Advanced Functional Programming TDA341/DIT260

Ulf Norell

March 11, 2009

Contact: Ulf Norell, ext 1054.

Aids: Pencil, food and drink.

Grades: 3: 24p, 4: 36p, 5: 48p, max: 60p

G: 24p, VG: 48p

Remember: Write legibly.

Don't write on the back of the paper.

Start each problem on a new sheet of paper. Write your name on each sheet of paper.

Problem 1 (16 p)

Consider the following library for describing strategies for navigating through a maze:

type S -- the type of strategies

```
forward :: S -- Try to take one step forward

left :: S -- Turn left 90 degrees

idle :: S -- Do nothing

ifObstructed :: S -> S -> S -- Use the first strategy if the way

-- forward is blocked, otherwise use

-- the second strategy.

(>>>) :: S -> S -> S -- Perform two strategies in sequence
```

A simple strategy is to move forward until there is an obstruction and then turn left and try to move in that direction instead. Using this library this strategy can be implemented as follows:

```
(a) (3 p)
```

Before looking at how to implement the library, use the functions above to implement the following strategies

```
right :: S -- Turn 90 degrees right
turn180 :: S -- Turn around
backward :: S -- Try to take one step backwards
```

If there are no obstructions, backward >>> forward should have the same behaviour as idle.

Here is a deep embedding of the library.

(b) (5 p)

Give an implemention of (>>>) for the deep embedding.

The next step is to run our strategies in actual mazes. For this purpose the following library has been provided for us. It defines a type of *mazes* and a type of *ants* that can move through the mazes.

Using this library we can implement a run function navigate for our strategies. It takes a maze, an ant, and a strategy and computes where the ant ends up if it uses the given strategy to navigate through the maze.

Note that an ant trying to move through an obstruction will simply remain in its old position.

$$(c) (8 p)$$

Give a shallow implementation of the strategy library using the library for ants and mazes. You should give the definition of the type of strategies S and the implementations of forward, ifObstructed, (>>>), and navigate.

```
Problem 2 (10 p)
```

```
Consider the following definition of a writer monad:

newtype Writer w a = Writer { runWriter :: (a, w) }

(a) (5 p)

Give the definitions for return and >>= in the following monad instance:

instance Monoid w => Monad (Writer w) where

...

See the appendix for an explanation of the Monoid class.

(b) (5 p)

Implement the functions tell and listen from the MonadWriter class.

-- Output something
tell :: w -> Writer w ()

-- Listen to the outputs of the argument.

-- listen m should have the same outputs as m
listen :: Writer w a -> Writer w (a, w)
```

Problem 3 (14 p)

Below is part of the type inference algorithm for an expression language without variables. The ... shows where things have been omitted that are not relevant for the problem.

```
data Expr = LitN Int
          | LitB Bool
          | If Expr Expr Expr
  deriving Show
data Type = TInt | TBool | ...
  deriving (Show, Eq)
newtype TC a = TC { runTC :: Either String a }
  deriving (Monad, MonadError String)
-- Report a type error.
typeError :: String -> TC a
typeError s = throwError s
-- Infer the type of an expression. Fails if the expression
-- is not well-typed.
infer :: Expr -> TC Type
infer (LitN n) = return TInt
infer (LitB b) = return TBool
infer (If a b c) = do
  ta <- infer a
 unless (ta == TBool) $ typeError "bad condition"
  tb <- infer b
  tc <- infer c
  unless (tb == tc) $ typeError "bad branches"
  return tb
  Here are a few example runs of the algorithm:
*Main> runTC $ infer $ If (LitN 3) (LitB True) (LitB False)
Left "bad condition"
*Main> runTC $ infer $ If (LitB False) (LitB True) (LitN 3)
Left "bad branches"
*Main> runTC $ infer $ If (LitB False) (LitN 1) (LitN 3)
Right TInt
```

Now we want to add (immutable) variables to the language by adding two new constructors to the expression type:

We are given the following types and functions to work with variables:

$$(a) (4 p)$$

Change the implementation of the TC monad to allow us to keep track of the current context during type checking. See the appendix for monad transformers that might be helpful. Make sure not to break the existing implementations of typeError and infer when changing the implementation of TC.

Implement the following functions for your improved monad

```
-- Lookup the type of a variable in the context lookupVar :: Name -> TC Type

-- Extend the context locally extendContext :: Name -> Type -> TC a -> TC a
```

(c) (4 p)

Add cases for the constructors Var and Let to the infer function.

