

# Software Engineering using Formal Methods

## Modeling Distributed Systems

Wolfgang Ahrendt

16 September 2014

# This Lecture

*You know you have a distributed system when the crash of a computer you've never heard of stops you from getting any work done. –Leslie Lamport*

Using PROMELA channels for modeling distributed systems

# Modeling Distributed Systems

Distributed systems consist of

- ▶ nodes
- ▶ interacting via communication channels
- ▶ protocols dictate how nodes communicate with each other

# Modeling Distributed Systems

Distributed systems consist of

- ▶ **nodes**
- ▶ interacting via **communication channels**
- ▶ **protocols** dictate how nodes communicate with each other

Distributed systems are very complex

**Models** of distributed systems abstract away from details of networks/protocols/nodes

In PROMELA:

- ▶ **nodes** modeled by **PROMELA processes**
- ▶ **communication channels** modeled by **PROMELA channels**
- ▶ protocols modeled by algorithm distributed over processes

# Channels in PROMELA

In PROMELA, channels are first class citizens

Data type `chan` with two operations for **sending** and **receiving**

A variable of channel type is declared by initializer:

`chan name = [capacity] of {type1, ..., typen}`

<i>name</i>	name of channel variable
<i>capacity</i>	non-negative integer constant
<i>type<sub>i</sub></i>	PROMELA data types

Example:

`chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }`

# Meaning of Channels

`chan name = [capacity] of {type1, ..., typen}`

Creates channel, stored in *name*

# Meaning of Channels

`chan name = [capacity] of {type1, ..., typen}`

Creates channel, stored in *name*

Messages communicated via channel are *n*-tuples  $\in \textcolor{red}{type_1} \times \dots \times \textcolor{red}{type_n}$

# Meaning of Channels

`chan name = [capacity] of {type1, ..., typen}`

Creates channel, stored in *name*

Messages communicated via channel are *n*-tuples  $\in \textit{type}_1 \times \dots \times \textit{type}_n$

Can buffer up to *capacity* messages, if *capacity*  $\geq 1$

$\Rightarrow$  “buffered channel”



# Meaning of Channels

`chan name = [capacity] of {type1, ..., typen}`

Creates channel, stored in *name*

Messages communicated via channel are *n*-tuples  $\in \textit{type}_1 \times \dots \times \textit{type}_n$

Can buffer up to *capacity* messages, if *capacity*  $\geq 1$

$\Rightarrow$  “*buffered channel*”

The channel has *no* buffer if *capacity* = 0

$\Rightarrow$  “*rendezvous channel*”

# Meaning of Channels

Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Creates channel, stored in ch

# Meaning of Channels

Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Creates channel, stored in `ch`

Messages communicated via `ch` are 3-tuples  $\in \text{mtype} \times \text{byte} \times \text{bool}$

# Meaning of Channels

## Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Creates channel, stored in `ch`

Messages communicated via `ch` are 3-tuples  $\in \text{mtype} \times \text{byte} \times \text{bool}$

Given, e.g., `mtype = {red, yellow, green}`,  
an example message on `ch` can be:

# Meaning of Channels

## Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Creates channel, stored in `ch`

Messages communicated via `ch` are 3-tuples  $\in \text{mtype} \times \text{byte} \times \text{bool}$

Given, e.g., `mtype = {red, yellow, green}`,  
an example message on `ch` can be: `green, 20, false`

