

Termination and Guardedness Checking with Continuous Types

*Towards a Higher-Order Polymorphic Lambda-Calculus
With Sized Types*

Andreas Abel

Slide 1

Graduiertenkolleg Logik in der Informatik

Munich, Germany

May 30, 2003

— *Work in progress* —

Work supported by: GKLI (DFG), TYPES and APPSEM-II

Setting the stage...

Slide 2

- Curry-Howard-Isomorphism:
proofs by induction = programs with recursion
- Only *terminating* programs constitute valid proofs.
- Design issue: How to integrate terminating recursion into
proof/programming language?

One approach: special forms of recursion

- Tame recursion by restricting to special patterns.
- Iteration/catamorphisms
 - e.g. Haskell's `List.fold`
- Primitive recursion/paramorphisms
- Problems:

Slide 3

- Non-trivial operational semantics makes it harder to understand programs.
- I do not want to write all of my list-processing functions using `fold`.

Another approach: recursion with termination checking

- Use *general recursion*: `letrec`.
- Has “intuitive” meaning through simple operational semantics.
- In general not normalizing, need termination checking.
- Here we used the *sized types* approach [Hughes et al. 1996]
[Barthe et al. 2003?].

Slide 4

- View data as trees.
- *Size* = height = # constructors in longest path of tree.
- Height of input data must decrease in each recursive call.
- Termination is ensured by type-checker.

Sized types in a nutshell

- Sizes are *upper bounds*.
 - List^a denotes lists of length $< a$.
 - List^∞ denotes list of arbitrary (but finite) length.
 - Sizes induce *subtyping*: $\text{List}^a \leq \text{List}^b$ if $a \leq b$.
- Slide 5**
- In general, sizes are *ordinal numbers*, needed e.g. for infinitely branching trees.
 - Size expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} a ::= & i && \text{variable} \\ | & a + 1 && \text{successor} \\ | & \infty && \text{ultimate limit, denoting } \Omega \text{ (first uncountable)} \end{aligned}$$

Example: list splitting

$$\begin{aligned} \text{split} : & \forall A : *. \text{List } A \rightarrow \text{List } A \times \text{List } A \\ \text{split } [] & = \langle [], [] \rangle \\ \text{split } (x :: k) & = \text{case } k \text{ of} \\ & \quad [] \rightarrow \langle (x :: k), [] \rangle \\ \text{Slide 6} & \quad | (y :: l) \rightarrow \text{let } \langle xs, ys \rangle = \text{split } l \text{ in} \\ & \quad \quad \langle (x :: xs), (y :: ys) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Sized types allow us to express that `split` denotes a non-size increasing function.

Example: list splitting

split : $\forall i:\text{ord}. \forall A:*. \text{List}^i A \rightarrow \text{List } A \times \text{List } A$

split [] = ⟨[], [] ⟩

split (x :: kⁱ⁺¹) = case kⁱ⁺¹ of

[] → ⟨(x :: k), [] ⟩

| (y :: lⁱ) → let ⟨xs, ys⟩ = split lⁱ in

⟨(x :: xs), (y :: ys) ⟩

Slide 7

- To compute split at stage $i + 1$, split is only used at stage i .
- Hence, split is terminating.

Example: list splitting

split : $\forall i:\text{ord}. \forall A:*. \text{List}^i A \rightarrow \text{List}^i A \times \text{List}^i A$

split []ⁱ⁺¹ = ⟨[], []ⁱ⁺¹⟩

split (x :: kⁱ⁺¹) = case kⁱ⁺¹ of

[]ⁱ⁺¹ → ⟨(x :: k)ⁱ⁺¹, []ⁱ⁺¹⟩

| (y :: lⁱ) → let ⟨xsⁱ, ysⁱ⟩ = split lⁱ in

⟨(x :: xs)ⁱ⁺¹, (y :: ys)ⁱ⁺¹⟩

Slide 8

- We additionally can infer that split is non-size increasing.
- Using split, we can define merge sort...

