

# Introduction to Concurrent Programming

**Lecture 1** of TDA384/DIT391

Principles of Concurrent Programming

Nir Piterman and Gerardo Schneider

Chalmers University of Technology | University of Gothenburg



UNIVERSITY OF  
GOTHENBURG

---



**CHALMERS**  
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

# Today's menu

- A motivating example
- Why concurrency?
- Basic terminology and abstractions
- Java threads
- Traces

# A Motivating Example

# As simple as counting to two

We illustrate the **challenges** introduced by **concurrent programming** on a simple example: a **counter** modeled by a Java class

- First, we write a traditional, **sequential** version
- Then, we introduce **concurrency** and...run into **trouble!**

# Sequential counter

```
public class Counter {
    private int counter = 0;

    // increment counter by one
    public void run() {
        int cnt = counter;
        counter = cnt + 1;
    }

    // current value of counter
    public int counter() {
        return counter;
    }
}
```

```
public class SequentialCount {
    public static
    void main(String[] args) {
        Counter counter = new Counter();
        counter.run(); // increment once
        counter.run(); // increment
        twice
        // print final value of counter
        System.out.println(
            counter.counter());
    }
}
```

- What is printed by running: `java SequentialCount`?
- May the printed value change in different reruns?

# Modeling sequential computation

```
5 public void run() {  
6     int cnt = counter; ●  
7     counter = cnt + 1; ●  
8 }
```

- `counter.run();` // first call: steps 1-3
- `counter.run();` // second call: steps 4-6

#	LOCAL STATE	OBJECT STATE
1	pc: 6      cnt: $\perp$	counter: 0
2	pc: 7      cnt: 0	counter: 0
3	pc: 8      cnt: 0	counter: 1
4	pc: 6      cnt: $\perp$	counter: 1
5	pc: 7      cnt: 1	counter: 1
6	pc: 8      cnt: 1	counter: 2
7	done	counter: <b>2</b>

# Adding concurrency

Now, we revisit the example by introducing **concurrency**:

Each of the two calls to method `run` can be executed in **parallel**

- In Java, this is achieved by using **threads**
- Do not worry about the details of the syntax for now, we will explain it later

The idea is just that:

- There are two independent execution units (**threads**) `t` and `u`
- Each execution unit executes `run` on the **same counter** object
- We have **no control** over the **order of execution** of `t` and `u`

# Concurrent counter

```
public class CCounter
    extends Counter
    implements Runnable
{
    // threads
    // will execute
    // run()
}
```

```
public class ConcurrentCount {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        CCounter counter = new CCounter();
        // threads t and u, sharing counter
        Thread t = new Thread(counter);
        Thread u = new Thread(counter);
        t.start(); // increment once
        u.start(); // increment twice
        try { // wait for t and u to terminate
            t.join(); u.join();
        } catch (InterruptedException e) {
            System.out.println("Interrupted!");
        } // print final value of counter
        System.out.println(counter.counter());
    }
}
```

- What is printed by running: `java ConcurrentCount`?
- May the printed value change in different reruns?




# What?!

```
$ javac Counter.java CCounter.java ConcurrentCount.java
$ java ConcurrentCount.java
2
$ java ConcurrentCount.java
2
...
$ java ConcurrentCount.java
1
$ java ConcurrentCount.java
2
```

The concurrent version of counter occasionally prints 1 instead of the expected 2

- It seems to do so **unpredictably**

**Welcome to concurrent programming!**



# Why concurrency?

# Reasons for using concurrency

Why do we need concurrent programming in the first place?

- **Abstraction:**
  - **Separating** different tasks, without worrying about when to execute them (Ex: download files from two different websites)
- **Responsiveness:**
  - Providing a **responsive** user interface, with different tasks executing independently (Ex: browse the slides while downloading your email)
- **Performance:**
  - **Splitting complex tasks** in multiple units, and assign each unit to a different processor (Ex: compute all prime numbers up to 1 billion)

# Concurrency vs. parallelism

Principles of **concurrent** programming

VS.

Principer för **parallell** programmering

Huh?

# Concurrency vs. parallelism

We will mostly use **concurrency** and **parallelism** as synonyms

However, they refer to similar but different concepts:

- **Concurrency**: nondeterministic composition of independently executing units  
(**logical parallelism**)
- **Parallelism**: efficient execution of fractions of a complex task on multiple processing units  
(**physical parallelism**)
- You can have **concurrency without physical parallelism**: operating systems running on single-processor single-core systems
- Parallelism is mainly about **speeding up** computations by taking advantage of redundant hardware

# Concurrency vs. parallelism

Ideal situation



Photo: Summer Olympics 2016, Sander van Ginkel.



# Concurrency vs. parallelism

More common situation



Photos: World Cup Nordic '07, Tomoyoshi Noguchi – Vasaloppet '06, Steven Hale.



