

Finite Automata and Formal Languages

TMV026/DIT321 – LP4 2011

Ana Bove

Lecture 2

March 22nd 2011

Overview of today's lecture:

- Formal Proofs
- Inductively defined sets
- Proofs by (structural) induction

Formal Proofs – Inductively Defined Sets

How Formal a Proof Should Be?

- Should be convincing!
- Should not leave too much out
- The validity of each step should be easily understood

Valid steps are for example:

- Reduction to definition:

“ x is a positive integer” is equivalent to “ $x > 0$ ”

- Use of hypothesis
- Combining previous facts and known statements:

“Given $A \Rightarrow B$ and A we can conclude B by *modus ponens*”

Form of Statements

Statements we want to prove are usually of the form

If H_1 and $H_2 \dots$ and H_n then C_1 and \dots and C_m

for $n \geq 0$

or

P_1 and \dots and P_k iff Q_1 and \dots and Q_m

Note: Observe that one proves the *conclusion* assuming the validity of the *hypotheses*!

Proofs by Contradiction

If H then C

is logically equivalent to

H and not C implies “something known to be false”

Example: If $x \neq 0$ then $x^2 \neq 0$.

Proofs by Contrapositive

“If H then C ” is logically equivalent to “If not C then not H ”

Proofs by Counterexample

We find an example that “breaks” what we want to prove.

Example: All natural numbers are odd.

Proofs by Induction

How to prove an statement over the natural numbers?

Mathematical induction: When we want to prove a property P over *all* natural numbers.

Given $P(0)$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$ then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$.

More generally: given $P(i), P(i + 1), \dots, P(j)$ for $j > i$, and $\forall n \geq i. P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$ then $\forall n \geq i. P(n)$.

Course of value induction: Variant of mathematical induction.

Given $P(i), P(i + 1), \dots, P(j)$ for $j > i$ and $\forall i \leq m < n. P(m) \Rightarrow P(n)$ then $\forall n \geq i. P(n)$.

Example: Proof by Induction

Proposition: Let $f(0) = 0$ and $f(n + 1) = f(n) + n + 1$. Then, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f(n) = n(n + 1)/2$.

Proof: By induction on n where $P(n)$ is $f(n) = n(n + 1)/2$.

Basis case: We prove that $P(0)$ holds.

Inductive step: We prove that if for a given $n \geq 0$ $P(n)$ holds, then $P(n + 1)$ also holds.

$P(n)$ here is called our *inductive hypothesis* (we will call it IH).

Now we have established that for *all* n , $P(n)$ is true!

In particular we know that $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots, P(15), \dots$ hold.

Example: Proof by Induction

Proposition: *If $n \geq 8$ then n can be written as a sum of 3's and 5's.*

Proof: By course of value induction on n where $P(n)$ is “ n can be written as a sum of 3's and 5's”.

Basis cases: $P(7)$ does not hold but $P(8), P(9)$ and $P(10)$ hold.

Inductive step: Now we want to prove that if $P(8), P(9), \dots, P(n)$ hold for $n \geq 10$ then $P(n+1)$ holds.

Observe that if $n \geq 10$ then $n \geq n+1-3 \geq 8$.

Hence by inductive hypothesis $P(n+1-3)$ holds.

By adding an extra 3 then $P(n+1)$ holds as well.

Example: Proof by Induction

Proposition: *All horses have the same colour.*

Proof: Let $P(n)$ be “in any set of n horses they all have the same colour”.

Basis cases: $P(0)$ is not interesting in this example.

$P(1)$ is clearly true.

Inductive step: Let us show that $P(n)$ implies $P(n+1)$.

Let $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1}$ be a set of $n+1$ horses.

Take h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n . By IH they all have the same colour.

Take now $h_2, h_3, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1}$. Again, by IH they all have the same colour.

Hence, by transitivity, all horses h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n must have the same colour.

Mutual Induction

Sometimes we cannot prove a single statement $P(n)$ but rather a group of statements $P_1(n), P_2(n), \dots, P_k(n)$ simultaneously by induction on n .

This is very common in automata theory where we need an statement for each of the states of the automata.

Example: Recall the on/off-switch from last lecture.

