to investigate the interval [0, P], where P is the hyper-period of the task set.
- · For asynchronous systems with dynamic priorities it is sufficient to investigate the interval $[0, O_{max} + 2P]$, where P is the hyper-period and O_{max} is the largest offset in the task set.
- For asynchronous systems with static priorities it is sufficient to investigate, for each task τ , the interval $[O_i, O_i + P_i]$, where P_i is the hyper-period of all tasks with priority higher than τ .

CHALMERS 🕺 UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG	
End of lecture #5	