

Network communication

Message delay:

- Message delays are caused by the following overheads:
 - Formatting (packetizing) the message
 - Queuing the message, while waiting for access to medium
 - Transmitting the message on the medium
 - Notifying the receiver of message arrival
 - Deformatting (depacketizing) the message

Formatting/deformatting overheads are typically included in the execution time of the sending/receiving task.

CHALMERS

Network communication

Queuing delay:

- The queuing delay for a task is caused by:
 - Waiting for a corresponding time slot (TTP/C, FlexRay)
 - Waiting for a transmission token (Token Ring, FDDI)
 - Waiting for a contention-free transmission (Ethernet)
 - Waiting for network priority negotiation (CAN)
 - Waiting for removal from priority queue (Switched Ethernet, EDD-D)

Network communication

Transmission delay:

- The delay for transmitting the message is a function of:
 - Message length (bits)
 - Data rate (bits/s)

and

- Communication distance (m)
- Signal propagation velocity (m/s)

$$t_{\text{frame}} = \frac{N_{\text{frame}}}{R}$$

$$t_{\text{prop}} = \frac{L}{v}$$

CHALMERS

Network communication

How is the message transfer scheduled between tasks assigned to different processors?

- Integrated scheduling:
 - Scheduling of tasks and inter-task communication are regarded as comparable operations.
 - Requires compatible dispatching strategies.
- Separated scheduling:
 - Scheduling of tasks and inter-task communication are performed as separate steps.
 - Allows for different dispatching strategies.

Network communication

Integrated scheduling:

- Suitable for simple homogeneous systems with known assignment of tasks to processors
- Examples:
 - Time-driven task dispatching + TTP/C network protocol
 - Static-priority task dispatching + CAN protocol
 - Static-priority task dispatching + Token Ring network protocol

CHALMERS

Network communication

Separated scheduling:

- Suitable for heterogeneous systems or when assignment of tasks to processors is not always known in advance
- Motivation:
 - Transmission delay is zero if communicating tasks are assigned to the same processor
 - Number of communication links that a message traverses may be a function of the assignment (depends on topology and routing strategy)
 - Different communication links may employ different message dispatching policies

Network communication

How is the message transfer synchronized between communicating tasks?


- Asynchronous communication:
 - Sending and reception of messages are performed as independent operations at run-time.
- Synchronous communication:
 - Sending and receiving tasks synchronize their network medium access at run-time.

CHALMERS

Network communication

Asynchronous communication


- Implementation:
 - Network controller chip administrates message transmission and reception (example: CAN, Ethernet)
 - Interrupt handler notifies the receiver
- Release jitter:
 - Queuing delays (at sender or in multi-hop network switches) and notification delay cause variations in message arrival time
 - Arrival-time variations gives rise to <u>release jitter</u> at receiving task (which may negatively affect schedulability)
 - Release jitter is minimized by using offsets for receiving tasks, or by maintaining message periodicity in multi-hop networks



Network communication

Synchronous communication

- Implementation:
 - Network controller chip makes sure message transmission and reception occurs within a dedicated time slot in a TDMA bus network
 - Off-line static scheduling is used for matching the time slot with the execution of sending and receiving tasks
 - Queuing and notification delays can be kept to a minimum by instructing the off-line scheduling algorithm to use jitter minimization as the scheduling objective



Network communication

How is the message transfer imposed with a deadline?

- · As a separate schedulable entity:
 - Suitable deadline-assignment techniques must be used
 - Worst-case message delay must be known beforehand
- · As part of the receiving task:
 - No explicit deadline needed for message transmission
 - May impose release jitter on the receiving task

Network communication

How is the message transferred onto the medium?


- Contention-free communication:
 - Senders need not contend for medium access at run-time
 - Examples: TTP/C, FlexRay, Switched Ethernet
- Token-based communication:
 - Each sender using the medium gets one chance to send its messages, based on a <u>predetermined</u> order
 - Examples: Token Ring, FDDI
- · Collision-based communication:
 - Senders may have to contend for the medium at run-time
 - Examples: Ethernet, CAN


CHALMERS


Network communication


Contention-free communication:


- One or more dedicated time slots for each task/processor
 - Shared communication bus
 - Medium access is divided into communication cycles (normally related to task hyper periods to allow for integrated scheduling)
 - Dedicated time slots provide bounded message queuing delays
 - TTP/C, TTCAN ("exclusive mode"), FlexRay ("static segment")
- One sender only for each communication line
 - Point-to-point communication networks with link switches
 - Output and input buffers with deterministic queuing policies in switches provide bounded message queuing delays
 - Switched Ethernet, EDD-D, Network Calculus














Network communication

Token-based communication:

- Utilize a <u>token</u> for the arbitration of message transmissions on a shared medium
 - The sender is only allowed to transmit its messages when it possesses the token
 - Message priorities/quotas allows for bounded queuing delays
- Examples:
 - Timed-Token Protocol
 - Token Bus (IEEE 802.4)
 - Token Ring (IEEE 802.5)
 - FDDI (ANSI X3T9.5)


CHALMERS


Token-based communication

Timed-Token Protocol: (Malcolm & Zhao, 1994)