Problem 4 (10 p)

Consider the following type of expressions with explicit application

In this language the expression 1+2 is modelled as

```
App (App Plus (Lit 1)) (Lit 2)
```

or using infix notation for App:

The following terms are valid elements of the Expr type but they don't correspond to well-formed expressions: Lit 1 'App' Lit 2 and App Plus Plus.

Define a generalised datatype (GADT) Expr t whose elements correspond only to well-formed expressions of type t. For instance

```
(Plus 'App' Lit 1) 'App' Lit 2 :: Expr Int
App Plus (Lit 1) :: Expr (Int -> Int)
```

Implement an evaluator eval :: Expr t -> t for your expressions.

Problem 5 (10 p)

Using the STM library (see appendix), we can represent a lock as transaction variable containing a value indicating whether the lock is open or not.

```
data LockState = Locked | Unlocked
type Lock = TVar LockState
```

The idea is that if one thread takes the lock (locks it) then any other thread that tries to take the lock has to wait until the first thread unlocks it. This mechanism can be used to ensure that a thread has exclusive access to some shared data.

$$(a) (4 p)$$

Implement the following basic interface:

```
-- Create a new lock which starts out in the unlocked state. 
 {\tt newLock} \, :: \, {\tt STM} \, \, {\tt Lock}
```

```
-- Wait for a lock to become unlocked. Causes
```

-- it to become locked.

lock :: Lock -> STM ()

- -- Causes a locked lock to become unlocked. The behaviour of
- -- unlock in case the lock is already unlocked is not
- -- specified and you can choose to do whatever you want.

unlock :: Lock -> STM ()

Define a function

criticalSection :: Lock -> IO a -> IO a

protecting an IO computation by a lock, making sure that it is not executed until we have managed to take the lock.

$$(c) (4 p)$$

Define a function

lockAny :: [Lock] -> STM Lock

that waits on all of the given locks. As soon as any of them becomes unlocked lockAny should lock that lock and return it (so that we can unlock it once we're done).

Hint: Use orElse.

A Library documentation

A.1 Monoids

```
class Monoid a where
  mempty :: a
  mappend :: a -> a -> a
A monoid should satisfy the laws
               mappend mempty m = m
               \mathsf{mappend}\ m\ \mathsf{mempty}\ =\ m
    mappend (mappend m_1 m_2) m_3 = mappend m_1 (mappend m_2 m_3)
List is a monoid:
instance Monoid [a] where
  mempty
  mappend xs ys = xs ++ ys
       Monads and monad transformers
class Monad m where
 return :: a -> m a
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
class MonadTrans t where
  lift :: Monad m => m a -> t m a
Reader monads
type ReaderT e m a
runReaderT :: ReaderT e m a -> e -> m a
class Monad m => MonadReader e m | m -> e where
  -- Get the environment
  ask
  \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} Change the environment for a given computation
  local :: (e \rightarrow e) \rightarrow m a \rightarrow m a
Writer monads
type WriterT w m a
runWriterT :: WriterT w m a -> m (a, w)
class (Monad m, Monoid w) => MonadWriter w m \mid m -> w where
  -- Output something
 tell :: w -> m ()
  -- Listen to the outputs of a computation.
  listen :: m \ a \rightarrow m \ (a, w)
```

State monads

```
type StateT s m a
runStateT :: StateT s m a -> s -> m (a, s)
class Monad m \Rightarrow MonadState s m | m \Rightarrow s where
  -- Get the current state
 get :: m s
 -- Set the current state
 put :: s -> m ()
Error monads
type ErrorT e m a
runErrorT :: ErrorT e m a -> m (Either e a)
class Monad m => MonadError e m | m -> e where
  -- Throw an error
 throwError :: e \rightarrow m a
  -- If the first computation throws an error, it is
 -- caught and given to the second argument.
  catchError :: m \ a \rightarrow (e \rightarrow m \ a) \rightarrow m \ a
A.3 STM
type STM a
instance Monad STM
-- Run an STM computation. Behaves as if the entire
-- computation is performed in one atomic step. If
-- the computation is aborted (for instance, using retry),
-- it will be reexecuted until it succeeds.
atomically :: STM a -> IO a
-- Abort a computation.
retry :: STM a
-- If the first argument is aborted (using retry), the
-- second argument will be executed. If that one also
-- aborts the entire computation will be aborted.
orElse :: STM a -> STM a -> STM a
-- Transaction variables.
type TVar a
newTVar :: a -> STM (TVar a)
readTVar :: TVar a -> STM a
writeTVar :: TVar a -> a -> STM ()
```