

Explicit Substitutions for Contextual Type Theory

Andreas Abel¹ Brigitte Pientka²

¹Department of Computer Science
Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany

²School of Computer Science
McGill University, Montreal, Canada

Logical Frameworks and Metalanguages: Theory and Practice 2010
Satellite Workshop at FLoC 2010, Edinburgh, UK
15 July 2010

Outline

- 1 What is Contextual Type Theory?
- 2 Typing with Explicit Substitutions
- 3 Computation and Equality
- 4 Type Checking
- 5 Conclusions

Theory of Metavariables

- Metavariables are part of every implementation of type theory.
- Have been a “neglected child” by theoreticians.
- One remedy: Nanevski, Pfenning, and Pientka’s *Contextual Modal Type Theory*
- This work: explicit substitutions. Why?
 - ① Efficient implementation.
 - ② Initial model.
 - ③ Basis for formalization.

Metavariables as Contextual Objects

- Agda, Beluga, Coq, Delphin, Twelf use meta-variables in context.

$$\text{map} : \{\text{A } \text{B} : \text{Set}\} \{\text{n} : \text{N}\} \rightarrow (\text{A} \rightarrow \text{B}) \rightarrow \text{Vec A n} \rightarrow \text{Vec B n}$$

$$\text{sq} : \{\text{n} : \text{Nat}\} \rightarrow \text{Vec Nat n} \rightarrow \text{Vec}(\text{Vec Nat n}) \text{ n}$$

$$\text{sq v} = \text{map}(\lambda k \rightarrow \text{map}(\lambda l \rightarrow k * l) v) v$$

$$\text{sq } \{\text{n}\} v = \text{map } ?\text{A } ?\text{B } ?\text{n } (\lambda k \rightarrow \text{map } ?\text{A}' ?\text{B}' ?\text{n}' \dots$$

- Type reconstruction instantiates meta-variables.

$$n : \text{Nat}, v : \text{Vec Nat n} \quad \vdash ?n : \text{Nat}$$

$$n : \text{Nat}, v : \text{Vec Nat n}, k : \text{Nat} \quad \vdash ?n' : \text{Nat}$$

- Instantiation $?n := k$ would violate scope, $?n := \text{suc}(v)$ typing.
- Store metavariables with their typing in meta-context:

$$?n : (n : \text{Nat}, v : \dots \triangleright \text{Nat}), ?n' : (n : \text{Nat}, v : \dots, k : \text{Nat} \triangleright \text{Nat})$$

Beluga: HOAS and Recursion

- Higher-order abstract syntax (HOAS) in the logical framework (LF)

`abs : (tm → tm) → tm`

`app : tm → (tm → tm)`

`two = abs(λf. abs(λx. app f (app f x)))`

- Embedded into a programming language as contextual type $\Gamma \triangleright \text{tm}$.

`reduce : (\Gamma \triangleright \text{tm}) \rightarrow (\Gamma \triangleright \text{tm})`

`reduce (\Gamma \triangleright \text{app}(\text{abs } T) S) = \Gamma \triangleright TS`

`reduce (\Gamma \triangleright \text{abs } T) = \Gamma \triangleright \text{abs } T'`

`where $\Gamma, x:\text{tm} \triangleright T' x = \text{reduce } (\Gamma, x:\text{tm} \triangleright Tx)$`

`reduce (\Gamma \triangleright \text{app } R S) = \Gamma \triangleright \text{app } R' S`

`where $\Gamma \triangleright R' = \text{reduce } (\Gamma \triangleright R)$`

`reduce (\Gamma \triangleright R) = \Gamma \triangleright R`

- Contextual/Meta-variables $T : \Gamma \triangleright \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{tm}, R, R', S : \Gamma \triangleright \text{tm}$.

Beluga Typing Judgements

- Contexts:

Γ	LF-variables	$x : A$
Δ	Meta-variables	$X : \Gamma \triangleright A$
Φ	Program variables	$x : \tau$

- Typing judgements:

$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{LF}} t : A$	LF objects
$\Delta \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} e : \tau$	Beluga programs

Beluga Typing Rules

- Introducing contextual objects:

$$\frac{}{\Delta, X:(\Gamma \triangleright A); \Gamma \vdash_{\text{LF}} X : A} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{LF}} t : A}{\Delta \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} \Gamma \triangleright t : \Gamma \triangleright A}$$

- Metavariable abstraction in programs:

$$\frac{\Delta, X:(\Gamma \triangleright A) \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} e : \tau}{\Delta \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} \lambda X.e : \Pi^{\Box} X:(\Gamma \triangleright A). \tau}$$

- Application introduces a meta-substitution:

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} f : \Pi^{\Box} X:(\Gamma \triangleright A). \tau \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{LF}} t : A}{\Delta \mid \Phi \vdash_{\text{BEL}} f t : \llbracket t/X \rrbracket \tau}$$

Contribution of This Work

- Only consider LF-level $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{LF}} M : A$.
- Give typing and equality rules in term of explicit substitutions $[\sigma]M$ and explicit meta-substitutions $[\theta]M$.
- Clarify interaction of $[\sigma]M$ and $[\theta]M$.
- Formulate lazy weak head evaluation strategy which propagates both substitutions on demand.
- Formulate algorithms for type and equality checking.

