

Advanced Functional Programming TDA342/DIT260

Patrik Jansson

2012-03-07

- Contact:** Patrik Jansson, ext 5415.
- Result:** Announced no later than 2012-03-27
- Exam check:** Th 2012-03-29 and Fr 2012-03-30. Both at 12.45-13.10 in EDIT 5468.
- Aids:** You may bring up to two pages (on one A4 sheet of paper) of pre-written notes - a “summary sheet”. These notes may be typed or handwritten. They may be from any source. If this summary sheet is brought to the exam it must also be handed in with the exam (so make a copy if you want to keep it).
- Grades:** Chalmers: 3: 24p, 4: 36p, 5: 48p, max: 60p
GU: G: 24p, VG: 48p
PhD student: 36p to pass
- Remember:** Write legibly.
Don't write on the back of the paper.
Start each problem on a new sheet of paper.
Hand in the summary sheet (if you brought one) with the exam solutions.

(30 p)

Problem 1: Spec: use specification based development techniques

Instances of the *MonadState* class should satisfy the following four laws (where all unbound variables are implicitly forall-quantified and $skip = return ()$):

```
put s' >> put s           == put s           -- put-put
put s >> get              == put s >> return s -- put-get
get >>= put               == skip             -- get-put
get >>= λs → get >>= λs' → k s s' == get >>= λs → k s s -- get-get
```

Consider the following implementation:

```
data S2 s a where
  Return :: a → S2 s a
  Bind   :: S2 s a → (a → S2 s b) → S2 s b
  Then   :: S2 s a → S2 s b → S2 s b
  Get    :: S2 s s
  Put    :: s → S2 s ()
instance Monad (S2 s) where { return = Return; (>>=) = Bind; (>>) = Then }
instance MonadState s (S2 s) where { get = Get; put = Put }
```

(15 p) (a) Implement a run function $runS2 :: S2 s a \rightarrow (s \rightarrow (a, s))$ and prove (by equational reasoning) that the put-put and put-get laws hold if $(=)$ means “all runs are equal”.

(15 p) (b) An alternative implementation is $S3 s a$ where *Bind* and *Then* have been combined with *Get* and *Put*. Below is a partial implementation of an optimiser from $S2$ to $S3$:

```
data S3 s a where
  Ret3    :: a → S3 s a
  GetBind :: (s → S3 s a) → S3 s a
  PutThen :: s → S3 s a → S3 s a
opt :: S2 s a → S3 s a
opt (Return a) = Ret3 a
opt Get        = get3
opt (Put s)    = put3 s
opt (Bind m f) = removeBind m f
opt (Then m n) = removeThen m n
put3 :: s → S3 s ()
put3 s = PutThen s (Ret3 ())
get3 :: S3 s s
get3 = GetBind Ret3
removeBind :: S2 s a → (a → S2 s b) → S3 s b
removeThen :: S2 s a → S2 s b → S3 s b
```

Implement *removeBind* and *removeThen* and motivate your definitions with the monad laws.

```
return x >>= f == f x           -- Law 1
m >>= return == m               -- Law 2
(m >>= f) >>= g == m >>= (λx → f x >>= g) -- Law 3
```

Problem 2: DSL: design embedded domain specific languages**(15 p)**

A pretty-printing library has the following API (inspired by RWH Chapter 5):

```
empty :: Doc
char  :: Char → Doc
text  :: String → Doc
line  :: Doc          -- newline
(<>)  :: Doc → Doc → Doc -- append
union :: Doc → Doc → Doc -- a choice of two variants only differing in layout
prettys :: Doc → [String] -- all layout variants in order of increasing width
```

The width of a string (which can contain newlines) is the length of its longest line. A usage example could be `prettys d2` with

```
d1 = union (text "x<-m") (text "x <- m")
d2 = union (text "do { " <> d1 <> char ' ; ' <> text "f x}")
      (text "do " <> d1 <> line <> text " f x")
```

The four variants would look as follows (widths 7, 9, 13, 15):

```
do x<-m      do x <- m      do {x<-m;f x}      do {x <- m;f x}
  f x        f x
```

(a) Implement a datatype `Doc`, and the operations of the API. This is intended to be just a “proof-of-concept” or “model”-implementation, there is no need to be efficient. (10 p)

(b) Is your implementation deep or shallow? Are you using any monads (explain)? Would some of the API operations fit the *Monoid* type class (explain)? (5 p)

