

Monitors

Monitors:

- A <u>monitor</u> is a construct offered by some programming languages, e.g., Modula-1, Concurrent Pascal, Mesa.
- A monitor encapsulates data structures that are shared among multiple tasks and provides procedures to be called when a task needs to access the data structures.
- Execution of monitor procedures are done under mutual exclusion.
- Synchronization of tasks is done with a mechanism called condition variables.

Monitors

Monitors vs. protected objects:

- Monitors are similar to protected objects in Ada 95. Both are passive objects that can guarantee mutual exclusion during calls to procedures manipulating shared data.
- The difference between monitors and protected objects are in the way they handle synchronization:
 - Protected objects use entries with barriers (auto wake-up)
 - Monitors use condition variables (manual wake-up)
- Java offers a monitor-like construct:
 - Java's synchronized methods correspond to monitor procedures
 - However, Java has no mechanism that corresponds to condition variables; a thread that gets woken up must check manually whether the resource is available.

CHALMERS

Monitors

Operations on condition variables:

wait(cond_var): the calling task is blocked and is inserted into
 a FIFO queue corresponding to cond_var.
send(cond_var): wake up first task in the queue corresponding
 to cond_var. No effect if the queue is empty.

Properties:

- 1. After a call to wait the monitor is released (e.g., other tasks may execute the monitor procedures).
- 2. A call to **send** must be the last statement in a monitor procedure.
- 3. Queuing tasks that are awoken by a call to **send** has priority over tasks waiting to enter the monitor.

Example: simple resource manager

CHALMERS

Example: circular buffer

Problem: Write a monitor Circular Buffer that handles a circular buffer with room for 8 data records of type Data.

- The monitor should have two entries, Put and Get.
- Producer tasks should be able to insert data records in the buffer via entry Put. If the buffer is <u>full</u>, a task that calls Put should be blocked.
- Consumer tasks should be able to remove data records from the buffer via entry Get. If the buffer is empty, a task that calls Get should be blocked.

We solve this on the blackboard!

Semaphores

Semaphores:

- A <u>semaphore</u> is a passive synchronization primitive that is used for protecting shared and exclusive resources.
- Synchronization is done using two operations, wait and signal. These operations are atomic (indivisible) and are themselves critical regions with mutual exclusion.
- Semaphores are used in real-time kernels and operating systems to implement e.g. rendezvous, protected objects or monitors.
- Semaphores were proposed by (Dutchman) E. W. Dijkstra.
 It is therefore common to see the notation P and V for the operations wait and signal, respectively.

CHALMERS

Semaphores

A semaphore s is an integer variable with value domain ≥ 0
Atomic operations on semaphores:

Example: semaphores in Ada 95

Problem: Write a package **Semaphores** that implements semaphores in Ada 95.

- The package should define a protected object Semaphore.
- The object should receive an initial value when it is created.
- The object should have two entries, Wait and Signal, that work in accordance with the definition of semaphores.

We solve this on the blackboard!

CHALMERS

Using semaphores

Simple resource manager with critical regions

Mutual exclusion

Methods for implementing mutual exclusion:

- By disabling the processor's interrupt service mechanism
 - Only works for single-processor systems
- With atomic processor instructions
 For example: the test-and-set instruction
 - Variables can be tested and updated in one operation
 - Necessary for systems with two or more processors
- With software
 - Dekker's algorithm, Peterson's algorithm
 - Requires no dedicated hardware support

CHALMERS

Disabling interrupts

In single-processor systems, the mutual exclusion is guaranteed by disabling the processor's interrupt service mechanism ("interrupt masking") while the critical region is executed.

This way, unwanted task switches in the critical region (caused by e.g. timer interrupts) are avoided. However, <u>all other</u> tasks are unable to execute during this time.

Therefore, critical regions should only contain such instructions that really require mutual exclusion (e.g., code that handles the operations **wait** and **signal** for semaphores).

This method does not work for multi-processor systems!

Disabling interrupts

```
task A;
task B;

task body A is
begin
loop
Disable_Interrupts;
Enable_Interrupts;
end loop;
end A;

task body B is
begin
loop
Disable_Interrupts;
-- turn off interrupt handling
-- critical region
-- remaining program code

-- turn off interrupt handling
-- remaining program code

-- turn off interrupt handling
-- critical region
-- B leaves critical region
-- B leaves critical region
-- remaining program code

-- remaining program code

-- remaining program code

-- remaining program code
```

CHALMERS

Test-and-set instruction

In multi-processor systems with shared memory, a test-and-set instruction is used for handling critical regions.

A test-and-set instruction is a <u>processor instruction</u> that reads from and writes to a variable in one atomic operation.

The functionality of the test-and-set instruction can be illustrated by the following Ada procedure:

The combined read and write of **lock** must be atomic. In a multiprocessor system, this is guaranteed by locking (disabling access to) the memory bus during the entire operation.

Test-and-set instruction procedure Main is lock: Boolean:= false; -- shared flag task A; task B; task body A is previous: Boolean; begin loop loop vestandset(lock, previous); -- A waits if critical region is busy exit when not previous; end loop; ... lock:= false; -- A leaves critical region -- remaining program code end A; end loop; end A;

Test-and-set instruction task body B is previous: Boolean; begin loop loop testandset(lock, previous); -- B waits if critical region is busy exit when not previous; end loop; ... lock:= false; -- Critical region lock:= false; -- B leaves critical region -- B leaves critical region -- Paleaves critical region

Dekker's algorithm

Accomplishes mutual exclusion with the aid of:

- 1. Shared memory
 - a) shared flags
 - b) shared counters
- 2. Busy-wait loops

Requires no dedicated hardware!

Fundamental assumption:

A task will not terminate in a critical region.

Peterson's algorithm is a simplification of Dekker's algorithm.

CHALMERS

Derivation of Dekker's algorithm

(for two tasks)

Attempt 1: A counter variable indicates which task is next in line to get access to the critical region.

- This guarantees mutual exclusion
- The execution order is fixed (P1 P2 P1 P2 ...) which is an inefficient solution if the tasks request the critical region at different intervals
- If a task terminates outside its critical region, deadlock occurs

Attempt 2a: Two flags are used to indicate which task is currently within the critical region.

Since testing and updating of the flags are non-atomic operations, mutual exclusion cannot be guaranteed

Derivation of Dekker's algorithm

(for two tasks)

Attempt 2b: Each task first sets its own flag to "true" and then examines the other flag.

 If the tasks arrive at the critical region at the same time, deadlock can occur

Attempt 2c: If a task does not get access to the critical region, it clears its own flag and a new attempt is made later.

 If the tasks request the critical region at exactly the same interval, starvation can occur

Solution: Let the tasks take turns to <u>try</u> to get access to the critical region. The combination of "turns" indicator and "current-use" flags guarantees that the algorithm reaches mutual exclusion and avoids both deadlock and starvation.

CHALMERS

Dekker's algorithm

(for two tasks)

```
CHALMERS

Dekker's algorithm
(for two tasks)

task body B is begin
loop
in2 := true;
while inl loop
if turn = 1 then
in2 := false;
while turn = 1 loop
null;
end loop;
in2 := true;
end if;
end loop;
...
turn := 1;
in2 := false;
end loop;
end B;

begin
null;
end Dekker;
```