Software Engineering using Formal Methods
Introduction to Promela

Wolfgang Ahrendt, Josef Svenningsson, Meng Wang

06 September 2012

# Towards Model Checking

System Model

Promela Program

```
byte n = 0;
active proctype P() {
  n = 1;
}
active proctype Q() {
  n = 2;
}
```

System Property

P, Q are never in their critical section at the same time

Model Checker

criticalSectP = 0 1 1
criticalSectQ = 1 0 1

What is PROMELA**?**

PROMELA **is an acronym**

Process meta-language

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA **is an acronym**

Process meta-language

PROMELA **is a language for modeling concurrent systems**

- multi-threaded

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA **is an acronym**

Process meta-language

PROMELA **is a language for modeling concurrent systems**

- multi-threaded
- synchronisation and message passing

What is Promela**?**

Promela **is an acronym**

Process meta-language

Promela **is a language for modeling concurrent systems**

- ▶ multi-threaded
- ▶ synchronisation and message passing
- ▶ few control structures, pure (no side-effects) expressions

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA **is an acronym**

Process **me**ta-**la**nguage

PROMELA **is a language for modeling concurrent systems**

- multi-threaded
- synchronisation and message passing
- few control structures, pure (no side-effects) expressions
- data structures with finite and fixed bound

What is PROMELA **Not?**

PROMELA **is not a programming language**

Very small language, not intended to program real systems
(we will master most of it in today's lecture!)

- ▶ No pointers
- ▶ No methods/procedures
- ▶ No libraries
- ▶ No GUI, no standard input
- ▶ No floating point types
- ▶ Fair scheduling policy (during verification)
- ▶ No data encapsulation
- ▶ Non-deterministic

A First PROMELA Program

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Hello world\n")
}
```

Command Line Execution

Simulating (i.e., interpreting) a PROMELA *program*

```
> spin hello.pml
Hello world
```

A First PROMELA Program

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Hello world\n")
}
```

Command Line Execution

Simulating (i.e., interpreting) a PROMELA *program*

```
> spin hello.pml
Hello world
```

First observations

- ▶ keyword **proctype** declares process named P
- ▶ C-like command and expression syntax
- ▶ C-like (simplified) formatted print

Arithmetic Data Types

```
active proctype P() {
   int val = 123;
   int rev;
   rev = (val % 10) * 100 + /* % is modulo */
         ((val / 10) % 10) * 10 + (val / 100);
   printf("val␣=␣%d,␣rev␣=␣%d\n", val, rev)
}
```

Arithmetic Data Types

```
active proctype P() {
    int val = 123;
    int rev;
    rev = (val % 10) * 100 + /* % is modulo */
          ((val / 10) %  10) * 10 + (val / 100);
    printf("val␣=␣%d,␣rev␣=␣%d\n", val, rev)
}
```

Observations

- ▶ Data types **byte**, **short**, **int**, **unsigned** with operations **+,-,*,/,%**
- ▶ All declarations implicitly at beginning of process
  (avoid to have them anywhere else!)
- ▶ Expressions computed as **int**, then converted to container type
- ▶ No floats, no side effects, C/Java-style comments
- ▶ No string variables (only in print statements)

Booleans and Enumerations

```
bit   b1 = 0;
bool  b2 = true;
```

Observations

- bit is actually small numeric type containing 0,1 (unlike C, JAVA)
- bool, true, false syntactic sugar for bit, 1, 0

Booleans and Enumerations

```
bit   b1 = 0;
bool b2 = true;
```

Observations

- bit is actually small numeric type containing 0,1 (unlike C, JAVA)
- bool, true, false syntactic sugar for bit, 1, 0

```
mtype = { red, yellow, green };
mtype light = green;
printf("the light is %e\n", light)
```

Observations

- literals represented as non-0 byte: at most 255
- mtype stands for message type (first used for message names)
- There is at most one mtype per program

Control Statements

Sequence	using ; as separator; C/Java-like rules
Guarded Command	
— Selection	non-deterministic choice of an alternative
— Repetition	loop until `break` (or forever)
Goto	jump to a label

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Command Line Execution

Trace of *random simulation* of multiple runs

```
> spin -v max.pml
> spin -v max.pml
> ...
```