# Meaning of Channels

## Example:

```
chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

Creates channel, stored in `ch`

Messages communicated via `ch` are 3-tuples  $\in \text{mtype} \times \text{byte} \times \text{bool}$

Given, e.g., `mtype = {red, yellow, green}`,  
an example message on `ch` can be: `green, 20, false`

`ch` is a *buffered channel*, buffering up to 2 messages

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

$name ! expr_1, \dots, expr_n$

- ▶  $name$ : channel variable



# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions,  
where number and types match message type

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions,  
where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions,  
where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: `ch ! green, 20, false`

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: *ch ! green, 20, false*

**receive statement** has the form:

*name ? var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: *ch ! green, 20, false*

**receive statement** has the form:

*name ? var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: *ch ! green, 20, false*

**receive statement** has the form:

*name ? var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of variables, where number and types match message type

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: *ch ! green, 20, false*

**receive statement** has the form:

*name ? var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of variables, where number and types match message type
- ▶ *assigns* values of message to *var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

# Sending and Receiving

**send statement** has the form:

*name ! expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of expressions, where number and types match message type
- ▶ sends *values* of *expr<sub>1</sub>, ... , expr<sub>n</sub>* as *one* message
- ▶ example: *ch ! green, 20, false*

**receive statement** has the form:

*name ? var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*

- ▶ *name*: channel variable
- ▶ *var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*: sequence of variables, where number and types match message type
- ▶ *assigns* values of message to *var<sub>1</sub>, ... , var<sub>n</sub>*
- ▶ example: *ch ? color, time, flash*



# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
```

```
active proctype Client0() {  
    request ! 0  
}
```

```
active proctype Client1() {  
    request ! 1  
}
```

```
...
```

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
```

```
active proctype Client0() {  
  request ! 0  
}
```

```
active proctype Client1() {  
  request ! 1  
}
```

```
...
```

Client0 and Client1 send messages 0 and 1 to request

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
```

```
active proctype Client0() {  
    request ! 0  
}
```

```
active proctype Client1() {  
    request ! 1  
}
```

```
...
```

Client0 and Client1 send messages 0 and 1 to request  
order of sending is nondeterministic

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };  
  
...  
  
active proctype Server() {  
    byte num;  
    do  
        :: request ? num;  
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)  
    od  
}
```

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
```

```
...
```

```
active proctype Server() {  
    byte num;  
    do  
        :: request ? num;  
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)  
    od  
}
```

Server loops on:

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };  
  
...  
  
active proctype Server() {  
    byte num;  
    do  
        :: request ? num;  
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)  
    od  
}
```

Server loops on:

- ▶ receiving first message from request,

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };  
  
...  
  
active proctype Server() {  
    byte num;  
    do  
        :: request ? num;  
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)  
    od  
}
```

Server loops on:

- ▶ receiving first message from request, storing value in num

# Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };  
  
...  
  
active proctype Server() {  
    byte num;  
    do  
        :: request ? num;  
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)  
    od  
}
```

Server loops on:

- ▶ receiving first message from request, storing value in num
- ▶ printing



rendezvous1  
random simulation

# Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

`request ? num`

executable only when another process offers send on channel request

# Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

`request ? num`

executable only when another process offers send on channel request

⇒ receive statement frequently used as guard in `if/do`-statements

# Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

`request ? num`

executable only when another process offers send on channel request

⇒ receive statement frequently used as guard in `if/do`-statements

```
do
  :: request ? num ->
    printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
od
```

# Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

`request ? num`

executable only when another process offers send on channel request

⇒ receive statement frequently used as guard in `if/do`-statements

```
do
  :: request ? num ->
    printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
od
```

("->" equivalent to ";", but highlights guard role of `request ? num`)

rendezvous1  
interactive simulation

# Rendezvous Channels

```
chan ch = [0] of { byte, byte };

/* global to make visible in SpinSpider */
byte hour, minute;

active proctype Sender() {
    printf("ready\n");
    ch ! 11, 45;
    printf("Sent\n")
}

active proctype Receiver() {
    printf("steady\n");
    ch ? hour, minute;
    printf("Received\n")
}
```

# Rendezvous Channels

```
chan ch = [0] of { byte, byte };

/* global to make visible in SpinSpider */
byte hour, minute;

active proctype Sender() {
    printf("ready\n");
    ch ! 11, 45;
    printf("Sent\n")
}

active proctype Receiver() {
    printf("steady\n");
    ch ? hour, minute;
    printf("Received\n")
}
```

Which interleavings can occur?



# Rendezvous Channels

```
chan ch = [0] of { byte, byte };

