



Target environment A Hardware platform Architecture





Lecture #10



Handling overload conditions

- How do we handle a situation where the system becomes temporarily overloaded?
- · Best-effort schemes:
 - No prediction for overload conditions.
- · Guarantee schemes:
 - Processor load is controlled by continuous acceptance tests.
- Robust schemes:
 - Different policies for task acceptance and task rejection.
- · Negotiation schemes:
 - Modifies workload characteristics within agreed-upon bounds.











EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012 Updated April 15, 2012





Lecture #10





EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012 Updated April 15, 2012



Approaches for handling aperiodic tasks:

Server-based approach:

CHALMERS

- Reserve capacity to a "server task" that is dedicated to handling aperiodic tasks.
- All aperiodic tasks are accepted, but can only be handled in a best-effort fashion ⇒ no guarantee on schedulability
- Server-less approach:
 - A schedulability test is made on-line for each arriving aperiodic task \Rightarrow guaranteed schedulability for accepted task.
 - Rejected aperiodic tasks could either be dropped or forwarded to another processor (in case of multiprocessor systems)





CHALMERS

Static-priority servers

Background scheduling:

Lecture #10

Schedule aperiodic activities in the background; that is, when there are no periodic task instances to execute.

Advantage:

- Very simple implementation

Disadvantage:

- Response time can be too long















Lecture #10



EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012 Updated April 15, 2012

CHALMERS Static-priority servers Non-existence of optimal servers: (Tia, Liu & Shankar, 1995) For any set of periodic tasks ordered on a given static-priority scheme and aperiodic requests ordered according to a given aperiodic queuing discipline, there does not exist any valid algorithm that

For any set of periodic tasks ordered on a given static-priority scheme and aperiodic requests ordered according to a given aperiodic queuing discipline, there does not exist any on-line algorithm that minimizes the average response time of the soft aperiodic requests.

minimizes the response time of every soft aperiodic request.

Slot-shifting server

Slot-Shifting Server: (Fohler, 1995)

CHALMERS

Schedules aperiodic tasks in the unused time slots in a schedule generated for time-driven dispatching.

Associated with each point in time is a spare capacity that indicates by how much the execution of the next periodic task can be shifted in time without missing any deadline.

Whenever an aperiodic task arrives, task instances in the static workload may be shifted in time - by as much as the spare capacity indicates - in order to accommodate the new task.

Dynamic-priority servers	
Dynamic Priority Exchange Server: (Spuri & Buttazzo, 1994) Preserves its capacity by temporarily exchanging it for the execution time of a lower-priority (longer deadline) task.	
Dynamic Sporadic Server: (Spuri & Buttazzo, 1994) Replenishes its capacity only after it has been consumed by aperiodic task execution.	
Total Bandwidth Server: (Spuri & Buttazzo, 1994) Assign a (possibly earlier) deadline to each aperiodic task and schedule it as a normal task. Deadlines are assigned such that the overall processor utilization of the operiodic	

such that the overall processor utilization of the aperiodic load never exceeds a specified maximum value Us.

CHALMERS

Lecture #10