Example: merge sort

```
merge:      List Int →      List Int → List Int
msort :      List Int → List Int
msort []     = []
msort (x :: k) = case k      of
Slide 9          []      → x :: []
                  | (y :: l) → let (xs , ys )=split l  in
                                merge (msort (x :: xs)      )
                                (msort (y :: ys)      )
```

Example: merge sort

```
merge:  $\forall i:\text{ord}.$  Listi Int →  $\forall j:\text{ord}.$  Listj Int → List $^\infty$  Int
msort :  $\forall i:\text{ord}.$  Listi Int → List $^\infty$  Int
msort []i+1 = []
msort (x :: ki) = case kj+1=i of
Slide 10          []      → x :: []
                  | (y :: lj) → let (xsj, ysj)=split lj in
                                merge (msort (x :: xs)j+1=i)
                                (msort (y :: ys)j+1=i)
```

Lambda-calculus with subtyping

- Types

$$A, B, C ::= 1 \mid A \times B \mid A + B \mid A \rightarrow B$$

- Terms

$$r, s, t ::= \langle \rangle \mid \langle s, t \rangle \mid \text{fst } r \mid \text{snd } r$$

Slide 11

$$\begin{aligned} & \mid \text{inl } t \mid \text{inr } t \mid \text{case } r \text{ of inl } x \Rightarrow s \mid \text{inr } y \Rightarrow t \\ & \mid x \mid \lambda x. t \mid r s \mid \text{let } x = r \text{ in } t \end{aligned}$$

- Subtyping

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{1 \leq 1} \quad \frac{A_1 \leq A_2 \quad B_1 \leq B_2}{A_1 \times B_1 \leq A_2 \times B_2} \quad \frac{A_1 \leq A_2 \quad B_1 \leq B_2}{A_1 + B_1 \leq A_2 + B_2} \\ \frac{A_2 \leq A_1 \quad B_1 \leq B_2}{A_1 \rightarrow B_1 \leq A_2 \rightarrow B_2} \end{array}$$

Polymorphism

- Types

$$A, B, C ::= \dots \mid X \mid \forall X. A$$

- Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X. A}{\Gamma \vdash t : [B/X]A}$$

Slide 12

- Subtyping

$$\frac{}{X \leq X} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash [C/X]A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall X. A) \leq B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X \vdash A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash A \leq \forall X. B}$$

Size polymorphism

- Sizes

$$a, b, c ::= i \mid a + 1 \mid \infty$$

- Ordering

$$\frac{}{i \leq i} \quad \frac{a \leq b}{a + 1 \leq b + 1} \quad \frac{a \leq b}{a \leq b + 1} \quad \frac{}{\infty \leq \infty}$$

Slide 13

- Types

$$A, B, C ::= \dots \mid \forall i. A$$

- Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma, i \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall i. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall i. A}{\Gamma \vdash t : [a/i]A}$$

- Subtyping

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash [a/i]A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall i. A) \leq B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, i \vdash A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash A \leq \forall i. B}$$

Inductive types

- Types and terms

$$\begin{aligned} A, B, C &::= \dots \mid \mu^a X. A && \text{where } X \text{ only pos in } A \\ r, s, t &::= \dots \mid \text{int } t \mid \text{out } t \end{aligned}$$

- Typing

Slide 14

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\mu^a X. A/X]A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{int } t : \mu^{a+1} X. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \mu^{a+1} X. A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out } r : [\mu^a X. A/X]A}$$

- Subtyping

$$\frac{a \leq b \text{ or } b = \infty \quad \Gamma, X \vdash A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash \mu^a X. A \leq \mu^b X. B}$$

- Admissible typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\mu^\infty X. A/X]A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{int } t : \mu^\infty X. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \mu^\infty X. A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out } r : [\mu^\infty X. A/X]A}$$

Inductive types – example

Slide 15

	$\text{List}^i(A) := \mu^i X. 1 + A \times X$
	$\text{nil} : \forall A \forall i. \text{List}^i A$
	$:= \text{in}(\text{inl} \langle \rangle)$
	$\text{cons} : \forall A. A \rightarrow \forall i. \text{List}^i(A) \rightarrow \text{List}^{i+1}(A)$
	$:= \lambda a \lambda as. \text{in}(\text{inr} \langle a, as \rangle)$
	$\text{head} : \forall A \forall i. \text{List}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow (1 + A)$
	$\text{head} := \lambda l. \text{case}(\text{out } l) \text{ of } \text{inl }_+ \Rightarrow \text{inl} \langle \rangle \mid \text{inr } p \Rightarrow \text{inr}(\text{fst } p)$

Could we also type $\text{head} : \forall A \forall i. \text{List}^i(A) \rightarrow (1 + A)$?