/* global to make visible in SpinSpider */
byte hour, minute;

active proctype Sender() {
    printf("ready\n");
    ch ! 11, 45;
    printf("Sent\n")
}

active proctype Receiver() {
    printf("steady\n");
    ch ? hour, minute;
    printf("Received\n")
}
```

Which interleavings can occur?  $\Rightarrow$  ask SPINSPIDER

through JSPIN:  
SPINSPIDER on ReadySteady.pml

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

On a rendezvous channel:

transfer of message from sender to receiver is **synchronous**,  
i.e., **one single operation**

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

On a rendezvous channel:

transfer of message from sender to receiver is **synchronous**,  
i.e., **one single operation**

Sender		Receiver
⋮		⋮
(11,45)	→	(hour,minute)
⋮		⋮

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

or the other way round:

1. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

or the other way round:

1. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*



# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

or the other way round:

1. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

in any cases:

location counter of **both** processes is incremented at once

# Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

1. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

or the other way round:

1. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"offer to engage in rendezvous"*
2. Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

in any cases:

location counter of **both** processes is incremented at once

**only place where PROMELA processes execute synchronously**

# Reconsider Client Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };

active proctype Server() {
    byte num;
    do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
    od
}

active proctype Client0() {
    request ! 0
}

active proctype Client1() {
    request ! 1
}
```

# Reconsider Client Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };

active proctype Server() {
    byte num;
    do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
    od
}

active proctype Client0() {
    request ! 0
}

active proctype Client1() {
    request ! 1
}
```

so far **no reply** to clients

# Reply Channels

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
chan reply = [0] of { bool };

active proctype Server() {
    byte num;
    do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving client %d\n", num);
        reply ! true
    od
}

active proctype Client0() {
    request ! 0;    reply ? _
}

active proctype Client1() {
    request ! 1;    reply ? _
}
```

# Reply Channels

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
chan reply = [0] of { bool };

active proctype Server() {
    byte num;
    do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving client %d\n", num);
        reply ! true
    od
}

active proctype Client0() {
    request ! 0;    reply ? _
}

active proctype Client1() {
    request ! 1;    reply ? _
}
```

(anonymous variable “\_” used if interested in receipt, not content)

# Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype };
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };

active proctype Server() {
    mtype msg;
    do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
    od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! nice; reply ? msg;
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

# Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype };
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };

active proctype Server() {
    mtype msg;
    do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
    od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! nice; reply ? msg;
    assert(msg == nice)
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```



# Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };  
chan request = [0] of { mtype };  
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };
```

```
active proctype Server() {  
    mtype msg;  
    do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg  
    od  
}
```

```
active proctype NiceClient() {  
    mtype msg;  
    request ! nice; reply ? msg;  
    assert(msg == nice)  
}
```

*Is the assertion valid?*

```
active proctype RudeClient() {  
    mtype msg;  
    request ! rude; reply ? msg  
}
```

# Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype };
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };

active proctype Server() {
    mtype msg;
    do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
    od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! nice; reply ? msg;
    assert(msg == nice)
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
    mtype msg;
    request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

*Is the assertion valid? Ask SPIN.*

# Several Servers

More realistic with several servers:

```
active [2] proctype Server() {  
    mtype msg;  
    do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg  
    od  
}  
  
active proctype NiceClient() {  
    mtype msg;  
    request ! nice; reply ? msg;  
  
}  
  
active proctype RudeClient() {  
    mtype msg;  
    request ! rude; reply ? msg  
}
```

# Several Servers

More realistic with several servers:

```
active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg;
  do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

# Several Servers

More realistic with several servers:

```
active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg;
  do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

*And here?*

# Several Servers

More realistic with several servers:

```
active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg;
  do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

*And here? Analyse with SPIN.*

# Sending Channels via Channels

To fix the protocol:

# Sending Channels via Channels

To fix the protocol:

clients declare local reply channel + send it to server



# Sending Channels via Channels

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype, chan };

active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg; chan ch;
  do :: request ? msg, ch;
    ch ! msg
  od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! nice, reply; reply ? msg;
  assert( msg == nice )
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! rude, reply; reply ? msg
}
```

