On Communication Requirements for Control-by-Wire Applications

Roger Johansson, Per Johannessen, Kristina Forsberg, Håkan Sivencrona, Jan Torin



• Future distributed control systems for safety critical applications











Presentation Overview

- Distributed By-Wire Control, background and motivation
- Dependable Real -Time Control
- Bandwith requirement, case studies
- Summary and conclusions



Background

Ongoing efforts aim to develop a common standard for communication protocols within the fly- and drive-by-wire industry.

- Four major standards
 - FlexRay Currently under development by BMW, Daimler-Chrysler, Motorola, Philips, et.al.
 - SAFEbus Developed by Honeywell and in operation in Boeing 777
 - TTCAN Developed by Bosch and extends the existing CAN protocol. Sample chips are available.
 - TTP/C Developed by the Technical University of Vienna and TTTech. Commercial chips are available.
- Instead of starting with the protocol specification we investigated the requirements derived from the application.





Real-Time Control Strategies

Local control

- Local information processing
- No data dependencies between control objects

Centralized global control

- Local and global information processing
- Data dependencies between control objects

Distributed global control

- Local and distributed information processing
- Data dependencies between control objects







Strategy combine local control performance (closed loops >500 Hz) with global control features e.g. stability system





Increases bus bandwith requirements



Case: Fly-by-Wire



JAS 39 Gripen, a multi-role, combat aircraft

Research project:

Future Flight Control System (FCS)





Chalmers University of Technology

Fly-by-Wire communication bandwidth

Advanced Air Data sensor: 15 Hz: Pressure static, Mach number, Altitude, 60 Hz: Angle of attack, Angle of sideslip (duplicated)	3 x 32 bits x 15 Hz 2 x 32 bits x 60 Hz x 2	10560
Angular Rate Gyro sensor. 60 Hz: Pitch, Roll and Yaw (duplicated)	3 x 32 bits x 60 Hz x 2	11520
Accelerator Sensor : 60 Hz: Acceleration in z- and y-axle (duplicated)	Ierator Sensor : 60 Hz: Acceleration in z-2 x 32 bits x 60 Hz-axle (duplicated)x 2	
Cockpit node 60 Hz: Pilot command for Pitch, Roll and Pedal. Assume 16 bits for discrete signals (duplicated)	3 x 32+16 bits x 60 Hz x 2	13440
<i>Interconnection node:</i> 60 Hz: Acceleration x-axle, Pitch, Roll and Aircraft weight	4 x 32 bit x 60 Hz	7680
Actuator nodes 60 Hz: Seven command words (16 bits) and one status word (16 bits) from all seven nodes	(7 x 16 bits + 16 bits) x 7 x 60 Hz	53760
Secondary control surfaces and Engine 60 Hz: Assumed 32 bits from these four nodes	4 x 32 bits x 60 Hz	7680
	Resulting bandwidth	112.320 bits/s

Case: Steer-by-Wire

FAR – Scale 1:5 experimental drive-by-wire



SIRIUS – Scale 1:1 experimental drive-by-wire







2003-08-07
ISSC 21

Steer-by-Wire communication bandwidth

Central node (C1 and C2)

100 Hz: steering wheel angle (14 bits)	14 bits x 100 Hz	2840
10 Hz: steering mode (2 bits)	2 bits x 10 Hz	
Sensor redundantly allocated to C1	X 2	
and C2.		

Wheel node (FL,FR,RL and RR)

100 Hz: wheel speed and steer angle	3×12 bits x 100 Hz ((4 x 14 bits) + 16 bits)	32400
(12 Dits) steer angle sensor duplicated	$((4 \times 14 \text{ Dits}) + 10 \text{ Dits})$	
100 Hz: Four command words (14 bits)	x 100 Hz	
and one status word (16 bits) from all		
four nodes		
	—	

Resulting bandwidth

35.240 bits/s





Case: Brake-by-Wire

Inherent redundancy:

- It is always possible to brake the vehicle (possibly with reduced performance) assuming that at least one control (actuator) is working properly.
- Fail safe mode is due to operating environment e.g.
 - □ In open air : brake
 - □ In tunnel : free wheels



Brake-by-Wire communication bandwidth

Wheel node (four identical)		
50 Hz: Required disc pressure actuator	4 x 10 bits x 50 Hz	2000
50 Hz: applied pressure sensor The sensor is duplicated	4 x 10 bits x 50 Hz x 2	4000
50 Hz: rotational speed sensor The sensor is duplicated	4 x 10 bits x 50 Hz x 2	4000
	Resulting bandwidth	10.000 bits/s



Bandwith consumption

Application	Effective	TTCAN	FlexRay	SAFEbus	TTP/C
Fly-by-wire	112 320	235 680	187 680	148 320	156 000
Steer-by- wire	35 240	139 040	113 440	80 800	93 600
Brake-by- wire	10 000	19 200	19 200	12 800	19 200

Actual bandwith requirements are small

Protocol overhead is application dependent



Conclusions

- The communication subsystem is vital to assure system safety.
- The control-by-wire system should not host any other functionality than vehicle dynamics control.
- Inherent redundancy should be used by the application for cost effective fault-tolerance.
- The communication system must guarantee a sufficient data rate, as well as constant and limited time delays.
- The communication system should be a COTS with basic required functionality and limited autonomous behavior.
- The communication protocol should not guarantee application consensus, it is best accomplished at the application level.



2003-08-07 **Acknowledgments ISSC 21 CHARMEC** Chalmers Railway Mechanics, Centre of Excellence PFF The Program Board for the Swedish Automotive **Research Program** NFFP The Program Board for the National Aerospace **Research Program** All within VINNOVA, the Swedish Agency for Innovation Systems ERS Volvo Car Corporation