Example: Proof by Mutual Induction

Let $f, g, h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(0) = 0 & g(0) = 1 & h(0) = 0 \\ f(n+1) = g(n) & g(n+1) = f(n) & h(n+1) = 1 - h(n) \end{array}$$

Proposition: $\forall n. h(n) = f(n)$.

Proof: If $P(n)$ is $h(n) = f(n)$ it does not seem possible to prove $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$ directly.

We strengthen $P(n)$ to $P'(n)$ as follows:

Let $P'(n)$ be $h(n) = f(n) \wedge h(n) = 1 - g(n)$.

We prove $P'(0)$, that is, $h(0) = f(0) \wedge h(0) = 1 - g(0)$.

Then we prove that $P'(n+1)$ follows from $P'(n)$.

Automaton Representation

We can think of f, g and h as a *circuit*.

The circuit can be represented as an automaton as follows:

- The states are the possible values of $s(n) = (f(n), g(n), h(n))$
- The transitions are from the states $s(n)$ to the state $s(n + 1)$
- Initial state is $s(0) = (0, 1, 0)$

One can check the invariant $f(n) = h(n)$ on all the states accessible from the initial state.

Inductively Defined Sets

Natural Numbers: Basis case: 0 is a natural number

Inductive step: If n is a natural number then $n + 1$ is a natural number

Finite Lists: Basis case: $[]$ is the empty list over any set A

Inductive step: If $a \in A$ and xs is a list over A then $a : xs$ is a list over A .

Finitely Branching Trees: Basis case: $()$ is a tree over any set A

Inductive step: If t_1, \dots, t_k are tree over the set A , then (t_1, \dots, t_k) is a tree over A .

...

Compare this with the definition of (recursive) data types in a programming language! What can you say?

Inductively Defined Sets

To define a set S by induction we need to specify:

Basis cases: Here we say which specific elements e_1, \dots, e_m belong to S .

Inductive steps: Assuming that s_1, \dots, s_n belong to S , we indicate how to use s_1, \dots, s_n in order to construct new elements of S
 $c_1[s_1, \dots, s_n], \dots, c_k[s_1, \dots, s_n]$.

Example: The set of simple boolean expressions is defined as:

Basis cases: `true` and `false` are boolean expressions

Inductive steps: if a and b are boolean expressions then

(a) `not a` `a and b` `a or b`

are also boolean expressions.

Proofs by Structural Induction

Generalisation of mathematical induction to other inductively defined object such as lists, trees, ...

VERY useful in computer science since it allows to prove properties over the (finite) elements in a data type!

Given an inductively defined set S , we prove $\forall s \in S. P(s)$ by:

Basis cases: We prove that P holds for all base cases: $P(e_1), \dots, P(e_m)$.

Inductive steps: Assuming that $P(s_1), \dots, P(s_n)$ hold, we prove that $P(c_1[s_1, \dots, s_n]), \dots, P(c_k[s_1, \dots, s_n])$ also hold.

Here, $P(s_1), \dots, P(s_n)$ are our *inductive hypotheses*.

Example: Proof by Structural Induction

We can now use recursion to define functions over an inductively defined set and then prove properties of these functions by structural induction.

Given the finite lists, let us (recursively) define the append and length functions:

$$\begin{aligned} [] ++ ys &= ys & \text{len } [] &= 0 \\ (a : xs) ++ ys &= a : (xs ++ ys) & \text{len } (a : xs) &= 1 + \text{len } xs \end{aligned}$$

Proposition: $\forall xs, ys. \text{len } (xs ++ ys) = \text{len } xs + \text{len } ys.$

Proof: By structural induction on xs .

Basis case: We prove $P[]$.

Inductive step: We show that $P(xs)$ implies $P(a : xs)$.

Example: Proof by Structural Induction

Given the finitely branching trees, let us (recursively) define functions counting the number of edges and of nodes:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ne}() &= 0 & \text{nn}() &= 1 \\ \text{ne}(t_1, \dots, t_k) &= k + & \text{nn}(t_1, \dots, t_k) &= 1 + \\ & \text{ne}(t_1) + \dots + \text{ne}(t_k) & & \text{nn}(t_1) + \dots + \text{nn}(t_k) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition: $\forall t. \text{nn}(t) = 1 + \text{ne}(t).$

Proof: By structural induction on t .

Basis case: We prove $P()$.

Inductive step: We show that $P(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ follows from $P(t_1), \dots, P(t_k)$.