Case distinction for inductive types

- Case distinction on ordinal i :

$$\frac{\Gamma, i, \Gamma' \vdash r : \mu^i X. A \quad \Gamma, j, x : \mu^{j+1} X. A \vdash t : C(j+1)}{\Gamma, i, \Gamma' \vdash \text{let } x = r \text{ in } t : C(i)}$$

where i only pos in $C(i)$.

- Better typing for head :

Slide 16

	$\text{head} : \forall A \forall i. \text{List}^i(A) \rightarrow (1 + A)$
	$\text{head} := \lambda l. \text{let } x^{j+1} = l^i \text{ in}$
	$\text{case}(\text{out } x) \text{ of } \text{inl }_- \Rightarrow \text{inl} \langle \rangle \mid \text{inr } p \Rightarrow \text{inr}(\text{fst } p)$

- Case distinction on ordinal could be integrated into case construct.

Infinite structures

- On infinite objects like streams, we are interested in the *definedness* rather than the size.
- $s : \text{Stream}^a(A)$ means s is defined upto depth a .
- Objects which are defined upto depth ∞ are called *productive*.
- Subtyping for streams:

Slide 17

$$\text{Stream}^\infty(A) \leq \dots \text{Stream}^{i+1}(A) \leq \text{Stream}^i(A)$$

Coinductive types

- Types

$$A, B, C ::= \dots \mid \nu^a X. A \quad \text{where } X \text{ only pos in } A$$

- Typing

Slide 18

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\nu^a X. A/X] A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{in } t : \nu^{a+1} X. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \nu^{a+1} X. A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out } r : [\nu^a X. A/X] A}$$

- Subtyping

$$\frac{\underline{a \leq b \text{ or } b = \infty} \quad \Gamma, X \vdash A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash \nu^b X. A \leq \nu^a X. B}$$

- Admissible typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : [\nu^\infty X. A/X] A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{in } t : \nu^\infty X. A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \nu^\infty X. A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out } r : [\nu^\infty X. A/X] A}$$

Coinductive types – example

Slide 19

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Stream}^i(A) &:= \nu^i X. A \times X \\
 \text{s_cons} &: \forall A. A \rightarrow \forall i. \text{Stream}^i(A) \rightarrow \text{Stream}^{i+1}(A) \\
 &:= \lambda a \lambda as. \text{in} \langle a, as \rangle \\
 \text{s_head} &: \forall A \forall i. \text{Stream}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow A \\
 &:= \lambda s. \text{fst}(\text{out } s) \\
 \text{s_tail} &: \forall A \forall i. \text{Stream}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \text{Stream}^i(A) \\
 &:= \lambda s. \text{snd}(\text{out } s)
 \end{aligned}$$

Recursion and corecursion

- Terms

$$r, s, t ::= \dots \mid \text{fix}^\mu s \mid \text{fix}^\nu s$$

- Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma, i \vdash s : ((\mu^i X. A) \rightarrow B(i)) \rightarrow (\mu^{i+1} X. A) \rightarrow B(i+1)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix}^\mu s : \forall i. (\mu^i X. A) \rightarrow B(i)} \quad i \cap\text{-cont } B(i)$$

Slide 20

$$\frac{\Gamma, i \vdash s : A(i) \rightarrow A(i+1)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix}^\nu s : \forall i. A(i)} \quad i \text{ legal}^\nu A(i)$$

- Legal types for corecursion

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{i \text{ legal}^\nu \nu^i X. A} (i \notin A) \quad \frac{i \text{ legal}^\nu A \quad i \text{ legal}^\nu B}{i \text{ legal}^\nu A \times B} \\
 \frac{i \text{ only pos in } A \quad i \text{ legal}^\nu B}{i \text{ legal}^\nu A \rightarrow B}
 \end{array}$$

Corecursion example: sequence of natural numbers

- Map for streams in sugared recursion syntax:

