

Normalization by Evaluation for Martin-Löf Type Theory with One Universe

Peter Dybjer, Göteborg
(with Andreas Abel, Munich, and Klaus Aehlig, Swansea)

MFPS XXIII, New Orleans
11-14 April, 2007

Partial evaluation of programs

Let us define `power` $m n = m^n$.

```
power :: int -> int -> int
```

```
power m 0 = 1
```

```
power m (Succ n) = m * (power m n)
```

In Gödel System T

```
power m n = rec 1 (\x y -> m * y) n
```

Let $n = 3$. Simplify:

```
power m 3 = m * (m * m)
```

by using the reduction rules for `power`, `*`, and `+`.

Partial evaluation of types

In Martin-Löf type theory we can define the type-valued function $\text{Power } A \ n = A^n$. Set is the type of small types - a universe:

```
Power :: Set -> Nat -> Set
```

```
Power A 0 = 1
```

```
Power A (Succ n) = A * (Power A n)
```

```
Power A n = rec 1 (\x y -> A * y) n
```

Let $n = 3$. Simplify:

```
Power A 3 = A * (A * (A * 1))
```

by using the reduction rules for Power . Can we simplify further?

Normalization during type-checking

To check that

$$(2007, (4, (12, ()))) :: \text{Power Nat } 3$$

we need to normalize the type:

$$(2007, (4, (12, ()))) :: \text{Nat} * (\text{Nat} * (\text{Nat} * 1))$$

Programming normalization – by evaluation

Normalization as a *program*! Constructive metamathematics is meta-programming!

An elegant way is to normalize by “evaluating” a term in a model, and then extracting the normal form:

$$\text{syntax} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{[[-]]} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \text{model}$$

$$\text{nbet } t = \downarrow [[t]]$$

In this talk we shall view the model as the *model of normal forms in higher-order abstract syntax*.

Plan

- Martin-Löf type theory with one universe and untyped conversion (like Martin-Löf 1972 + η -rule). Syntax, reduction, normal forms, and inference rules.
- Normalization algorithms for terms and types:
 - $\text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t = \downarrow_{|\Gamma|}^{\llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}}} \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}}$
 - $\text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A = \downarrow_{|\Gamma|} \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}}$
- Correctness of normalization algorithm for terms and types means decidability of equality:
 - If $\Gamma \vdash t, t' : A$ then $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$ iff $\text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t \equiv \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t' \in Tm$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash A, A'$ then $A =_{\beta\eta} A'$ iff $\text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A \equiv \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A' \in Tm$.

Martin-Löf Type Theory

Types and terms with de Bruijn indices (types are terms - universe à la Russell)

$Tm \ni r, s, t, z, A, B$	$::=$	v_i	de Bruijn index
		λt	abstracting 0th variable
		$r s$	application
		$Zero$	natural number “0”
		$Succ t$	successor
		$Rec A z s t$	primitive recursion
		$\Pi A B$	dependent function type
		Nat	natural number type
		Set	universe

We can add other set constructors too: $\Sigma A B$, $A + B$, 0 , 1 , and inductively defined datatypes. (E.g example with *Power*-types used \times .)

Reduction and conversion

One-step $\beta\eta$ -reduction $t \longrightarrow t'$ is given as the congruence-closure of the following contractions.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 (\lambda t) s & \longrightarrow & t[s] & (\beta\text{-}\lambda) \\
 \lambda.(\uparrow^1 t) v_0 & \longrightarrow & t & (\eta) \\
 \text{Rec } A z s \text{ Zero} & \longrightarrow & z & (\beta\text{-Rec-Zero}) \\
 \text{Rec } A z s (\text{Succ } r) & \longrightarrow & sr(\text{Rec } A z sr) & (\beta\text{-Rec-Succ})
 \end{array}$$

Its reflexive-transitive closure \longrightarrow^* is confluent, so we can define $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$ as $\exists s. t \longrightarrow^* s^* \longleftarrow^* t'$.