Typing with Explicit Substitutions

- Variables are represented as de Bruijn indices x_1, x_2, \dots

$$\frac{|\Gamma'| = n}{\Delta; \Gamma, A, \Gamma' \vdash x_{n+1} : [\uparrow^{n+1}]A} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma, A \vdash M : B}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda M : \Pi A. B}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M : \Pi A. B \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash MN : [\uparrow^0, N]B} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma' \quad \Delta; \Gamma' \vdash M : A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash [\sigma]M : [\sigma]A}$$

- Explicit substitution $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma'$ maps a valuation of Γ to one of Γ' .

$$\frac{|\Gamma'| = n}{\Delta; \Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \uparrow^n : \Gamma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma_1 \vdash \sigma : \Gamma_2 \quad \Delta; \Gamma_2 \vdash \sigma' : \Gamma_3}{\Delta; \Gamma_1 \vdash [\sigma]\sigma' : \Gamma_3}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma' \quad \Delta; \Gamma' \vdash A \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash M : [\sigma]A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash (\sigma, M) : (\Gamma', A)}$$

Rules for the Meta Level

- A shift of perspective . . .

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M : A \longrightarrow \Delta \vdash M : (\Gamma \triangleright A)$$

- Deriving the rules for the meta level.

$$\frac{|\Delta'| = n}{\Delta, \Gamma \triangleright A, \Delta' \vdash X_{n+1} : [\![\uparrow^{n+1}]\](\Gamma \triangleright A)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \theta : \Delta' \quad \Delta' \vdash M : \Gamma \triangleright A}{\Delta \vdash [\![\theta]\!]M : [\![\theta]\](\Gamma \triangleright A)}$$

- Meta-substitution $\Delta \vdash \theta : \Delta'$.

$$\frac{|\Delta'| = n \quad \Delta_1 \vdash \theta : \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_2 \vdash \theta' : \Delta_3}{\Delta, \Delta' \vdash \uparrow^n : \Delta \quad \Delta_1 \vdash [\![\theta]\!]\theta' : \Delta_3}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \theta : \Delta' \quad \Delta' \vdash \Gamma \triangleright A \quad \Delta \vdash M : [\![\theta]\](\Gamma \triangleright A)}{\Delta \vdash (\theta, M) : \Delta', \Gamma \triangleright A}$$

Interaction of Substitutions

- Viewing $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma'$ as $\Delta \vdash \sigma : (\Gamma \triangleright \Gamma')$:

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \theta : \Delta' \quad \Delta' \vdash \sigma : (\Gamma \triangleright \Gamma')}{\Delta \vdash [\![\theta]\!] \sigma : [\![\theta]\!](\Gamma \triangleright \Gamma')}$$

- However, $[\sigma]\theta$ is not definable.
- Reductions:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} [\![\theta]\!][\sigma]M & \longrightarrow & [\![\theta]\!]\sigma [\![\theta]\!]M \\ [\sigma][\!\theta\!]]M & \not\longrightarrow & \dots \quad (\text{unless } [\![\theta]\!]M \longrightarrow) \end{array}$$

- Hence, our closures are of form $[\sigma][\!\theta\!]]M$.

Computing Substitutions

- Variable lookup.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\sigma, M]x_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & [\sigma]x_m \\ [\sigma, M]x_1 & \longrightarrow & M \\ [\uparrow^n]x_m & \longrightarrow & x_{n+m} \end{array}$$

- Propagation into term.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\sigma]X_m & \not\longrightarrow & \\ [\sigma](M N) & \longrightarrow & ([\sigma]M)([\sigma]N) \\ [\sigma]\lambda M & \longrightarrow & \lambda[[\uparrow^1]\sigma, x_1]M \end{array}$$

- Propagation into substitution.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\sigma](\sigma', M) & \longrightarrow & ([\sigma]\sigma', [\sigma]M) \\ [\sigma][\sigma_1]\sigma_2 & \longrightarrow & [[\sigma]\sigma_1]\sigma_2 \\ \text{etc.} & & \end{array}$$

