

# Lab: Stuck waiting for grading of Task X?

"We can not continue with Task X+1 before Task X is accepted, and the TA is taking too long!!"

- TAs are also people with busy schedules and a lot of work.
- No need to wait until Task X is accepted to start working on Task X+1
- Any changes required for Task X must be applied to Task X+1 too, but hopefully those will be minimal

## Task 5: procedure

- Show your finished Task 5 before or in the last lab session
  - Prepare your demo, make it go smooth
  - Anticipate that you need to make changes, don't wait until last minute
  - Only submit Task 5 to Fire after TA's approval
     Mention "seen by <TA's name>" in submission note
     Submit directly after TA approval
- Demo deadline: Friday 16 December 2016
- Fire deadline: Monday 19 December 2016

## Why use Views from JDBC

- Security
  - Separate permissions on VIEW (e.g. read-only, potentially enforced with trigger)
- Hide data
  - Tables may contain sensitive data that not everyone needs access to (e.g. credit card numbers)
- Hide complexity
  - Queries may be complicated with joins, unions, subqueries, etc. This complexity can be hidden with a view
- Support legacy code

 Refactoring a table may break a lot of code. A view can preserve the original look of a table while the latter changes in the background.





low c	ostly	/ is thi	s oper	atior	n (naive solution)?
course	<u>per</u>	<u>weekday</u>	hour	room	
TDA356	2	VR	Monday	13:15	1
TDA356	2	VR	Thursday	08:00	
TDA356	4	HB1	Tuesday	08:00	1
TDA356	4	HB1	Friday	13:15	
TIN090	1	HC1	Wednesday	08:00	
TIN090	1	HA3	Thursday	13:15	1 J
SELECT * FROM Lectures WHERE course = 'TDA357' AND period = 3:			TDA357' = 3;	Go th with t perio	arough all <i>n</i> rows, compare the values for course and d = 2 <i>n</i> comparisons





- When relations are large, scanning all rows to find matching tuples becomes very expensive.
- An *index* on an attribute A of a relation is a data structure that makes it efficient to find those tuples that have a fixed value for attribute A.
  - Example: a hash table gives amortized O(1) lookups.

#### Quiz!

Asymptotic complexity (O(x) notation) is misleading here. Why?

The asymptotic complexity works for data structures in main memory. But when working with stored persistent data, the running time of the data structure, once in main memory, is negligible compared to the time it takes to read data from disk. What really matters to get fast lookups in a database is to minimize the number of disk blocks accessed (could use asymptotic complexity over disk block accessing though). Indexes help here too though. If a relation is stored over a number of disk blocks, knowing in which of these to look is helpful.





#### Example:

SELECT	*
FROM	Lectures
WHERE	course = 'TDA357'
	AND period = 3;

Assume Lectures is stored in n disk blocks. With no index to help the lookup, we must look at all rows, which means looking in all n disk blocks for a total cost of n

With an index, we find that there are 2 rows with the correct values for the course and period attributes. These are stored in two different blocks, so the total cost is 3 (2 blocks + reading index).

#### Quiz!

#### How costly is this operation?

#### SELECT \*

FROM Lectures, Courses WHERE course = code;

No index: Go through all *n* blocks in Lectures, compare the value for course from each row with the values for code in all rows of Courses, stored in all m blocks. The total cost is thus **n** \* **m** accessed disk blocks.

Lectures: n disk blocks Courses: m disk blocks

#### Index on code in Courses: Go through all *n* blocks in Lectures, compare the value for course from each row with the index. Since course is a key, each value will exist at most once, so the cost is 2 \* n + 1 accessed disk blocks (1 for fetching the index once).

## **CREATE INDEX**

- Most DBMS support the statement CREATE INDEX index name ON table (attributes);
  - Example:
    - CREATE INDEX courseIndex ON Courses (code);
  - Statement not in the SQL standard, but most DBMS support it anyway.
  - Primary keys are given indexes implicitly (by the SQL standard).
  - In PostgreSQL, use \di to list indexes

#### Important properties

- · Indexes are separate data stored by itself.
  - Can be created
    - ✓ on newly created relations
    - ✓ on existing relations - will take a long time on large relations.
  - Can be dropped without deleting any table data.
- · SQL statements do not have to be changed
  - a DBMS automatically uses any indexes.

