

Mixing Induction and Coinduction

Nils Anders Danielsson (Nottingham)

Aussois, 2009-05-15

Introduction

- ▶ Purely coinductive definitions are sometimes too “coarse”.
- ▶ Mixing in a bit of induction gives more precision.
- ▶ This technique does not seem to be well-known.
- ▶ I will introduce it through some examples.

Coinductive types in Agda

Streams

```
data Stream (A : Set) : Set where  
  _::_ : A → ∞ (Stream A) → Stream A
```



- ▶ ∞ marks coinductive arguments.
- ▶ $T = F (\infty T) T \approx T = \nu C. \mu l. F C l.$
- ▶ Can be seen as a suspension.
- ▶ Delay and force:

$$\# : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \infty A$$
$$b : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow \infty A \rightarrow A$$



- ▶ ∞ marks coinductive arguments.
- ▶ $T = F (\infty T) T \approx T = \nu C. \mu l. F C l.$
- ▶ Can be seen as a suspension.
- ▶ Delay and force:

codata $\infty (A : Set) : Set$ **where**

$\# : A \rightarrow \infty A$

$b : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow \infty A \rightarrow A$

$b (\# x) = x$

Streams and stream processors

$Stream\ A \approx \nu C. A \times C$:

data $Stream\ (A : Set) : Set$ **where**
 $_{::}$: $A \rightarrow \infty (Stream\ A) \rightarrow Stream\ A$

$SP\ A\ B \approx \nu C. \mu I. (A \rightarrow I) + B \times C$:

data $SP\ (A\ B : Set) : Set$ **where**
 get : $(A \rightarrow SP\ A\ B) \rightarrow SP\ A\ B$
 put : $B \rightarrow \infty (SP\ A\ B) \rightarrow SP\ A\ B$

Guarded corecursion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} &: \forall \{A B\} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{Stream } A \rightarrow \text{Stream } B \\ \text{map } f \ (x :: xs) &= f \ x :: \# (\text{map } f \ (b \ xs)) \end{aligned}$$

Lexicographic guarded corecursion and structural recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket _ \rrbracket &: \forall \{A B\} \rightarrow SP \ A \ B \rightarrow \text{Stream } A \rightarrow \text{Stream } B \\ \llbracket \text{get } f \ \ \ \ \ \rrbracket \ (a :: as) &= \llbracket f \ a \rrbracket \ (b \ as) \\ \llbracket \text{put } b \ sp \rrbracket \ as &= b :: \# (\llbracket b \ sp \rrbracket \ as) \end{aligned}$$

“Coinductive families”

data $_ \approx _ \{A\} : \text{Stream } A \rightarrow \text{Stream } A \rightarrow \text{Set}$ **where**
 $_ :: _ : \forall x \{xs\ ys\} \rightarrow \infty (b\ xs \approx b\ ys) \rightarrow$
 $x :: xs \approx x :: ys$

Guarded coinduction:

$map\text{-}cong : \forall \{A\ B\} (f : A \rightarrow B) \{xs\ ys\} \rightarrow$
 $xs \approx ys \rightarrow map\ f\ xs \approx map\ f\ ys$
 $map\text{-}cong\ f\ (x :: xs \approx ys) =$
 $f\ x :: \# (map\text{-}cong\ f\ (b\ xs \approx ys))$

Inference systems

Inference systems

- ▶ Two kinds of inference systems:
 - ▶ Algorithmic (syntax-directed).
 - ▶ Declarative (with rules like transitivity).
- ▶ Declarative coinductive inference systems are often a bad idea:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{bad} &: \forall \{x\ y\} \rightarrow x \approx y \\ \textit{bad} &= \textit{trans} (\# \textit{bad}) (\# \textit{bad}) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Solution: Make non-structural rules inductive.

Alternative definition of stream equality

data \sim $\{A\}$: $Stream\ A \rightarrow Stream\ A \rightarrow Set$ **where**

$_::_$: $\forall x \{xs\ ys\} \rightarrow \infty (b\ xs \sim b\ ys) \rightarrow$
 $x :: xs \sim x :: ys$

refl : $\forall \{xs\} \rightarrow xs \sim xs$

sym : $\forall \{xs\ ys\} \rightarrow xs \sim ys \rightarrow ys \sim xs$

trans : $\forall \{xs\ ys\ zs\} \rightarrow$
 $xs \sim ys \rightarrow ys \sim zs \rightarrow xs \sim zs$

Equivalent to \approx .

Parser combinators

Parser combinators

- ▶ Parser combinators are nice.
- ▶ But what about termination?
- ▶ Left recursion often problematic:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{expr} = \text{expr} \cdot \text{tok "+"} \cdot \text{term} \\ \quad \quad | \text{ term} \\ \text{term} = \dots \end{array}$$

Interface (roughly)

G : *Set*

$_ \in _$: *List Token* $\rightarrow G \rightarrow Set$

$_ \in? _$: $\forall s g \rightarrow Dec (s \in g)$

Note that $_ \in? _$ returns an inductive result.