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Observations

- Guards may "overlap" (more than one can be true at the same time)
- Any alternative whose guard is true is randomly selected
- When no guard true: process blocks until one becomes true

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: true -> ...
  fi
}
```

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: else -> ...
  fi
}
```

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: true -> ...
  fi
}
```

Second alternative can be selected anytime, regardless of whether p is true

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: else -> ...
  fi
}
```

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: true -> ...
  fi
}
```

Second alternative can be se-
lected anytime, regardless of
whether p is true

```
active proctype P() {
  bool p = ...;
  if
    :: p    -> ...
    :: else -> ...
  fi
}
```

Second alternative can be se-
lected only if p is false

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Command Line Execution

Trace with *values* of local variables

```
> spin -p -l gcd.pml
> spin --help
```

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Observations

- Any alternative whose guard is true is randomly selected
- Only way to exit loop is via break or goto
- When no guard true: loop blocks until one becomes true

Counting Loops

Counting loops such as for-loops as usual in imperative programming languages are realized with **break** after the termination condition:

```
#define N 10 /* C-style preprocessing */
active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  do
    :: i > N -> break              /* test */
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++  /* body,increase */
  od
}
```

Counting Loops

Counting loops such as for-loops as usual in imperative programming languages are realized with **break** after the termination condition:

```
#define N 10 /* C-style preprocessing */
active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  do
    :: i > N -> break              /* test */
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++ /* body,increase */
  od
}
```

Observations
  ▶ Don't forget **else**, otherwise strange behaviour

Arrays

```
#define N 5
active proctype P() {
  byte a[N];
  a[0] = 0;a[1] = 10;a[2] = 20;a[3] = 30;a[4] = 40;
  byte sum = 0, i = 0;
  do
    :: i > N-1 -> break
    :: else    -> sum = sum + a[i]; i++
  od;
}
```

Arrays

```
#define N 5
active proctype P() {
  byte a[N];
  a[0] = 0;a[1] = 10;a[2] = 20;a[3] = 30;a[4] = 40;
  byte sum = 0, i = 0;
  do
    :: i > N-1 -> break
    :: else    -> sum = sum + a[i]; i++
  od;
}
```

Observations

- Array indexes start with 0 as in JAVA and C
- Arrays are scalar types: a≠b always different arrays
- Array bounds are constant and cannot be changed
- Only one-dimensional arrays (there is an (ugly) workaround)

Record Types

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE D;
  D.day = 1; D.month = 7; D.year = 62
}
```

CHALMERS/**GU**

Record Types

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day , month , year ;
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE D;
  D.day = 1; D.month = 7; D.year = 62
}
```

Observations

- ▶ may include previously declared record types, but no self-references
- ▶ Can be used to realize multi-dimensional arrays:

  ```
  typedef VECTOR {
    int vector [10]
  };
  VECTOR matrix [5]; /* base type array in record */
  matrix [3]. vector [6] = 17;
  ```

Jumps

```
#define N 10
active proctype P() {
   int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
   do
     :: i > N -> goto exitloop;
     :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++
   od;
exitloop:
   printf("End of loop")
}
```

Jumps

```
#define N 10
active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  do
    :: i > N -> goto exitloop;
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++
  od;
exitloop:
  printf("End of loop")
}
```

Observations

- Jumps allowed only within a process
- Labels must be unique for a process
- Can't place labels in front of guards (inside alternative ok)
- Easy to write messy code with **goto**

Inlining Code

PROMELA has no method or procedure calls

Inlining Code

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
inline setDate(D, DD, MM, YY) {
  D.day = DD; D.month = MM; D.year = YY
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE d;
  setDate(d,1,7,62)
}
```

Inlining Code

PROMELA has no method or procedure calls

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
inline setDate(D, DD, MM, YY) {
  D.day = DD; D.month = MM; D.year = YY
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE d;
  setDate(d,1,7,62)
}
```

The inline construct

- ▶ macro-like abbreviation mechanism for code that occurs multiply
- ▶ creates no new scope for locally declared variables
  - ▶ avoid to declare variables in **inline** — they are visible

Non-Deterministic Programs

Deterministic PROMELA **programs are trivial**

Assume PROMELA program with one process and no overlapping guards

- All variables are (implicitly or explictly) initialized
- No user input possible
- Each state is either blocking or has exactly one successor state

Such a program has exactly one possible computation!

Non-Deterministic Programs

Deterministic PROMELA **programs are trivial**

Assume PROMELA program with one process and no overlapping guards

- ▶ All variables are (implicitly or explictly) initialized
- ▶ No user input possible
- ▶ Each state is either blocking or has exactly one successor state

Such a program has exactly one possible computation!

Non-trivial PROMELA programs are non-deterministic!

Possible sources of non-determinism

1. Non-deterministic choice of alternatives with overlapping guards
2. Scheduling of concurrent processes

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values