#### Quiz!

#### Why don't we have indexes on all (combinations of) attributes for faster lookups?

- Indexes require disk space.
- Modifications of tables are more expensive. · Need to update both table and index.
- Not always useful
  - · The table is very small.
- We don't perform lookups over it (Note: lookups ≠ queries). - Using an index costs extra disk block accesses.

## **EXPLAIN**

- · Show the execution plan of a statement EXPLAIN SELECT \* FROM Lectures;
- Used to identify performance issues in a query
- · Several options to show more detail

EXPLAIN (Analyze true, Timing true) SELECT \* FROM Lectures;

· Don't forget: query is actually executed! Use a transaction to **EXPLAIN** without consequences

> BEGIN: EXPLAIN DELETE FROM Lectures; ROLLBACK ;

#### Rule of thumb

- · Mostly queries on tables use indexes for key attributes.
- Mostly updates be careful with indexes!



Example: Suppose that the Lectures relation is stored in 20 disk blocks, and that we typically perform three operations on this table: - insert new lectures (Ins)

- list all lectures of a particular course (Q1)
- list all lectures in a given room (Q2)

Let's assume that in an average week there are: - 2 lectures for each course, and - 10 lectures in each room.

- Let's also assume that
  - each course has lectures stored in 2 blocks, and

  - each room has lectures stored in 7 (some lectures are stored in the same block).



	Costs		Insert new lectures (Ins) List all lectures of a particular course ( List all lectures in a given room (Q2)				
	Case A		Case B		Case C	Case D	
	No index	l (course, p	ndex on period, week	lı day)	ndex on room	Both indexes	
Ins			What	cost?			
Q1			What	cost?			
Q2	What cost?						
ne amo	rtized cost de Q1	pends on tr Q2	ne proportior Case A	of opera	ations of ea	ch kind.	
ne amo Ins 0.2	rtized cost de Q1 0.4	pends on the Q2	ne proportior Case A 16.4	n of opera Case E 10	ations of ea	C Case D	
ne amo Ins 0.2 0.8	rtized cost de <b>Q1</b> 0.4 0.1	<b>Q2</b> 0.4 0.1	Case A 16.4 5.6	of opera Case E 10 5.5	ations of ea	C Case D 5.6 5.9	

	Cos	ts	List all lectures of a particular course (C List all lectures in a given room (Q2)				
	Case A	Case B			Case C	Case D	
	No index	l (course, p	ndex on period, week	(day)	Index on room	Both indexes	
Ins	2		4		4	6	
Q1	20		3		20	3	
Q2	20		20		8	Q	
	-		20		0	0	
he amo	rtized cost de Q1	epends on th Q2	ne proportion	n of oper Case	ations of ea	ach kind. C Case D	
he amo	rtized cost de Q1 0.4	epends on th Q2 0.4	Case A	n of oper Case I 10	ations of ea <b>B</b> Case 12	ach kind. C Case D 5.6	
he amo	rtized cost de <b>Q1</b> 0.4 0.1	epends on th Q2 0.4 0.1	Case A 16.4 5.6	n of oper Case 1 10 5.5	ations of ea <b>B Case</b> 12 6	ach kind. C Case D 5.6 5.9	

#### Real world

- The examples given here are very simplified! In reality, many more factors matter:
  - Data layout on disk, storage schemes
  - Size of disk blocks
  - Size of main memory
  - Disk latency, bus speed, …
- · Indexes can be arbitrarily large!
  - Not uncommon for index to be larger than the data set.
  - Different index schemes also matter.