# Concurrency vs. parallelism

Real world situation



Photo: Daniel Mott 2009



Photo: Wolfgangus Mozart 2010

## Challenges:


- *Concurrency*: everyone gets to do their laundry (fairness),  
 machines are operated by at most one user (mutual exclusion)
- *Parallelism*: distribute load evenly over machines/rooms (load balancing)

**Solutions:** schedules, locks, signs/indicators...


# Moore's law and its end (?)

The spectacular advance of computing in the last 60+ years has been driven by Moore's law

The density of transistors in integrated circuits doubles approximately every 2 years




# Moore's Law in January 2017



# Opinion

- February 16, 20
- Download a PD
- Contact Intel PR

More Manufac





# Concurrency everywhere

Physical restrictions force to change from increasing processing speed to having multiple processing having a major impact on the practice of **programming**:

- **Before:** CPU **speed** increases without significant architectural changes
  - Concurrent programming is a **niche skill** (for operating systems, databases, high-performance computing)
  - Program **as usual** and wait for your program to run faster
- **Now:** CPU speed remains the same, but **number of cores** increases
  - Concurrent programming is **pervasive**
  - Program **with concurrency** in mind, otherwise your programs remain slow

Very different systems all require concurrent programming:

- desktop PCs,
- smart phones,
- video-games consoles,
- embedded systems,
- the Raspberry Pi,
- cloud computing, ...

# Amdahl's law: Concurrency is no free lunch

We have  $n$  processors that can run in parallel

How much **speedup** can we achieve?

$$\mathit{speedup} = \frac{\mathit{sequential\ execution\ time}}{\mathit{parallel\ execution\ time}}$$

Amdahl's law shows that the impact of introducing parallelism is limited by the **fraction  $p$**  of a program that **can be parallelized**:

$$\mathit{maximum\ speedup} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{(1-p)}_{\text{sequential part}} + \underbrace{p/n}_{\text{parallel part}}}$$

# Amdahl's law: Examples

$$\textit{maximum speedup} = \frac{1}{(1 - p) + p/n}$$


With  $n=10$  processors, how close can we get to a 10x speedup?

% SEQUENTIAL	% PARALLEL	MAX SPEEDUP
20%	80%	3.57
10%	90%	5.26
1%	99%	9.17

With  $n=100$  processors, how close can we get to a 100x speedup?

% SEQUENTIAL	% PARALLEL	MAX SPEEDUP
20%	80%	4.81
10%	90%	9.17
1%	99%	50.25

# Amdahl's law: Examples



50% parallelism:  
Adding more than  
16 processors is  
useless

95% parallelism:  
Speedup up to 4096  
processors  
(useless to add more)

Source: Communications of the ACM, Dec. 2017




# Basic terminology and abstractions

# Processes

A **process** is an **independent unit of execution** – the abstraction of a running sequential program:

- identifier
- program counter
- memory space

The runtime/operating system **schedules** processes for execution on the available processors:




# Process states

The **scheduler** is the system unit in charge of setting **process states**:

**Ready:** ready to be executed, but not allocated to any CPU

**Blocked:** waiting for an event to happen


**Running:** running on some CPU



# Threads

A **thread** is a **lightweight process** – an independent unit of execution in the same program space:

- identifier
- program counter
- memory
  - **local** memory, separate for each thread
  - **global** memory, **shared** with other threads



In practice, the difference between processes and threads is fuzzy and implementation dependent. In our course:


**Processes:** executing units that do not share memory (in Erlang)

**Threads:** executing units that share memory (in Java)

# Shared memory vs. message passing


## Shared memory models:

- communication by writing to **shared memory**
- e.g., multi-core systems



## Distributed memory models:

- communication by **message passing**
- e.g., distributed systems




# Java threads

# Creating Threads

- What does a thread need to do?

Method	
start()	Start a thread by calling run() method
run()	Entry point for a thread
join()	Wait for a thread to end
isAlive()	Checks if thread is still running or not
setName()	
getName()	
getPriority()	



[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Process\\_state](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Process_state)

# Extend Thread

```
class MyThread extends Thread
{
    public void run()
    {
        System.out.println("concurrent thread started running..");
    }
}

class MyThreadDemo
{
    public static void main(String args[])
    {
        MyThread mt = new MyThread();
        mt.start();
    }
}
```



# Extend?

## Hierarchy: Animals

- Animal
  - Mammal
    - Canine
      - Dog
      - Wolf
    - Feline
      - Cat
  - Fish
    - Tuna
    - Shark
  - Reptile
    - Crocodile
    - Iguana

## Object - Bank Account

- Accounts have certain data and operations
  - Regardless of whether checking, savings, etc.
- Data
  - account number
  - balance
  - owner
- Operations
  - open
  - close
  - get balance
  - deposit
  - withdraw

## Kinds of Bank Accounts

- Account
  - **Checking**
    - Monthly fees
    - Minimum balance.
  - **Savings**
    - Interest rate
- Each type shares some data and operations of "account", and has some data and operations of its own.