# Sending Channels via Channels

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype, chan };

active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg; chan ch;
  do :: request ? msg, ch;
    ch ! msg
  od
}

active proctype NiceClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! nice, reply; reply ? msg;
  assert( msg == nice )
}

active proctype RudeClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! rude, reply; reply ? msg
}
```

verify with SPIN

# Scope of Channels

channels are typically declared global

## global channel

- ▶ usual case
- ▶ all processes can send and/or receive messages

## local channel

- ▶ rarely used
- ▶ dies with its process
- ▶ can be useful to model security issues

example:

local channel could be passed  
through a global channel

# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- ▶ inflexible
- ▶ doesn't scale

# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- ▶ inflexible
- ▶ doesn't scale

Alternative:

processes send their own, unique **process ID**, **\_pid**, as part of message

# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- ▶ inflexible
- ▶ doesn't scale

Alternative:

processes send their own, unique **process ID**, **\_pid**, as part of message

experiment with rendezvous3.pml

# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- ▶ inflexible
- ▶ doesn't scale

Alternative:

processes send their own, unique **process ID**, **\_pid**, as part of message

experiment with rendezvous3.pml

example, clients code:

```
chan reply = [0] of { byte, byte };  
request ! _pid, reply;  
reply ? serverID, clientID;
```



# Sending Process IDs

used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- ▶ inflexible
- ▶ doesn't scale

Alternative:

processes send their own, unique **process ID**, **\_pid**, as part of message

experiment with rendezvous3.pml

example, clients code:

```
chan reply = [0] of { byte, byte };  
request ! _pid, reply;  
reply ? serverID, clientID;  
  
assert( clientID == _pid )
```

# Limitations of Rendezvous Channels

- ▶ rendezvous too restrictive for many applications
- ▶ servers and clients block each other too much
- ▶ difficult to manage uneven workload  
(online shop: dozens of webserver serve thousands of clients)

# Buffered Channel

buffered channels queue messages;  
requests/services no not immediately block clients/servers

example:

```
chan ch = [3] of { mtype, byte, bool }
```

# Buffered Channels

buffered channels, with capacity *cap*

- ▶ can hold up to *cap* messages

# Buffered Channels

buffered channels, with capacity *cap*

- ▶ can hold up to *cap* messages
- ▶ are a FIFO (first-in-first-out) data structure:  
always the 'oldest' message in channel is retrieved by a receive

# Buffered Channels

buffered channels, with capacity *cap*

- ▶ can hold up to *cap* messages
- ▶ are a FIFO (first-in-first-out) data structure:  
always the 'oldest' message in channel is retrieved by a receive
- ▶ (normal) receive statement reads **and** removes message

# Buffered Channels

buffered channels, with capacity *cap*

- ▶ can hold up to *cap* messages
- ▶ are a FIFO (first-in-first-out) data structure:  
always the 'oldest' message in channel is retrieved by a receive
- ▶ (normal) receive statement reads **and** removes message
- ▶ Sending and Receiving to/from buffered channels is asynchronous,  
i.e. interleaved

# Executability of Buffered Channel operations

given channel  $ch$ , with capacity  $cap$ , currently containing  $n$  messages

**receive statement**  $ch \ ? \ msg$

is executable iff  $ch$  is not empty, i.e.,  $n > 0$

**send statement**  $ch \ ! \ msg$

is executable iff there is still 'space' in the message queue,  
i.e.,  $n < cap$

An non-executable receive or send statement will **block** until it is executable again



# Executability of Buffered Channel operations

given channel  $ch$ , with capacity  $cap$ , currently containing  $n$  messages

**receive statement**  $ch ? msg$

is executable iff  $ch$  is not empty, i.e.,  $n > 0$

**send statement**  $ch ! msg$

is executable iff there is still 'space' in the message queue,  
i.e.,  $n < cap$

An non-executable receive or send statement will **block** until it is executable again

(With option `-m`, SPIN has a different send semantics: attempt to send to a full channel does not block, but the message gets lost.)

# Checking Channel for Full/Empty

this can save from unnecessary blocking:

given channel `ch`:

`full(ch)` checks whether `ch` is full

`nfull(ch)` checks whether `ch` is not full

`empty(ch)` checks whether `ch` is empty

`nempty(ch)` checks whether `ch` is not empty

illegal to negate those

avoid combining with `else`

# Copy Message without Removing

Assume `ch` to be a buffered channel.

`ch ? color, time, flash`

- ▶ assigns values from the message to `color`, `time`, `flash`
- ▶ removes message from `ch`

# Copy Message without Removing

Assume `ch` to be a buffered channel.