Judgement forms

$\Gamma \vdash$ Γ is a well-formed context

$\Gamma \vdash A$ A is a well-formed type in context Γ

$\Gamma \vdash t : A$ t has type A in context Γ

We follow Martin-Löf 1972: basis is *conversion of untyped terms* (does not count as judgement):

$$t =_{\beta\eta} t'$$

Martin-Löf 1973 and onwards instead has *typed equality judgements*

$$\Gamma \vdash A = A'$$

$$\Gamma \vdash t = t' : A$$

Some inference rules

We only give the rules for well-formed sets

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathit{Nat} : \mathit{Set}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathit{Set} \quad \Gamma, A \vdash B : \mathit{Set}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi A B : \mathit{Set}}$$

well-formed types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathit{Set}}{\Gamma \vdash A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash \mathit{Set}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi A B}$$

and the type conversion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A'}{\Gamma \vdash t : A'} A =_{\beta\eta} A'$$

There are also introduction and elimination rules for Π and Nat , and rules for context formation and assumption.

Semantics: normal forms in higher order abstract syntax

First-order syntax of normal and neutral (well-formed) types and (well-typed) terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A, B, t, u &::= \Pi A B \mid \text{Nat} \mid \text{Set} \mid \lambda t \mid \text{Zero} \mid \text{Succ } t \mid s \\
 s &::= v_i \mid st \mid \text{Rec } A t u s
 \end{aligned}$$

"There is no model of normal forms; normality is not closed under application (and recursion)".

Define a domain D of normal forms in higher-order abstract syntax with the following "constructors":

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Pi} & : D \times [D \rightarrow D] \rightarrow D \\
 \text{Nat} & : D \\
 \text{Set} & : D \\
 \text{Lam} & : [D \rightarrow D] \rightarrow D \\
 \text{Zero} & : D \\
 \text{Succ} & : D \rightarrow D \\
 \text{Ne} & : TM_{\perp} \rightarrow D
 \end{array}$$

where $TM = N \rightarrow Tm_Z$ (See paper for strictness issues.)

Haskell datatypes for terms and normal forms in hoas

```
data Tm = Var Int | App Tm Tm | Lam Tm
        | Zero | Succ Tm | Rec Tm Tm Tm Tm
        | Nat | Pi Tm Tm | Set
        deriving (Show, Eq)
type TM = Int -> Tm

data D = PiD D (D -> D) -- dependent function type
        | NatD           -- natural number type
        | SetD           -- type of sets
        | LamD (D -> D) -- function
        | ZeroD          -- 0
        | SuccD D        -- successor
        | NeD TM         -- neutral terms
```

Nbe functions in Haskell

A context is a list of types

```
type Cxt = [Tm]
```

Normalization of a term wrt a type and a context:

```
nbe :: Cxt -> Tm -> Tm -> Tm
```

Normalization of a type wrt a context

```
nbeT :: Cxt -> Tm -> Tm
```

Evaluation function

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket _ : Tm \rightarrow [(N \rightarrow D) \rightarrow D]$$

$$\llbracket v_i \rrbracket_\rho = \rho(i)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda t \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Lam}(d \mapsto \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho,d})$$

$$\llbracket r s \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket r \rrbracket_\rho \cdot \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho$$

$$\llbracket \text{Zero} \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Zero}$$

$$\llbracket \text{Succ } t \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Succ } \llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho$$

$$\llbracket \text{Rec } A z s t \rrbracket_\rho = \text{rec}(d \mapsto \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho,d}) \llbracket z \rrbracket_\rho \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho$$

$$\llbracket \Pi A B \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Pi } \llbracket A \rrbracket_\rho (d \mapsto \llbracket B \rrbracket_{\rho,d})$$

$$\llbracket \text{Nat} \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Nat}$$

$$\llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket_\rho = \text{Set}$$

Application of normal forms in hoas

We define application on D as the function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{app} &: [D \rightarrow [D \rightarrow D]] \\ (\text{Lam } f) \cdot d &= f d \\ e \cdot d &= \perp \quad \text{if } e \text{ is not Lam } f \end{aligned}$$

where in the following “default \perp clauses” like the last one are always tacitly assumed.

In Haskell:

```
appD :: D -> D -> D
appD (LamD f) d = f d
```

We also need to define primitive recursion `rec` in the model, but first we need reification and reflection.