$\text{s_map} : \forall X \forall Y. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \forall i. \text{Stream}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{Stream}^i(Y)$

$$\text{s_map } f \ (x :: xs^{i+1}) = ((f x) :: \text{s_map } f \ xs^i)^{i+1}$$

Slide 21

- Stream of natural numbers in orginal recursion syntax:

$\text{nats} : \forall i. \text{Stream}^i(\text{Int})$

$$\text{nats} = \text{fix}^\nu \lambda nats. (0 :: (\text{s_map } +1 \ nats^i)^{i+1})$$

Reduction

- Special evaluation contexts:

$$E ::= \bullet \mid E s \mid \text{fst } E \mid \text{snd } E$$

- Rules for (co)inductive data and (co)recursion:

Slide 22

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{out}(\text{in } t) & \longrightarrow_\beta & t \\ \text{fix}^\mu s(\text{in } t) & \longrightarrow_\beta & s(\text{fix}^\mu s)(\text{int}) \\ \text{out}(E[\text{fix}^\nu s]) & \longrightarrow_\beta & \text{out}(E[s(\text{fix}^\nu s)]) \end{array}$$

- Add β -rules for the lambda-calculus with sums and products plus congruence rules.
- For the resulting reduction relation we can show strong normalization.

Semantics of size expressions

Let θ be a mapping of size variables into ordinals $< \Omega + \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket i \rrbracket \theta &= \theta(i) \\ \llbracket a + 1 \rrbracket \theta &= \llbracket a \rrbracket + 1 \\ \llbracket \infty \rrbracket \theta &= \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Slide 23

Semantics of types

- Let $\Gamma \vdash A : *$ and $\theta : \Gamma$ a valuation of the free type and size variables in A .
- Semantics $\llbracket A \rrbracket \theta \subseteq \text{SN}$ (saturated set):

Slide 24

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \theta &= \{r \mid r s \in \llbracket B \rrbracket \theta \text{ for all } s \in \llbracket A \rrbracket \theta\} \\ \llbracket \text{List}^i(A) \rrbracket \theta &= \Phi_{\text{List}(A), \theta}^\alpha(\emptyset) \\ \llbracket \text{Stream}^i(A) \rrbracket \theta &= \Phi_{\text{Stream}(A), \theta}^\alpha(\text{SN}) \end{aligned}$$

with ordinal $\alpha = \llbracket i \rrbracket \theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\text{List}(A), \theta}(Q) &= \{\text{nil}, \text{cons } s t \mid s \in \llbracket A \rrbracket \theta, t \in Q\} \\ \Phi_{\text{Stream}(A), \theta}(Q) &= \{r \mid \text{s_head } r \in \llbracket A \rrbracket \theta, \text{s_tail } r \in Q\} \end{aligned}$$

Uniform Operation Iteration

- Iterates Φ^α can be defined uniformly for least and greatest fixed-points using limes inferior. Let $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{SN})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\varprojlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha) &= \bigcup_{\alpha_0 < \lambda} \bigcap_{\alpha_0 \leq \alpha < \lambda} P(\alpha) \\ \Phi^0(Q) &= Q \\ \Phi^{\alpha+1}(Q) &= \Phi(\Phi^\alpha(Q)) \\ \Phi^\lambda(Q) &= \varprojlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)\end{aligned}$$

Slide 25

- Lemma: Assume Φ increasing, i.e., $\Phi^\alpha(Q) \subseteq \Phi^\beta(Q)$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$.

$$\Phi^\lambda(Q) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)$$

- Lemma: Assume Φ decreasing, i.e., $\Phi^\alpha(Q) \supseteq \Phi^\beta(Q)$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$.

$$\Phi^\lambda(Q) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} \Phi^\alpha(Q)$$

On the side condition on recursion

- Recall the recursion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma, i \vdash s : ((\mu^i X.A) \rightarrow B(i)) \rightarrow (\mu^{i+1} X.A) \rightarrow B(i+1)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix}^\mu s : \forall i. (\mu^i X.A) \rightarrow B(i)} \quad i \text{ } \cap\text{-cont } B(i)$$

- Soundness is proven by transfinite induction on the ordinal $\llbracket i \rrbracket$.