```
byte range;
if
  :: range = 1
  :: range = 2
  :: range = 3
  :: range = 4
fi
```

Observations

- assignment statement used as guard
  - assignment statement always succeeds (guard is true)
  - side effect of guard is desired effect of this alternative
  - could also write `:: true -> range = 1`, etc.
- selects non-deterministically a value in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ for range

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values Cont'd

Generation of values from explicit list impractical for large range

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values Cont'd

Generation of values from explicit list impractical for large range

```
#define LOW   0
#define HIGH 9
byte range = LOW;
do
  :: range < HIGH -> range++
  :: break
od
```

Observations

- Increase of `range` and loop exit selected with equal chance
- Chance of generating n in random simulation is $2^{-(n+1)}$
  - Obtain no representative test cases from random simulation!
  - Ok for verification, because all computations are generated

Sources of Non-Determinism

1. Non-deterministic choice of alternatives with overlapping guards
2. Scheduling of concurrent processes

Concurrent Processes

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Process␣P,␣statement␣1\n");
  printf("Process␣P,␣statement␣2\n")
}

active proctype Q() {
  printf("Process␣Q,␣statement␣1\n");
  printf("Process␣Q,␣statement␣2\n")
}
```

Observations

- Can declare more than one process (need unique identifier)
- At most 255 processes

Execution of Concurrent Processes

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of two processes

```
> spin interleave.pml
```

Execution of Concurrent Processes

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of two processes

```
> spin interleave.pml
```

Observations

- ▶ Scheduling of concurrent processes on one processor
- ▶ Scheduler selects process randomly where next statement executed
- ▶ Many different computations are possible: non-determinism
- ▶ Use -p and -g options to see more execution details

Sets of Processes

```
active [2] proctype P() {
  printf("Process %d, statement 1\n", _pid);
  printf("Process %d, statement 2\n", _pid)
}
```

Observations

- ▶ Can declare set of identical processes
- ▶ Current process identified with reserved variable _pid
- ▶ Each process can have its own local variables

Sets of Processes

```
active [2] proctype P() {
  printf("Process %d, statement 1\n", _pid);
  printf("Process %d, statement 2\n", _pid)
}
```

Observations

- ► Can declare set of identical processes
- ► Current process identified with reserved variable _pid
- ► Each process can have its own local variables

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of set of two processes

```
> spin interleave_set.pml
```

PROMELA **Computations**

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2    byte n;
3    n = 1;
4    n = 2
5 }
```

PROMELA **Computations**

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2    byte n;
3    n = 1;
4    n = 2
5 }
```

One possible computation of this program

Notation

- ▶ Program pointer (line #) for each process in upper compartment
- ▶ Value of all variables in lower compartment

PROMELA **Computations**

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2   byte n;
3   n = 1;
4   n = 2
5 }
```


One possible computation of this program

| 2, 2 | 3, 2 | 3, 3 | 3, 4 | 4, 4 |
| 0, 0 | 1, 0 | 1, 1 | 1, 2 | 2, 2 |

Notation

- ▶ Program pointer (line #) for each process in upper compartment
- ▶ Value of all variables in lower compartment

Computations are either infinite or terminating or blocking

Admissible Computations: Interleaving

Definition (Interleaving of independent computations)

Assume n independent processes $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ and process i has computation $c^i = (s_0^i, s_1^i, s_2^i, \ldots)$.

The computation $(s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots)$ is an interleaving of $c^1, \ldots, c^n$ iff for all $s_j = s_{j'}^i$ and $s_k = s_{k'}^i$ with $j < k$ it is the case that $j' < k'$.

The interleaved state sequence
respects the execution order of each process

Admissible Computations: Interleaving

Definition (Interleaving of independent computations)

Assume n independent processes $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ and process i has computation $c^i = (s_0^i, s_1^i, s_2^i, \ldots)$.

The computation $(s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots)$ is an interleaving of $c^1, \ldots, c^n$ iff for all $s_j = s_{j'}^i$ and $s_k = s_{k'}^i$ with $j < k$ it is the case that $j' < k'$.

> The interleaved state sequence
> respects the execution order of each process

Observations

- Semantics of concurrent PROMELA program are all its interleavings
- Called interleaving semantics of concurrent programs
- Not universal: in JAVA certain reorderings allowed

Interleaving Cont'd

Can represent possible interleavings in a DAG

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2    byte n;
3    n = 1;
4    n = 2
5 }
```

