# Handling Recursion in Generic Programming Using Closed Type Families

## Anna Bolotina<sup>1</sup> and Artem Pelenitsyn<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Southern Federal University, Russia bolotina@sfedu.ru

<sup>2</sup> Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic pelenart@fit.cvut.cz

### June 12, 2018

The 19<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Trends in Functional Programming (2018) TFP 2018



Problem with Handling Recursive Datatypes

- 2 Handling Recursion with Closed Type Families
- Evaluating the Approach: The Generic Zipper 3

## Handling Recursion in Generic Programming (GP)

Many generic functions consider information on the recursion points when traversing the structure of datatypes.

Examples: maps [5] and folds [7]. More advanced one: a zipper [4].

How to obtain that information?

Solution I: A GP framework should be explicit about the recursion encoding in the datatype representation.
 *Examples:* The libraries regular [8], multirec [9] use fixed points to capture recursion.

## Downside

This may complicate the whole GP framework significantly.

**2** Solution II: Using global or local overlapping instances.

### Downside

This complicates the semantics of code, makes that unstable.

## Case Study: The True Sums of Products (SOP) Framework

The SOP [1] approach to datatype-generic programming is implemented in the generics-sop library.

- This does not reflect recursive positions in the generic representation of a datatype.
- Datatypes are expressed as *n*-ary sums of *n*-ary products of types.

An *n*-ary product example (heterogeneous list)

I 5 :\* I True :\* I 'x' :\* Nil :: NP I '[Int, Bool, Char]

An *n*-ary sum example (choice) S (S (Z (I 5))) :: NS I '[Char, Bool, Int, Bool]

Example of a datatype representation

data Tree a
 = Leaf a
 | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
 | Tree a) (Tree a)
 | Tree a, Tree a]
 ])

## Example: The Generic Function subterms

The function subterms takes a term and obtains a list of all its immediate subterms that are of the same type as the given term.

```
Implementation of subterms using the SOP view
subterms :: Generic a => a -> [a]
subterms t = subtermsNS (unSOP $ from t)
subtermsNS :: NS (NP I) xss -> [a]
subtermsNS (S ns) = subtermsNS ns
subtermsNS (Z np) = subtermsNP np
subtermsNP :: ∀a xs. NP I xs -> [a]
subtermsNP p (I y :* ys)
    typeOf @a y = witnessEq y : subtermsNP ys
     otherwise = subtermsNP ys
subtermsNP _ Nil = []
```

## (Bad) Solution with Overlapping Instances

We need a way to check type equality and witness the coercion between equal types.

Implementation of subtermsNP using overlapping instances

```
class Subterms a (xs :: [*]) where
subtermsNP :: NP I xs -> [a]
```

```
instance Subterms a xs => Subterms a (x ': xs) where
subtermsNP (_ :* xs) = subtermsNP xs
instance {-# OVERLAPS #-} Subterms a xs
=> Subterms a (a ': xs) where
subtermsNP (I x :* xs) = x : subtermsNP xs
instance Subterms a '[] where
subtermsNP _ = []
```

Although the approach works, we feel this unsatisfactory, and go to a revised solution free of overlap.

## Proof for Type-Level Equality

*Closed type families* [2] were introduced in Haskell to solve the overlap problem.

```
Type equality
type family Equal a x :: Bool where
Equal a a = 'True
Equal a x = 'False
```

```
Witnessing the coercion
class Proof (eq :: Bool) (a :: *) (b :: *) where
  witnessEq :: b -> Maybe a
instance Proof 'False a b where
  witnessEq = Nothing
instance Proof 'True a a where
  witnessEq = Just
```

## Solution to subtermsNP revised

Abbreviation for Proof

class Proof (Equal a b) a b => ProofEq a b
instance Proof (Equal a b) a b => ProofEq a b

All applies a particular constraint to each member of a list of types.

## Generic Zipper Interface

The Zipper [3] represents a current location in a datatype structure, storing a tree node, a *focus*, along with its context.

### Movement functions

goUp	::	Loc	а	${\tt fam}$	с	->	Maybe	(Loc	a	${\tt fam}$	c)
goDown	::	Loc	а	${\tt fam}$	с	->	Maybe	(Loc	а	fam	c)
goLeft	::	Loc	а	${\tt fam}$	с	->	Maybe	(Loc	а	fam	c)
goRight	::	Loc	a	fam	с	->	Maybe	(Loc	a	fam	c)

### Starting navigation

```
enter ::∀fam c a. (Generic a, In a fam, Zipper a fam c)
=> a -> Loc a fam c
```

### Ending navigation

leave :: Loc a fam c -> a

### Updating

update ::  $(\forall b. c b \Rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Loc a fam c \rightarrow Loc a fam c$ 

13 / 26

## Usage I

### Example of mutually recursive datatypes

```
data RoseTree a = RTree a (Forest a)
```

```
data Forest a = Empty | Forest (RoseTree a) (Forest a)
```

### Class for updating trees

```
class UpdateTree a b where
  replaceBy :: RoseTree a -> b -> b
  replaceBy = id
instance UpdateTree a (RoseTree a) where
  replaceBy t = t
```

instance UpdateTree a (Forest a)