Slide 26 For the limit case to hold, B must admit

$$\begin{aligned}\varprojlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \llbracket (\mu^i X.A) \rightarrow B(i) \rrbracket (i \mapsto \alpha) \\ \subseteq \llbracket (\mu^i X.A) \rightarrow B(i) \rrbracket (i \mapsto \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

- Thus, result type of this fix^μ -construction must be *continuous* in i .
- We distinguish two kinds of continuity.

\cup -Continuity

- A set-valued function $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{SN})$ is called \cup -continuous if

$$P(\lambda) \subseteq \varinjlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha)$$

Hughes, Pareto & Sabry (1996) call P *overshooting*.

Slide 27

- Grammar for \cup -continuous types $i \cup\text{-cont } A$:

$$\frac{i \text{ only neg in } A}{i \cup\text{-cont } A} \quad \frac{i \cup\text{-cont } A, B}{i \cup\text{-cont } A + B, A \times B} \quad \frac{i \cup\text{-cont } A}{i \cup\text{-cont } \text{List}^a(A)}$$

- Theorem: If $i \cup\text{-cont } A$ then $\llbracket A \rrbracket(i)$ is \cup -continuous.

\cap -Continuity

- A set-valued function $P : \text{On} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{SN})$ is called \cap -continuous if

$$\varprojlim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} P(\alpha) \subseteq P(\lambda)$$

Hughes, Pareto & Sabry (1996) call P *undershooting*.

Slide 28

- Grammar for \cap -continuous types $i \cap\text{-cont } A$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{i \text{ only pos in } A}{i \cap\text{-cont } A} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } A, B}{i \cap\text{-cont } A + B, A \times B} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } A}{i \cap\text{-cont } \text{List}^a(A)} \\[10pt] \frac{i \cup\text{-cont } A \quad i \cap\text{-cont } B}{i \cap\text{-cont } A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{i \cap\text{-cont } A}{i \cap\text{-cont } \text{Stream}^a(A)} \end{array}$$

- Theorem: If $i \cap\text{-cont } A$ then $\llbracket A \rrbracket(i)$ is \cap -continuous.

Work in progress: F^ω with sized types

- Kinds.

Slide 29

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa ::= & * \quad \text{types} \\
 | \quad \text{ord} & \quad \text{ordinal sizes} \\
 | \quad \kappa \xrightarrow{+} \kappa' & \quad \text{covariant type constructors} \\
 | \quad \kappa \xrightarrow{-} \kappa' & \quad \text{contravariant type constructors} \\
 | \quad \kappa \xrightarrow{0} \kappa' & \quad \text{invariant type constructors}
 \end{aligned}$$

- “Subconstructors” $F \leq G : \kappa$. E.g.,

$$\frac{X \leq Y : \kappa \vdash F X \leq G Y : \kappa'}{F \leq G : \kappa \xrightarrow{+} \kappa'}$$

- Well-kindedness definable by $F : \kappa \iff F \leq F : \kappa$

Inductive constructors

- Inductive constructors.

$$\mu_\kappa : \text{ord} \xrightarrow{+} (\kappa \xrightarrow{+} \kappa) \xrightarrow{+} \kappa$$

- Example for an inductive type:

Slide 30

$$\text{List} = \lambda i \lambda A. \mu_* i (\lambda X. 1 + A \times X)$$

- Inductive functors: μ_κ for $\kappa = * \rightarrow *$.
- E.g., $\text{Term } A$, de Bruijn terms with free variables in A :

$$\text{Term} = \mu_{* \rightarrow *} \lambda T \lambda A. A + T(1 + A) + TA \times TA$$

Conclusions

Sized types:

- Conceptually *lean* way of ensuring termination.
- Well-typedness ensures termination.
- No external static analysis required.

Slide 31 System F^ω :

- Size expressions can be integrated into constructors.
- Sized types scale to higher-order polymorphism.

Goal: extend to dependent types.

Related Work

- Hughes, Pareto, Sabry (1996)
Proving the correctness of reactive system using sized types
- Barthe, Frade, Giménez, Pinto, Uustalu (2003?)
Type-based termination of recursive definitions
- Buchholz (2003?)

Slide 32 Recursion on nonwellfounded trees