- · Concepts:
 - By token rotation (TR) we mean that the token has made a complete cycle among all the processor nodes.
 - The token cycle time is the <u>real</u> value of the time taken for TR.
 - The target token-rotation time (TTRT) is an <u>expected</u> value of the time taken for TR.
- · Protocol:
 - Every time the token visits a processor node, it is allowed to transmit up to a pre-assigned quota of real-time messages.
 - At token reception, token cycle time is compared against TTRT:
 - · if token is late, only real-time messages are transmitted
 - if token is early, non-real-time messages are also transmitted

Token-based communication Timed-Token Protocol: A necessary feasibility test: The deadline of each message transmission must be at least twice the TTRT. A sufficient feasibility test: The accumulated transmission quotas should not exceed TTRT minus the overhead for token transmission time.





Token-based communication

Token Ring protocol:

- 1. Each node examines RRR of a busy token as it passes and inserts the priority of its pending message only if it is greater than the priority currently in RRR.
- 2. A node does not grab a "free" token unless the priority of its pending message is at least as high as the priority in PPP. Then the token status is changed to "busy".
- 3. A transmitting node appends its pending message after the "busy" token and sets RRR appropriately.
- 4. A transmitting node waits until it receives back the "busy" token before releasing the next "free" token with PPP set to the (possibly) updated RRR.

Token-based communication

Token Ring real-time protocol: (Sathaye & Strosnider, 1994)

The rate-monotonic (RM) scheduling algorithm can be adapted to the Token Ring protocol by assuming a <u>non-preemptive</u> dispatching model.

- Limitations:
 - Messages cannot be interrupted during transmission, which means that message scheduling is non-preemptive.
 - Message headers must be included in message size
 - Notion of highest priority might be outdated since the system is distributed
 - The number of priority bits (3) defined in IEEE 802.5 does not allow for an arbitrary number of priority levels.

CHALMERS

Token-based communication

Token Ring real-time protocol: (Sathaye & Strosnider, 1994)

A sufficient and necessary feasibility test:

$$\forall i: R_i = t_{sys} + b_i + \sum_{j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i}{T_j} \right\rceil e_j \leq D_i$$

 $t_{\rm sys}$: system overhead defined by the system

 $\boldsymbol{b_{\!\scriptscriptstyle i}}$: blocking time due to ongoing transmissions

 e_i : "execution time" consisting of the following time components

Capture token when node has highest-priority message pending

Transmit message

Transmit subsequent free token

Network communication

Collision-based communication:

- Utilize collision-detect mechanism to determine validity of message transmissions on a shared medium
 - The sender tries to send messages independently of other senders' intention to do so
 - Attempts may be done at any time or when some specific network state occurs
- Examples:
 - Ethernet w/ multiple senders (IEEE 802.3)
 - CAN (SAE 1993)


CHALMERS

Collision-based communication

Ethernet protocols w/ multiple senders:

- Senders attempt to send a complete message
- If messages collide, all transmissions are aborted
- After collision, re-transmission is made after a random delay
- Protocol extensions for real-time systems:
 - VTCSMA (Zhao & Ramamritham, 1987)
 - Window Protocol (Zhao, Stankovic & Ramamritham, 1990)

Message queuing delay can in general not be bounded! Therefore, these protocols do not give any guarantees for meeting imposed message deadlines!



Collision-based communication

Controller Area Network (CAN):

- Senders transmit a message header (with an identifier)
- If messages collide, a hardware-supported protocol is used to determine what sender will be allowed to send the rest of the message; transmissions by other senders are aborted

Message queuing delay can be bounded with appropriate identifier assignment!

Therefore, this protocol makes it possible to meet imposed message deadlines!

Collision-based communication

CAN message frame format: (short format)



11-bit identifier is used for two purposes:

- assign a priority to the message (low number ⇒ high priority)
- · enable receiver to filter messages

Wired-AND:

Each node monitors the bus while transmitting.

If multiple nodes are transmitting simultaneously and one node transmits a '0', then all nodes will see a '0'. If all nodes transmit a '1', then all nodes will see a '1'.

CHALMERS

Collision-based communication

CAN protocol: (binary countdown)

- 1. Each node with a pending message waits until bus is idle.
- 2. The node begins transmitting the highest-priority message pending on the node. Identifier is transmitted first, in the order of most-significant bit to least-significant bit.
- 3. If a node transmits a recessive bit ('1') but sees a dominant bit ('0') on the bus, then it stops transmitting since it is not transmitting the highest-priority message in the system.
- 4. The node that transmits the last bit of its identifier without detecting a bus inconsistency has the highest priority and can start transmitting the body of the message.

Collision-based communication

CAN real-time protocols:

- Protocol #1: (Davis et al., 2007)
 - Any fixed-priority scheduling algorithm can be adapted to the CAN protocol by assuming non-preemptive dispatching.
- Protocol #2: (Zuberi & Shin, 1995)
 - The earliest-deadline-first (EDF) and deadline-monotonic (DM) scheduling algorithms can also be adapted to the CAN protocol by appropriately partitioning the identifier field.

Additional reading:

Study the paper by Davis et al. (2007)