



Research Fraud and Deceit

Christian Munthe
Filosofi, lingvistik & vetenskapsteori

flov.gu.se



Types of fraud and deceit

FABRICATION: Lying about things *having been* done that have not been done

- Data
- Protocols
- Analytical procedure
- Results
- Ethical review, consultation, etc.

EXCLUSION: Lying about things *not* having been done that have been done

- Data
- Protocols
- Analytical procedure
- Results



Types of fraud and deceit, cont.

OPACITY: Hiding relevant facts from peer review

- Selection criteria
- Laboratory and experimental protocols
- Assessment and evaluation criteria
- Data repositories
- Conflicts of interest (affiliatory, economic, political....)

PLAGIARISM: Claiming credit to work when undeserved

- Data theft
- Protocol theft
- Analysis theft
- Theft of results
- Publication theft
- Undeserved authorship (giving or receiving)



What is bad about fraud and deceit?

- Lying and deceitfulness is *generally* a bad thing
- Acting directly in opposition to the ultimate objectives and values of science
- Misguiding the direction of science
- Risking that bad procedures are adopted in medical practice
- Risking the careers and reputations of colleagues
- Risking one's own career and personal well-being
- Risking the well-being of one's close ones
- Risking the reputation of one's university, research field, etc.
- Discrediting science in the public eye
- Undermining the building blocks of a modern and well-functioning society
- Having one's publications retracted (\approx invalidated as science)
- Punishment by law: jail-time, compensation



Famous cases

- Joachim Boldt (anaesthesiology): over 100 papers retracted, stripped of academic title and fired, further police investigation re. Embezzlement
- Didrik Stapel (social psychology): at least 30 falsified and retracted articles, criminal conviction to community service and fired from university and stripped of title
- Marc Hauser (evolutionary psychology): retractions and fired from Harvard
- Woo-Suk Hwang (stem cell research): prison time
- Scott S. Reuben (anaesthesiology): over 20 retracted papers
- Milena Penkowa (neurology): retracted papers, fired, prison time + further police investigation of embezzlement of funds

COMPUTER SCIENCE

- Mahesh Visvanathan and Gerald Lushington (computer science): major portion of research plagiarised, several retracted articles
- Javad Sababeh and Karim Mohammadi (computer science): plagiarism and retraction of papers

Note: In the age of big data research, computer scientists will be all over the place!



How Should Scientific Fraud and Deceit Cases be Handled?

- Universities and research agencies know the territory
- Peer review is an embedded tradition to build on
- Cases can be hidden from the public before decisions are made
- Conflicts of interest risk is obvious
- Legal security: informal punishment, appellation opportunity, etc. Better with agencies and courts?
- Many cases involve ordinary criminality: misuse or embezzlement of funds, libel, perjury, harm.... – Criminal law more apt, but weak tradition