Computing Meta-Substitutions

- Meta-variable lookup.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \llbracket \theta, M \rrbracket X_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & \llbracket \theta \rrbracket X_m \\ \llbracket \theta, M \rrbracket X_1 & \longrightarrow & M \\ \llbracket \uparrow^n \rrbracket X_m & \longrightarrow & X_{n+m} \end{array}$$

- Propagation into term.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \llbracket \theta \rrbracket x_m & \longrightarrow & x_m \\ \llbracket \theta \rrbracket (M N) & \longrightarrow & (\llbracket \theta \rrbracket M) (\llbracket \theta \rrbracket N) \\ \llbracket \theta \rrbracket \lambda M & \longrightarrow & \lambda \llbracket \theta \rrbracket M \end{array}$$

- Propagation into substitution.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \llbracket \theta \rrbracket (\sigma, M) & \longrightarrow & (\llbracket \theta \rrbracket \sigma, \llbracket \theta \rrbracket M) \\ \llbracket \theta \rrbracket [\sigma_1] \sigma_2 & \longrightarrow & [\llbracket \theta \rrbracket \sigma_1] \llbracket \theta \rrbracket \sigma_2 \\ \llbracket \theta \rrbracket \uparrow^n & \longrightarrow & \uparrow^n \quad \dots \end{array}$$

Weak Head Reduction

- Do not propagate substitutions under λ , wait for application.

$$([\sigma][\theta]\lambda M) N \longrightarrow [\sigma, N][\theta]M$$

- Choice: combine substitutions eagerly \implies environments.

CBN-Whnfs	$W ::= [\rho][\eta]\lambda M \mid x_n \vec{L} \mid ([\rho]X_n) \vec{L}$
Closures	$L ::= [\rho][\eta]M \mid x_n$
Environments	$\rho ::= \uparrow^n \mid (\rho, L) \mid [\uparrow^n]\rho$
Meta-environments	$\eta ::= \uparrow^n \mid (\eta, M)$

Algorithmic Equality

- Syntax-directed relation $W \xrightarrow{w} W'$ to check $\beta\eta$ -equality.
- Follows idea of Coquand (1991) to η -expand lazily.

$$\frac{(\text{whnf } [\rho'] \llbracket \eta \rrbracket M) \xrightarrow{w} x_{n+1} \vec{L}' x_1}{[\rho] \llbracket \eta \rrbracket \lambda M \xrightarrow{w} x_n \vec{L}} \quad \rho' = ([\uparrow^1] \rho, x_1), \quad L'_i = [\uparrow^1] L_i$$

- Soundness (*if algorithm says yes, then objects are really $\beta\eta$ -equal*) is easy induction.
- Completeness (*if two $\beta\eta$ -equal objects are given to algorithm, it says yes*) by PER model (future work, following Abel Coquand 2007).

Type Checking Normal Forms, Bidirectional

- Inference $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow L$.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, L \vdash x_1 \Rightarrow [\uparrow^1]L}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow L} \quad \frac{\Delta, \Gamma' \triangleright A; \Gamma \vdash \sigma \Leftarrow [\uparrow^1]\Gamma'}{\Delta, \Gamma' \triangleright A; \Gamma \vdash [\sigma]X_1 \Rightarrow [\sigma][\uparrow^1]A}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow L \quad \text{whnf } L = [\rho][\theta]\Pi A.B \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash N \Leftarrow [\rho][\theta]A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash MN \Rightarrow [\rho, N][\theta]B}$$

- Checking $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Leftarrow L$.

$$\frac{\text{whnf } L = [\rho][\eta](\Pi A.B) \quad \Delta; \Gamma, [\rho][\eta]A \vdash M \Leftarrow [\uparrow^1\rho, x_1][\eta]B}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda M \Leftarrow L}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow L \quad \text{whnf } L \stackrel{w}{\approx} \text{whnf } L'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash M \Leftarrow L'}$$

Conclusions

- Basis for this work:
 - ① Nanevski, Pfenning, Pientka (2008): *Contextual Modal Type Theory*
Merging declarative and algorithmic presentation by hereditary substitutions.
 - ② Abel, Coquand (2007): *Untyped Algorithmic Equality for the Martin-Löf's Logical Framework with Surjective Pairing*
Declarative typing and equality separate from algorithms, connected by a PER model.
 - ③ Explicit substitutions, e.g., *Abadi, Cardelli, Curien, Levy (1991)* or *Dowek, Hardin, Kirchner (2000)*.
- Future work:
 - ① Finish normalization proof.
 - ② Extend to full Beluga.
 - ③ Investigate most efficient normalization strategies.