Reification - translating hoas to foas

$$\Downarrow : [D \rightarrow TM_{\perp}]$$

$$\Downarrow_k (\text{Pi } a \, g) = \Pi(\Downarrow_k a) (\Downarrow_{k+1} g (\uparrow^a \hat{v}_{-(k+1)}))$$

$$\Downarrow_k \text{Nat} = \text{Nat}$$

$$\Downarrow_k \text{Set} = \text{Set}$$

$$\Downarrow_k (\text{Ne } \hat{t}) = \hat{t}(k)$$

$$\downarrow : [D \rightarrow [D \rightarrow TM_{\perp}]]$$

$$\downarrow_k^{\text{Set}} a = \Downarrow_k a$$

$$\downarrow_k^{\text{Pi } a \, g} (\text{Lam } f) = \lambda(\downarrow_{k+1}^{g(\uparrow^a \hat{v}_{-(k+1)})} (f(\uparrow^a \hat{v}_{-(k+1)})))$$

$$\downarrow_k^{\text{Nat}} \text{Zero} = \text{Zero}$$

$$\downarrow_k^{\text{Nat}} (\text{Succ } d) = \text{Succ}(\downarrow_k^{\text{Nat}} d)$$

$$\downarrow_k^c (\text{Ne } \hat{t}) = \hat{t}(k)$$

Reflection

Mapping neutral terms (including variables) to D:

$$\uparrow : [D \rightarrow [TM_{\perp} \rightarrow D]]$$

$$\uparrow^{\text{Pi } a} \hat{t} = \text{Lam } (d \mapsto \uparrow^{g(d)} (\hat{t} \downarrow^a d))$$

$$\uparrow^c \hat{t} = \text{Ne } \hat{t} \quad \text{if } c \neq \perp, c \neq \text{Pi} \dots$$

We perform η -expansion. Hence we need the first argument which is a normal type in hoas - an element of D.

Primitive recursion on normal forms in hoas

$$\text{rec} : [[D \rightarrow D] \rightarrow [D \rightarrow [D \rightarrow [D \rightarrow D]]]]$$

$$\text{rec } a d_z d_s \text{Zero} = d_z$$

$$\text{rec } a d_z d_s (\text{Succ } e) = d_s \cdot e \cdot (\text{rec } a d_z d_s e)$$

$$\text{rec } a d_z d_s (\text{Ne } \hat{t}) = \uparrow^{a(\text{Ne } \hat{t})} (k \mapsto \text{Rec} (\downarrow_{k+1} a(\text{Ne } v_{-(k+1)})))$$

$$(\downarrow_k^{a\text{Zero}} d_z)$$

$$(\downarrow_k^{\prod \text{Nat} (d \mapsto a d \Rightarrow a(\text{Succ } d))} d_s)$$

$$\hat{t}(k)$$

Here we use reification \downarrow and reflection \uparrow .

The normalization function

Normalization by evaluation for terms and types is now implemented by these two functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t &:= \downarrow_{|\Gamma|}^{\llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}}} \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}} \\ \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A &:= \Downarrow_{|\Gamma|} \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho_{\Gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_{Γ} is the identity valuation which is obtained by reflection of the identity substitution.

Correctness of normalization function

Correctness means decidability of equality (convertibility of types and terms).

- If $\Gamma \vdash t, t' : A$ then $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$ iff $\text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t \equiv \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t' \in Tm$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash A, A'$ then $A =_{\beta\eta} A'$ iff $\text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A \equiv \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A' \in Tm$.

We split it up into two parts

Completeness

- If $\Gamma \vdash t, t' : A$ and $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$, then $\text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t \equiv \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t' \in Tm$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash A, A'$ and $A =_{\beta\eta} A'$, then $\text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A \equiv \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A' \in Tm$.

Soundness

- If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ then $t =_{\beta\eta} \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash A$ then $A =_{\beta\eta} \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A$.

We will only discuss the former. The latter is shown by defining a Kripke logical relation between terms and their normal forms in hoas.

PER of natural numbers and PER of functions

We inductively define $\mathcal{Nat} \in \text{Per}$ by the following rules.

$$\frac{}{\text{Zero} = \text{Zero} \in \mathcal{Nat}} \quad \frac{d = d' \in \mathcal{Nat}}{\text{Succ } d = \text{Succ } d' \in \mathcal{Nat}} \quad \frac{}{\text{Ne } \hat{t} = \text{Ne } \hat{t} \in \mathcal{Nat}}$$

If we have a PER \mathcal{A} and a family of PERs $\mathcal{G}(d)$ indexed by d in the domain of \mathcal{A} , then we can build a PER of functions:

$$\Pi \mathcal{A} \mathcal{G} = \{(e, e') \mid (e \cdot d, e' \cdot d') \in \mathcal{G}(d) \text{ for all } (d, d') \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Inductive-recursive definition of PER of small types

We simultaneously define the PER $Set \in Rel$ and the family of PERS $[a]$ for a in the domain of Set by the following rules.