Problem 3: Types: read, understand and extend Haskell programs which use advanced type system features**(15 p)**

Some features of dependently typed languages like Agda can be simulated in Haskell using GADTs or type families.

```
data Zero
data Suc n
data Vec a n where
  Nil  :: Vec a Zero
  Cons :: a → Vec a n → Vec a (Suc n)
type family Add m n :: *
type instance Add Zero n = n
type instance Add (Suc m) n = Suc (Add m n)
```

(a) Give the signature and implementation of `(++)` for vector concatenation and explain why it type checks. Would it still type check with the alternative definition of type-level addition below? Why/why not? (5 p)

```
type family Add' m n :: *
type instance Add' m Zero = m
type instance Add' m (Suc n) = Suc (Add' m n)
```

(b) Implement a GADT `Fin n` for unary numbers below `n` and a lookup function (5 p)

```
(!) :: Vec a n → Fin n → a
```

(c) Briefly explain the Curry-Howard correspondence for “false”, “true”, “implies”, “and”, “or”. (5 p)

A Library documentation

A.1 Monoids

```
class Monoid a where  
  mempty :: a  
  mappend :: a → a → a
```

Monoid laws (variables are implicitly quantified, and we write 0 for *mempty* and (+) for *mappend*):

```
0 + m == m  
m + 0 == m  
(m1 + m2) + m3 == m1 + (m2 + m3)
```

Example: lists form a monoid:

```
instance Monoid [a] where  
  mempty      = []  
  mappend xs ys = xs ++ ys
```

A.2 Monads and monad transformers

```
class Monad m where  
  return :: a → m a  
  (≫)    :: m a → (a → m b) → m b  
  fail   :: String → m a  
class MonadTrans t where  
  lift :: Monad m ⇒ m a → t m a  
class Monad m ⇒ MonadPlus m where  
  mzero :: m a  
  mplus :: m a → m a → m a
```

Reader monads

```
type ReaderT e m a  
runReaderT :: ReaderT e m a → e → m a  
class Monad m ⇒ MonadReader e m | m → e where  
  -- Get the environment  
  ask :: m e  
  -- Change the environment locally  
  local :: (e → e) → m a → m a
```

Writer monads

```
type WriterT w m a  
runWriterT :: WriterT w m a → m (a, w)  
class (Monad m, Monoid w) ⇒ MonadWriter w m | m → w where  
  -- Output something  
  tell :: w → m ()  
  -- Listen to the outputs of a computation.  
  listen :: m a → m (a, w)
```

State monads

```
type StateT s m a
runStateT :: StateT s m a → s → m (a, s)
class Monad m ⇒ MonadState s m | m → s where
  -- Get the current state
  get :: m s
  -- Set the current state
  put :: s → m ()
```

Error monads

```
type ErrorT e m a
runErrorT :: ErrorT e m a → m (Either e a)
class Monad m ⇒ MonadError e m | m → e where
  -- Throw an error
  throwError :: e → m a
  -- If the first computation throws an error, it is
  -- caught and given to the second argument.
  catchError :: m a → (e → m a) → m a
```

A.3 Some QuickCheck

```
-- Create Testable properties:
  -- Boolean expressions: ( $\wedge$ ), ( $\vee$ ),  $\neg$ , ...
(==>) :: Testable p ⇒ Bool → p → Property
forAll :: (Show a, Testable p) ⇒ Gen a → (a → p) → Property
  -- ... and functions returning Testable properties

-- Run tests:
quickCheck :: Testable prop ⇒ prop → IO ()

-- Measure the test case distribution:
collect :: (Show a, Testable p) ⇒ a → p → Property
label   :: Testable p ⇒ String → p → Property
classify :: Testable p ⇒ Bool → String → p → Property
collect x = label (show x)
label s   = classify True s

-- Create generators:
choose   :: Random a ⇒ (a, a) → Gen a
elements :: [a]           → Gen a
oneof    :: [Gen a]       → Gen a
frequency :: [(Int, Gen a)] → Gen a
sized    :: (Int → Gen a) → Gen a
sequence :: [Gen a]       → Gen [a]
vector   :: Arbitrary a ⇒ Int → Gen [a]
arbitrary :: Arbitrary a ⇒ Gen a
fmap      :: (a → b) → Gen a → Gen b
instance Monad (Gen a) where ...

-- Arbitrary — a class for generators
class Arbitrary a where
  arbitrary :: Gen a
  shrink    :: a → [a]
```