# Implement Runnable

- Java does not support multiple inheritance.
- If you need your class to inherit,

```
class MyThread implements Runnable
{
    public void run()
    {
        System.out.println("concurrent thread started running..");
    }
}

class MyThreadDemo
{
    public static void main(String args[])
    {
        MyThread mt = new MyThread();
        Thread t = new Thread(mt);
        t.start();
    }
}
```

# Java threads

Two ways to build **multi-threaded** programs in **Java**:

- inherit from class `Thread`, override method `run`
- implement interface `Runnable`, implement method `run`


```
public class CCounter
    implements Runnable
{
    // thread's computation:
    public void run() {
        int cnt = counter;
        counter = cnt + 1;
    }
}
```

```
CCounter c = new CCounter();

Thread t = new Thread(c);
Thread u = new Thread(c);

t.start();
u.start();
```

# States of a Java thread




For a Thread object  $t$ :

- $t.start()$ : mark the thread  $t$  **ready** for execution
- `Thread.sleep(n)`: block the **current thread** for  $n$  milliseconds (correct timing depends on JVM implementation)
- $t.join()$ : block the **current thread** until  $t$  terminates

Resuming and suspending is done by the **JVM scheduler**, outside the program's control

# Thread execution model



Shared vs. thread-local memory:

- **Shared objects:** the objects on which the thread operates, and all reachable objects
- **Local memory:** local variables, and special *thread-local* attributes

Threads proceed **asynchronously**, so they have to **coordinate** with other threads accessing the same shared objects

# One possible execution of the concurrent counter

```

1: public class CCounter implements Runnable {
2:     int counter = 0;      // shared object state
3:
4:     // thread's computation:
5:     public void run() {
6:         int cnt = counter; ● ●
7:         counter = cnt + 1; ● ●
8:     } }                  ● ●
  
```

#	t'S LOCAL	u'S LOCAL	SHARED
1	pc <sub>t</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>t</sub> : ⊥	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
2	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
3	pc <sub>t</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 1
4	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 1
5	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 1	counter: 1
6	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 1	counter: 2
7	done	done	counter: <b>2</b>

# One **alternative** execution of the concurrent counter

```

1: public class CCounter implements Runnable {
2:     int counter = 0;           // shared object state
3:
4:     // thread's computation:
5:     public void run() {
6:         int cnt = counter; ● ●
7:         counter = cnt + 1; ● ●
8:     } }                       ● ●
  
```

#	t'S LOCAL	u'S LOCAL	SHARED
1	pc <sub>t</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>t</sub> : ⊥	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
2	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
3	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 0	counter: 0
4	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 0	counter: 1
5	pc <sub>t</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	done	counter: 1
6	done	done	counter: <b>1</b>

# Traces



# Traces

#	t'S LOCAL	u'S LOCAL	SHARED
1	pc <sub>t</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>t</sub> : ⊥	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
2	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
3	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 0	counter: 0
4	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 0	counter: 1
5	pc <sub>t</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	done	counter: 1
6	done	done	counter: 1

The sequence of **states** gives an execution **trace** of the concurrent program

A trace is an **abstraction** of concrete executions:


- atomic/linearized
- complete
- interleaved

Another trace  
A different  
interleaving



#	t'S LOCAL	u'S LOCAL	SHARED
1	pc <sub>t</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>t</sub> : ⊥	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
2	pc <sub>t</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 0
3	pc <sub>t</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>t</sub> : 0	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 1
4	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 6 cnt <sub>u</sub> : ⊥	counter: 1
5	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 7 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 1	counter: 1
6	done	pc <sub>u</sub> : 8 cnt <sub>u</sub> : 1	counter: 2
7	done	done	counter: 2

# Trace abstractions




**Atomic/linearized:** The effects of each thread appear as if they happened **instantaneously**, when the trace snapshot is taken, in the thread's **sequential order**

**Complete:** The trace includes **all** intermediate **atomic states**

**Interleaved:** The trace is an **interleaving** of each thread's linear trace (in particular, no simultaneity)

# Abstraction of concurrent programs

When convenient, we will use an **abstract notation** for multi-threaded applications, which is similar to the pseudo-code used in Ben-Ari's book but uses Java syntax



Each line of code includes exactly one instruction that can be executed **atomically**:

- atomic statement  $\cong$  single read or write to global variable
- precise definition is tricky in Java, but we will learn to avoid pitfalls

© 2016–2019 Carlo A. Furia, Sandro Stucki



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under the  
Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

To view a copy of this license, visit

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.