```
ch ? color, time, flash
```

- ▶ assigns values from the message to `color`, `time`, `flash`
- ▶ removes message from `ch`

```
ch ? <color, time, flash>
```

- ▶ assign values from the message to `color`, `time`, `flash`
- ▶ leaves message in `ch`

# Dispatching Messages

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message

# Dispatching Messages

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message

```
mtype = {hi, bye};  
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};  
  
active proctype Server () {  
    mtype msg;  
read:  
    ch ? msg;  
do  
    :: msg == hi -> printf("Hello.\n"); goto read  
    :: msg == bye -> printf("See you.\n"); break  
od  
}  
...
```

# Dispatching Messages

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message

```
mtype = {hi, bye};  
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};  
  
active proctype Server () {  
    mtype msg;  
read:  
    ch ? msg;  
do  
    :: msg == hi -> printf("Hello.\n"); goto read  
    :: msg == bye -> printf("See you.\n"); break  
od  
}  
...
```

There is a better way!

# Pattern Matching

Receive statement allows also values as arguments:

$$ch \ ? \ exp_1, \dots, exp_n$$

- ▶  $exp_1, \dots, exp_n$  any(!) expressions of correct type
- ▶ statement is **executable**, iff message  $msg_1, \dots, msg_n$  in channel  $ch$  **matches** arguments, i.e. if
  - ▶  $exp_i$  is a variable, then any value of  $msg_i$  (of correct type) matches and is assigned if statement is executed



# Pattern Matching

Receive statement allows also values as arguments:

$$ch \ ? \ exp_1, \dots, exp_n$$

- ▶  $exp_1, \dots, exp_n$  any(!) expressions of correct type
- ▶ statement is **executable**, iff message  $msg_1, \dots, msg_n$  in channel  $ch$  **matches** arguments, i.e. if
  - ▶  $exp_i$  is a variable, then any value of  $msg_i$  (of correct type) matches and is assigned if statement is executed
  - ▶  $exp_i$  is a value, e.g. 23,  $msg_i$  must have same value

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

► `[0, 5] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

► `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

► `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

► `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

► `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗



# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✗

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✗      `[1, 7] ?`

# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✗      `[1, 7] ?` ✗



# Pattern Matching Examples

Assume

```
chan ch = [0] of {int, int};  
int id = 5;
```

Does `ch ? 0, id` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✓      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

To match the **value** stored in a variable *var* use `eval(var)`

Does `ch ? 0, eval(id)` match message

- ▶ `[0, 5] ?` ✓      `[0, 7] ?` ✗      `[1, 7] ?` ✗
- ▶ Value of `id` afterwards?

# Dispatching Messages Revisited

Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message type.

```
mtype = {hi, bye};  
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};  
  
active proctype Server () {  
    int i;  
    do  
        :: ch ? hi    -> printf("Hello.\n")  
        :: ch ? bye -> printf("See you.\n"); break  
    od  
}  
...
```

# Dispatching Messages Revisited

Random receive ?? (for buffered channels)

- ▶ Executable if matching message exists in channel.
- ▶ If executed, **first** matching message removed from channel.

# Dispatching Messages Revisited

Random receive ?? (for buffered channels)

- ▶ Executable if matching message exists in channel.
- ▶ If executed, **first** matching message removed from channel.

```
mtype = {hi, bye};  
chan ch = [3] of {mtype};  
  
active proctype Server () {  
    int i;  
    do  
        :: ch ?? bye -> printf("See you.\n"); break  
        :: else      -> printf("Hello.\n")  
    od  
}  
...
```

# Nicer Message Formatting

PROMELA provides an alternative, but equivalent syntax for

```
ch ! exp1, exp2, exp3
```

# Nicer Message Formatting

PROMELA provides an alternative, but equivalent syntax for

```
ch ! exp1, exp2, exp3
```

namely

```
ch ! exp1(exp2, exp3)
```

Increases readability for certain applications, e.g. protocol modelling:

```
ch!send(msg,id)   vs.   ch!send,msg,id  
ch!ack(id)        vs.   ch!ack,id
```

# And finally

Buffered channels are part of the state!

State space gets much bigger using buffered channels

Use with care (and with small buffers).