EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012 Updated March 21, 2012 Lecture #5







CHALMERS

Schedulability analysis

Complexity of feasibility testing: (Leung, 1989)

The problem of deciding the feasibility of a schedule produced by a <u>particular</u> static or dynamic priority assignment is NP-hard for m ≥ 1 processors.

Observation:

 If an optimal priority assignment can be found in polynomial time, the complexity of the priority assignment problem reduces to that of the feasibility testing problem.

Observations:

Updated March 21, 2012

CHALMERS

 The definition is applicable to both sufficient feasibility tests and exact feasibility tests; optimal performance is still provided with respect to the limitations of the test itself.

Priority assignment

A priority assignment policy *P* is said to be <u>optimal</u> with respect

to a feasibility test *S* and a given task model, if and only if the following holds: *P* is optimal if there are no task sets that are

compliant with the task model that are deemed schedulable by

test S using another priority assignment policy, that are not also

deemed schedulable by test S using policy P.

EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012

Priority assignment

Relaxing the zero offset assumption:

• In order for the RM, DM and EDF priority-assignment policies to be optimal for the single-processor case we assume *synchronous* task sets where the offsets of tasks are identical, that is:

 $\forall i, j: O_i = O_i$

Lecture #5

CHALMERS

In *asynchronous* task sets the offsets of at least one pair of tasks are not identical, that is:

 $\exists i, j : i \neq j, O_i \neq O_j$

Asynchronous task sets are typically used to reduce jitter or to remove the need for resource access protocols (e.g. PCP).











Lecture #5







Updated March 21, 2012

EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012







• Guarantee bound analysis (polynomial time complexity)

What techniques for feasibility testing exist?

Hyper-period analysis (exponential time complexity)

Feasibility testing

 The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the task set must not exceed a given bound

- In a simulated schedule no task execution may miss its deadline

- Response time analysis (pseudo-polynomial complexity)
 - The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the deadline of the task

EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012

Schedulable

Not schedulable

Feasibility testing

· An exact feasibility test is both sufficient and necessary. If

and if the answer is negative the task set is definitely not

Positive test

Negative test

the answer is positive the task set is definitely schedulable,

Updated March 21, 2012

CHALMERS

CHALMERS

schedulable.

Task set

- Processor demand analysis (pseudo-polynomial complexity)
 - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval



 The fraction of processor time that is used for executing the task set must not exceed a given bound

Feasibility testing

- Response time analysis (for static priorities)

 The worst-case response time for each task must not exceed the deadline of the task
- Processor demand analysis (for dynamic priorities)
 - The accumulated computation demand for the task set under a given time interval must not exceed the length of the interval



• The schedule interval that is sufficient to investigate is related to the <u>hyper-period</u> of the task set, that is, the least-common-multiple (LCM) of the task periods.

Thus, hyper-period analysis will in general have an exponential time complexity.

Lecture #5

CHALMERS

EDA421/DIT171 - Parallel and Distributed Real-Time Systems, Chalmers/GU, 2011/2012 Updated March 21, 2012

CHALMERS Hyper-period analysis Feasibility intervals:

- For synchronous systems it is sufficient to investigate the interval [0, P], where P is the hyper-period of the task set.
- For asynchronous systems with dynamic priorities it is sufficient to investigate the interval $[0, O_{max} + 2P]$, where *P* is the hyper-period and O_{max} is the largest offset in the task set.
- · For asynchronous systems with static priorities it is sufficient to investigate, for each task τ_i , the interval $[O_i, O_i + P_i]$, where P_i is the hyper-period of all tasks with priority higher than τ_i .

Guarantee bound analysis A good guarantee bound enables prediction of required processing capacity, e.g. # and speed of processors, of the hardware (when software is known)

... enables derivation of timing parameters, e.g. periods of tasks, in the software (when hardware implementation is known)

=

A good guarantee bound ...

CHALMERS

... enables prediction of how "strong" the hardware implementation must be (when the software "load" is known)

... enables prediction of how high the software "load" is allowed to be (when the "strength" of the hardware implementation is known)



Lecture #5

CHALMERS

- The utilization U_i of a task is expressed as the fraction of processing capacity used for executing the task. Thus, guarantee bound analysis will have a polynomial time complexity