Atomicity

At which granularity of execution can interleaving occur?

Definition (Atomicity)

An expression or statement of a process that is executed entirely without the possibility of interleaving is called atomic.

Atomicity

At which granularity of execution can interleaving occur?

Definition (Atomicity)

An expression or statement of a process that is executed entirely without the possibility of interleaving is called atomic.

Atomicity in PROMELA

- Assignments, jumps, skip, and expressions are atomic
  - In particular, conditional expressions are atomic:
    (p -> q : r), C-style syntax, brackets required
- Guarded commands are not atomic

Atomicity Cont'd

```
int a,b,c;
active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
}
active proctype Q() {
  a = 0
}
```

Atomicity Cont'd

```
int a,b,c;
active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
}
active proctype Q() {
  a = 0
}
```

Command Line Execution

Interleaving into selection statement forced by interactive simulation

```
> spin -p -g -i zero.pml
```

Atomicity Cont'd

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

   `c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)`

Atomicity Cont'd

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

   ```
   c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
   ```

2. Put code inside scope of **atomic**:

   ```
   active proctype P() {
     a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
     atomic {
     if
       :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
       :: else -> c = b
     fi
     }
   }
   ```

Atomicity Cont'd

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

```
c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
```

2. Put code inside scope of **atomic**:

```
active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  atomic {
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
  }
}
```

Atomicity Cont'd

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

```
c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
```

2. Put code inside scope of **atomic**:

```
active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  atomic {
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
  }
}
```

Remark: Blocking statement in **atomic** may lead to interleaving (Lect. "Concurrency")

Usage Scenario of PROMELA

1. **Model** the **essential** features of a system in PROMELA
   - **abstract** away from complex (numerical) computations
     - make usage of **non-deterministic** choice of outcome
   - replace unbounded data structures with **finite** approximations
   - assume **fair** process scheduler

2. **Select properties** that the PROMELA model must satisfy
   - **Generic Properties** (discussed in later lectures)
     - Mutal exclusion for access to critical resources
     - Absence of deadlock
     - Absence of starvation
   - **System-specific properties**
     - Event sequences (e.g., system responsiveness)

# Formalisation with PROMELA

# Formalisation with PROMELA

Formalisation with PROMELA

System Requirements

System Design

PROMELA Model

direct modeling
(SEFM course)

Formalisation with Promela

Formalisation with PROMELA

Usage Scenario of PROMELA Cont'd

1. **Model** the essential features of a system in PROMELA
   - abstract away from complex (numerical) computations
     - make usage of non-deterministic choice of outcome
   - replace unbounded datastructures with finite approximations
   - assume fair process scheduler
2. **Select properties** that the PROMELA model must satisfy
   - Mutal exclusion for access to critical resources
   - Absence of deadlock
   - Absence of starvation
   - Event sequences (e.g., system responsiveness)
3. **Verify** that all possible runs of PROMELA model satisfy properties
   - Typically, need many iterations to get model and properties right
   - Failed verification attempts provide feedback via counter examples
   - Topic of next week's lecture

Verification: Work Flow (Simplified)

PROMELA Program

Properties

[](!csp || !csq)

```
byte n = 0;
active proctype P() {
  n = 1
}
active proctype Q() {
  n = 2
}
```

Spin

✗

✔

csp = 0 1 1
csq = 1 0 1

Literature for this Lecture

Ben-Ari Chapter 1, Sections 3.1–3.3, 3.5, 4.6, Chapter 6

Spin Reference card (linked from Links, Papers, and Software section of course homepage)

jspin User manual, file `doc/jspin-user.pdf` in distribution