#### Dense index on sequential file

KMB017	KMB017	MVH12	Tuesday	08	
TDA357				00	-
	KMB017	MVH12	Wednesday	15	-
TMS145	TDA357	HA4	Monday	10	
UMF012	TDA357	HB1	Thursday	10	-
UMF018	TMS145	кс	Friday	08	1
	UMF012	MVF23	Friday	13	-
	UMF012	MVF23	Monday	13	-
N N	UMF018	MVF23	Tuesday	10	-









#### Summary – indexes

- Indexes make certain lookups and joins more efficient.
  - Disk block access matters.
  - Multi-attribute indexes
- CREATE INDEX
- Usage analysis
  - What are the expected operations?
  - How much do they cost?
    - $\Sigma$ (cost of operation)x(proportion of operations of that kind)

#### Non-natural keys

- A *natural key* is a key consisting of attributes in the domain model.
- In some cases, no suitable natural key exists.
  - No suitably unique natural candidate key.
  - Natural candidate key "too large".
  - Natural candidate key "not stable".
  - -...



## Artificial key

- Extra attribute added to a table with the purpose of being the key.
  - Does not exist in "reality"
  - Can be verified for correctness
  - Can be distinguished from artificial keys on other tables in database.
- Examples:
  - Personal numbers, car registration numbers, course codes, etc.

## Surrogate key

- System-generated key to replace the actual key behind the covers.
  - AUTO\_INCREMENT, SEQUENCE, IDENTITY, ...
  - Totally unrelated to domain.
  - NOT exposed to user modification database consistency would be at great risk!
    - Remember: From the database perspective, application programmers count as users!
  - Example: post/comment IDs managed by Wordpress.

## Exposed locators

Unholy mix of artificial and surrogate keys:
 System-generated, non-verifiable value with no relation to data model (like a surrogate key).
 ... but exposed to user (like an artificial key).

"[Exposed locators] are handy for lazy, non-RDBMS programmers who do not want to research or think! This is the worst way to program in SQL." Joe Celko, SQL programming guru

#### **BEWARE!**

• In parts of industry, there is an exaggerated belief in using surrogates, or even exposed locators.

"In the real world, outside of school, it is considered insanity to have more than an integer as key." Old student

 Don't believe it! There is no one-size-fits-all solution to picking keys. Think for yourselves! You are better than them!

#### Advantages

- · Non-natural keys can be more compact.
  - Smaller references, smaller indexes.
  - Faster comparisons, faster joins.

#### • Non-natural keys are immutable.

- Not tied to data in domain, so changes of the data will not cause key to change.
- (Recall: Oracle does not support ON UPDATE CASCADE)
   Applications never lose their reference to a particular row in the database

...



· Non-natural keys may degrade performance.

- An extra key on a table requires an extra index to handle external lookups on the natural key
  - external lookups on the natural k
     extra disk space to store index
  - modifications become more costly
  - Reference to non-natural key means external lookups on the natural key in referencing table requires one or more extra joins.
- Non-natural keys may make maintenance harder. – Harder to spot errors, in keys and in references.
- ...







## Words of (my) advice

#### 1. Use natural keys.

- 2. If none available, find or create an artificial key.
  - For (strong and weak) entities only: all tables representing
  - relationships will have natural (composite) keys.Also do this if natural key not suitably immutable.
- 3. If, and **ONLY** if, you notice a performance problem, surrogate keys *might* help.
  - Remember to mark natural keys unique.
  - Remember to create index for natural key lookups, if needed.
     Use views to hide the surrogate keys from users. Avoid
  - exposed locators.
  - Never include surrogates in e.g. E-R diagram they are an implementation detail.

#### Denormalization

- "Re-compose" decomposed tables or attributes, to avoid joining.
  - Can think of this as pre-computing joins
  - Trade-off: query speed vs. redundancy
  - Are updates frequent?
  - "NULLs approach" for sub-entities and manyto-at-most-one is a special case – both composed tables have the same key, so *less* data will be stored.

#### Summary – optimization

- Indexes
  - (often) speed up queries and joins
  - make modifications more costly
- Natural keys, artificial keys, surrogate keys
  - Avoid exposed locators!
  - Know when to use what.
- Denormalization
  - Can be a worthwhile trade-off.

#### Next lectures

- Lecture by Pablo Picazo-Sanchez
  Tomorrow Wednesday 7 December, 8:00
- Lecture by Jan Sparud (Recorded Future)
  - Next week Tuesday 13 December 10:00