Interface (roughly)

\emptyset : G

ε : G

tok : $Token \rightarrow G$

$_ _$: $G \rightarrow G \rightarrow G$

$_ \cdot _$: $G \rightarrow G \rightarrow G$

Corecursion will be used \Rightarrow
some arguments have to be coinductive.

Choice

Hard to decide infinite choice:

$$g = g \mid g'$$

$$g = g' \mid g$$

The arguments of $_|_$ will be inductive.

Sequencing

Problematic if g' is nullable, otherwise OK:

$$g = g \cdot g'$$

$$g = g' \cdot g$$

Let us index G on whether or not the empty string is accepted.

Conditional coinduction

$\infty? : Bool \rightarrow Set \rightarrow Set$

$\infty? \text{ true } A = \infty A$

$\infty? \text{ false } A = A$

$\#? : \forall b \{A\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \infty? b A$

$\#? \text{ true } x = \# x$

$\#? \text{ false } x = x$

$b? : \forall b \{A\} \rightarrow \infty? b A \rightarrow A$

$b? \text{ true } x = b x$

$b? \text{ false } x = x$

Grammars

Index **true** iff empty string accepted:

data $G : Bool \rightarrow Set$ **where**

\emptyset : G **false**

ε : G **true**

tok : $Token \rightarrow G$ **false**

$_ \mid _$: $\forall \{n_1 n_2\} \rightarrow$

$G n_1 \rightarrow G n_2 \rightarrow G (n_1 \vee n_2)$

$_ \cdot _$: $\forall \{n_1 n_2\} \rightarrow \infty? (not n_2) (G n_1) \rightarrow$

$\infty? (not n_1) (G n_2) \rightarrow$

$G (n_2 \wedge n_1)$

Grammars

Index **true** iff empty string accepted:

data $G : Bool \rightarrow Set$ **where**

\emptyset : G **false**

ε : G **true**

tok : $Token \rightarrow G$ **false**

$_ \mid _$: $\forall \{n_1\ n_2\} \rightarrow$
 $G\ n_1 \rightarrow G\ n_2 \rightarrow G\ (n_1 \vee n_2)$

$_ \cdot _$: $\forall \{n_1\ n_2\} \rightarrow G\ n_1 \rightarrow$
 $\infty? (not\ n_1)\ (G\ n_2) \rightarrow$
 $G\ (n_1 \wedge n_2)$

Example

Kleene star:

mutual

$_ \star : G \text{ false} \rightarrow G \text{ true}$

$g \star = \varepsilon \mid g \mid$

$_ + : G \text{ false} \rightarrow G \text{ false}$

$g \mid = g \cdot \# (g \star)$

The argument must not accept the empty string;
 $\varepsilon \star$ is not very useful.

Semantics

Inductive:

data $_ \in _ : \text{List Token} \rightarrow G\ n \rightarrow \text{Set}$ **where**

ε : $[\] \in \varepsilon$

tok : $[t] \in \text{tok } t$

$|^{\ell}$: $s \in g_1 \rightarrow s \in g_1 \mid g_2$

$|^r$: $s \in g_2 \rightarrow s \in g_1 \mid g_2$

\cdot : $s_1 \in g_1 \rightarrow s_2 \in b? (\text{not } n_1) g_2 \rightarrow$
 $s_1 \uparrow s_2 \in g_1 \cdot g_2$

For $g : G\ n$:

$[\] \in g$ iff $n \equiv \text{true}$.

Implementation

- ▶ Uses a variant of Brzozowski's *Derivatives of Regular Expressions*.
- ▶ $\partial : \forall \{n\} (g : G\ n) (t : Token) \rightarrow G (\partial n\ g\ t)$.
- ▶ $s \in \partial\ g\ t$ iff $t :: s \in g$.
- ▶ ∂ is used once per element in the input string.
- ▶ ∂ uses recursion over the inductive structure of the grammars.

∂

$\partial : \forall \{n\} (g : G n) (t : Token) \rightarrow G (\partial n g t)$

$\partial \emptyset \quad t = \emptyset$

$\partial \varepsilon \quad t = \emptyset$

$\partial (\text{tok } t') \quad t \text{ **with** } t \equiv? t'$

$\partial (\text{tok } .t) \quad t \mid \text{yes refl} = \varepsilon$

$\partial (\text{tok } t') \quad t \mid \text{no } t \neq t' = \emptyset$

$\partial (g_1 \mid g_2) \quad t = \partial g_1 t \mid \partial g_2 t$

$\partial (- \cdot - \{\text{true}\} g_1 g_2) t =$

$\partial g_1 t \cdot \#? (\text{not } (\partial n g_1 t)) g_2 \mid \partial g_2 t$

$\partial (- \cdot - \{\text{false}\} g_1 g_2) t =$

$\partial g_1 t \cdot \#? (\text{not } (\partial n g_1 t)) (\text{b } g_2)$

Almost

done

More examples

- ▶ Peter Hancock's examples from yesterday.
- ▶ Process calculi:
Can avoid explicit support for
(guarded) recursive definitions.

Conclusions

- ▶ Mixed induction/coinduction is fun.
- ▶ I encourage you to add this technique to your toolbox.

?