

CHALMERS
Global scheduling
<ul> <li>Advantages:</li> <li>Supported by most multiprocessor operating systems <ul> <li>Windows NT, Solaris, Linux,</li> </ul> </li> <li>Effective utilization of processing resources <ul> <li>Unused processor time can easily be reclaimed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Disadvantages:</li> <li>Weak theoretical framework <ul> <li>Few results from the uniprocessor case can be used</li> </ul> </li> <li>Poor resource utilization for hard timing constraints <ul> <li>No more than 50% resource utilization can be guaranteed</li> </ul> </li> <li>Suffers from several scheduling anomalies <ul> <li>Sensitive to period adjustments</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

CHALMERS
Global scheduling
Complexity of schedulability analysis for global scheduling: (Leung & Whitehead, 1982)
The problem of deciding if a task set is schedulable on <i>m</i> processors with respect to global scheduling is <u>NP-complete in the strong sense</u> .
Consequence: There can only exist a pseudo-polynomial time algorithm for (i) finding an optimal static priority assignment, <u>or</u> (ii) feasibility testing But not both at the same time!

CHALMERS		
Global scheduling		
The "root of all evil" in global scheduling: (Liu, 1969)		
Few of the results obtained for a single processor generalize directly to the multiple processor case; bringing in additional processors adds a new dimension to the scheduling problem. The simple fact that a task can use only one processor even when several processors are free at the same time adds a surprising amount of difficulty to the scheduling of multiple processors.		
Consequence: We're in deep trouble! (Even p-fair scheduling suffers from this.)		



CHALMERS		
(this page is intentionally blank)		











HALME	RS
	Weak theoretical framework
Un	derlying causes:
• [	<ul> <li>Dhall's effect:</li> <li>With RM, DM and EDF, some low-utilization task sets can be unschedulable regardless of how many processors are used.</li> </ul>
• [	<ul> <li>Dependence on relative priority ordering:</li> <li>Changing the relative priority ordering among higher-priority tasks may affect schedulability for a lower-priority task.</li> </ul>
•	<ul> <li>Hard-to-find critical instant:</li> <li>A critical instant does not always occur when a task arrives at the same time as all its higher-priority tasks.</li> </ul>
Nev t	w techniques for priority assignments and schedulability ests are needed!

























CHALMERS		
Poor resource utilization		
A fundamental limit: (Andersson, Baruah & Jonsson, 2001)		
The utilization guarantee bound for any static-priority multiprocessor scheduling algorithm cannot be higher than 1/2 of the capacity of the processors.		
<ul> <li>This applies for <u>all</u> types of static-priority scheduling. That is, partitioned and global, greedy and p-fair scheduling.</li> <li>Hence, we can never expect to utilize more than half the processing capacity if hard timing constraints exist.</li> <li>The most resource-efficient multiprocessor real-time system is therefore one with a mix of soft and hard constraints.</li> </ul>		

CHALMERS		
	Scheduling anomalies	
	<b>Scheduling anomaly</b> : A seemingly positive change in the system (reducing load or adding resources) causes a non-intuitive decrease in performance.	
	State-of-the-art : • Uniprocessor systems: – Anomalies only found for non-preemptive scheduling (Mok, 2000)	
	<ul> <li>Multiprocessor systems:         <ul> <li>Richard's anomalies for non-preemptive scheduling</li> <li>Execution-time-based anomalies for preemptive scheduling</li> <li>Period-based anomalies for preemptive scheduling</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	







CHALMERS
Global scheduling
<ul> <li>State-of-the-art in global scheduling:</li> <li>Static priorities:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The RM-US[m/(3m-2)] priority assignment scheme offers a way to circumvent Dhall's effect <u>and</u> a non-zero resource utilization guarantee bound of m/(3m-2) ≥ 33.3%.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>In 2003, Baker generalized the RM-US results to DM.</li> <li>Dynamic priorities:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>In 2002, Srinivasan &amp; Baruah proposed the EDF-US[m/(2m-1)] scheme with a corresponding non-zero resource utilization guarantee bound of m/(2m-1) ≥ 50%.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Optimal multiprocessor scheduling:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Using p-fair scheduling and dynamic priorities it is possible to achieve 100% resource utilization on a multiprocessor.</li> </ul>