## Usage II

```
Chaining moves and edits
(>>>) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)
(>=>) :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> (b -> m c) -> (a -> m c)
Example of usage
type TreeFam a = '[RoseTree a, Forest a]
*Main> let forest
             = Forest (RTree 'a' $ Forest (RTree 'b' Empty) Empty)
                       (Forest (RTree 'x' Empty) Empty)
*Main> let t = RoseTree 'c' Empty
*Main> enter @(TreeFam Char) @(UpdateTree Char)
             >>> goDown >=> goRight >=> goDown
             >=> update (replaceBy t)
             >>> leave >>> return $ forest
```

Forest (RTree 'a' \$ Forest (RTree 'b' Empty) Empty) (Forest (RTree 'c' Empty) Empty)

#### Locations

## Datatype of Locations

### Datatype of locations

```
data Loc (r :: *) (fam :: [*]) (c :: * -> Constraint) where
Loc :: Focus r a fam c
        -> Contexts r a fam c
        -> Loc r fam c
```

### Meanings of the type parameters

- r the root type of the tree;
- fam the list of types of nodes to visit (family);
- c constraint imposing restrictions on the types in the list;
- a a type of the focus' parent.

## Focus

### 

type In a fam = InFam a fam ~ 'True

## Proof for Focus

This proof generalizes the proof of type equality.

```
class ProofFocus (inFam :: Bool) (r :: *) (a :: *) (b :: *)
                  (fam :: [*]) (c :: * -> Constraint) where
    witness :: b -> Maybe (Focus r a fam c)
instance ProofFocus 'False r a b fam c where
    witness = Nothing
instance (Generic b, In b fam, ZipperI r a b fam c)
        => ProofFocus 'True r a b fam c where
    witness = Just . Focus
class ProofFocus (InFam b fam) r a b fam c
        \Rightarrow ProofIn r a b fam c
instance ProofFocus (InFam b fam) r a b fam c
        \Rightarrow ProofIn r a b fam c
```

## Contexts

- The context can be expressed as a stack, called Contexts;
- Each frame, Context, corresponds to the particular node with a hole.

```
Datatype of contexts

data Contexts (r :: *) (a :: *) (fam :: [*])

(c :: * -> Constraint) where

CNil :: Contexts a a fam c

Ctxs :: (Generic a, In a fam, ZipperI r x a fam c)

=> Context fam a -> Contexts r x fam c

-> Contexts r a fam c
```

## Type-level Differentiation

"The derivative of a regular type is its type of one-hole contexts." (McBride) [6]

## Defining type-level algebraic operations

- Sum of products (SOP) + (.+) appends two type-level lists of lists;
- SOP-by-product  $\times$  (.\*) appends the list to the head of each inner product of the sum.

## Context Frame

## Differentiation of a product of type

### Computation of the context type

newtype Context fam a = Ctx {ctx :: SOP I (CtxCode fam a)}

Function goDown

### Definition of goDown

```
goDown :: Loc a fam c -> Maybe (Loc a fam c)
goDown (Loc (Focus t) cs)
= case toFirst t of
Just t' -> Just $ Loc t' (Ctxs (toFirstCtx t) cs)
_ -> Nothing
```

This uses two auxiliary functions:

- toFirst analyzes the focal subtree's representation to find its first immediate child;
- toFirstCtx computes its respective context.

## Implementation of toFirst

```
toFirst :: \delta fam c r a. (Generic a, ToFirst r a fam c)
    => a -> Maybe (Focus r a fam c)
toFirst t = appToNP @AllProof toFirstNP $ unSOP $ from t
```

### Proof

class All (ProofIn r a fam c)  $xs \Rightarrow$  AllProof r a fam c xsinstance All (ProofIn r a fam c)  $xs \Rightarrow$  AllProof r a fam c xstype ToFirst r a fam c = All (AllProof r a fam c) (Code a)

### Processing products

The full implementation of the zipper interface is available at https://github.com/Maryann13/Zipper.

## References

- [1] E. De Vries and A. Löh. True sums of products. WGP '14.
- [2] R. A. Eisenberg, D. Vytiniotis, S. Peyton Jones, and S. Weirich. Closed type families with overlapping equations. *POPL* '14.
- [3] G. Huet. The zipper. JFP, 1997.
- [4] A. Löh and J. P. Magalhães. Generic programming with indexed functors. WGP '11.
- [5] J. P. Magalhães, A. Dijkstra, J. Jeuring, and A. Löh. A generic deriving mechanism for haskell. *Haskell* '10.
- [6] C. McBride. The derivative of a regular type is its type of one-hole contexts. *Unpublished manuscript*, 2001.
- [7] E. Meijer, M. Fokkinga, and R. Paterson. Functional programming with bananas, lenses, envelopes and barbed wire. FPLCA '91. Berlin Heidelberg.
- [8] T. Van Noort, A. Rodriguez, S. Holdermans, J. Jeuring, and B. Heeren. A lightweight approach to datatype-generic rewriting. *WGP* '08.
- [9] A. R. Yakushev, S. Holdermans, A. Löh, and J. Jeuring. Generic programming with fixed points for mutually recursive datatypes. *ICFP* '09.