$$\frac{a = a' \in Set \quad g(d) = g'(d') \in Set \text{ for all } d = d' \in [a]}{\Pi a g = \Pi a' g' \in Set}$$

$$\overline{\text{Nat} = \text{Nat} \in Set}$$

$$\overline{\text{Ne } \hat{t} = \text{Ne } \hat{t} \in Set}$$

$$[\Pi a g] = \Pi [a] (d \mapsto [g(d)])$$

$$[\text{Nat}] = \mathcal{N}at$$

$$[\text{Ne } \hat{t}] = \mathcal{N}e.$$

Inductive-recursive definition as monotone inductive definition

We define the graph $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(D \times \text{Per})$ of $[_]$ inductively by the following rules.

$$\frac{(a, \mathcal{A}) \in T \quad (g(d), \mathcal{G}(d)) \in T \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{A}}{(\text{Pi } a g, \Pi \mathcal{A} \mathcal{G}) \in T}$$

$$\overline{(\text{Nat}, \mathcal{N}at) \in T} \quad \overline{(\text{Ne } \hat{t}, \mathcal{N}e) \in T}$$

This is a monotone inductive definition using Aczel's *rule sets* (see Handbook of Mathematical Logic).

Inductive-recursive definition of the PER of all types

This is like the definition of *small* types with some extra clauses:

$$\frac{c = c' \in \mathit{Set}}{c = c' \in \mathit{Type}} \qquad \frac{}{\mathit{Set} = \mathit{Set} \in \mathit{Type}}$$

$$\frac{a = a' \in \mathit{Type} \quad g(d) = g'(d') \in \mathit{Type} \text{ for all } d = d' \in [a]}{\mathit{Pi} \, a \, g = \mathit{Pi} \, a' \, g' \in \mathit{Type}}$$

$$[\mathit{Pi} \, a \, g] = \mathit{Pi} [a] (d \mapsto [g(d)])$$

$$[\mathit{Nat}] = \mathcal{N}at$$

$$[\mathit{Ne} \hat{t}] = \mathcal{N}e.$$

$$[\mathit{Set}] = \mathit{Set}$$

Reification and reflection preserve equality

- 1 If $c = c' \in \mathcal{T}ype$ then $\uparrow^c \hat{t} = \uparrow^{c'} \hat{t} \in [c]$.
- 2 If $c = c' \in \mathcal{T}ype$ then $\downarrow c \equiv \downarrow c' \in TM$.
- 3 If $c = c' \in \mathcal{T}ype$ and $e = e' \in [c]$ then $\downarrow^c e \equiv \downarrow^{c'} e' \in TM$.

Convertible terms are semantically related

- If $\Gamma \vdash A, A'$ and $A =_{\beta\eta} A'$ then $\Gamma \models A = A'$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash t, t' : A$ and $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$ then $\Gamma \models t = t' : A$.

where

$$\Gamma \models A = A' \quad :\iff \quad \Gamma \models A \text{ and } \forall \rho = \rho' \in [\Gamma]. \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho} = \llbracket A' \rrbracket_{\rho'} \in \mathcal{T}ype$$

$$\Gamma \models t = t' : A \quad :\iff \quad \Gamma \models A \text{ and } \forall \rho = \rho' \in [\Gamma]. \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho} = \llbracket t' \rrbracket_{\rho'} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho}$$

Completeness of NbE

- 1 If $\Gamma \vdash t, t' : A$ and $t =_{\beta\eta} t'$ then $\text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t \equiv \text{nbe}_{\Gamma}^A t' \in Tm$.
- 2 If $\Gamma \vdash A, A'$ and $A =_{\beta\eta} A'$ then $\text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A \equiv \text{Nbe}_{\Gamma} A' \in Tm$.

It follows that NbE is terminating on well-typed terms.

Conclusion

Key point. With nbe we get better tool for metatheory of type theory. It is more practical and more elegant.

- Extend Berger-Schwichtenberg style nbe to dependent types: normalize types as well as terms. Show that we can get eta for universe a la Russell. Key point for justifying Agda system.
- Cf work by Martin-Löf 1973, 2004. Also work by Danielsson 2006.
- Key obstacle was overcome by starting with untyped nbe. (Note also that the algorithm for MLTT with only beta-conversion is more straightforward.)
- Future work. Equality judgments (LiCS 2007). Cwfs. Correctness of type-checking. Meta-theorems.