

Cubical Type Theory

Interval and Face lattice

$$r, s ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid i \mid 1 - i \mid r \wedge s \mid r \vee s \quad \varphi, \psi ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid (r = 0) \mid (r = 1) \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \mid \varphi \vee \psi$$

The equality on the interval \mathbb{I} is the equality in the free bounded distributive lattice on generators $i, 1 - i$. The equality in the face lattice \mathbb{F} is the one for the free distributive lattice on formal generators $(i = 0), (i = 1)$ with the relation $(i = 0) \wedge (i = 1) = 0$. We have $[(r \vee s) = 1] = (r = 1) \vee (s = 1)$ and $[(r \wedge s) = 1] = (r = 1) \wedge (s = 1)$. An irreducible element of this lattice is a *face*, a conjunction of elements $(i = 0)$ and $(j = 1)$ and any element is a disjunction of irreducible elements (unique up to the absorption law).

Contexts

$$\Delta, \Gamma ::= () \mid \Gamma, x : A \mid \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \mid \Gamma, \varphi$$

Semantics

Let \mathcal{C} the following category. The objects are finite sets I, J, \dots . A morphism $\text{Hom}(J, I)$ is a map $I \rightarrow \text{dM}(J)$ where $\text{dM}(J)$ is the free de Morgan algebra on J . The presheaf \mathbb{I} is defined by $\mathbb{I}(J) = \text{dM}(J)$. The presheaf \mathbb{F} is defined by taking $\mathbb{F}(J)$ to be the free distributive lattice generated by formal elements $(j = 0), (j = 1)$ for j in J , with the relations $(j = 0) \wedge (j = 1) = 0$.

We interpret context as presheaves over the category \mathcal{C} . A dependent type $\Gamma \vdash A$ is interpreted as a family of sets $A\rho$ for each I and $\rho \in \Gamma(I)$ together with restriction maps $A\rho \rightarrow A\rho f$, $u \mapsto uf$ for $f : J \rightarrow I$, satisfying $u1_I = u$ and $(uf)g = u(fg) \in \Gamma(K)$ if $g : K \rightarrow J$. An element $\Gamma \vdash a : A$ is interpreted by a family $a\rho \in A\rho$ for I and $\rho \in \Gamma(I)$, such that $(a\rho)f = a(\rho f) \in A\rho f$ if $f : J \rightarrow I$.

If $\Gamma \vdash A$, we interpret $\Gamma, x : A$ as the cubical set defined by taking $(\Gamma, x : A)(I)$ to be the set of element $\rho, x = u$ such that $\rho \in \Gamma(I)$ and $u \in A\rho$. If $f : J \rightarrow I$ the restriction map is defined by $(\rho, x = u)f = \rho f, x = uf$.

If $\Gamma, x : A \vdash B$ and $\Gamma \vdash a : A$ we define $\Gamma \vdash B(x = a)$ by taking $B(x = a)\rho$ to be the set $B(\rho, x = a\rho)$.

If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \mathbb{F}$ then $\varphi\rho \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ for each $\rho \in \Gamma(I)$. We define $(\Gamma, \varphi)(I)$ to be the set $\rho \in \Gamma(I)$ such that $\varphi\rho = 1$. (In particular $(\Gamma, 0)(I)$ is empty.)

Basic typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \mathbb{F}}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash (r = 1) : \mathbb{F}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash (r = 0) : \mathbb{F}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \text{ in } \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash i : \mathbb{I}} (i : \mathbb{I} \text{ in } \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : A) \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : (x : A) \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : A}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B(u)}$$

The following observation will be useful for defining composition for glueing.

Lemma 0.1 *If $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \varphi : \mathbb{F}$ we can build $\Gamma \vdash \forall i. \varphi : \mathbb{F}$ such that, if $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ we have $\psi \leq \forall i. \varphi$ iff $\psi \leq \varphi$*

We shall then use the fact that if a judgement is valid in a context $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi$ then it holds in the context $\Gamma, \forall i. \varphi, i : \mathbb{I}$ as well, and that $\Gamma \vdash (\forall i. \varphi) = 1$ if we have $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \varphi = 1$.

Sigma types

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : A, B)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B(a)}{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) : (x : A, B)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash z : (x : A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash z.1 : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash z : (x : A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash z.2 : B(z.1)}$$

Path types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_0 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_1 : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \langle i \rangle t : \text{Path } A \ t(i0) \ t(i1)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1}{\Gamma \vdash t \ 0 = a_0 : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1}{\Gamma \vdash t \ 1 = a_1 : A}$$

We define $1_a : \text{Path } A \ a \ a$ as $1_a = \langle i \rangle a$.

With these rules we also can justify function extensionality

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash p : (x : A) \rightarrow \text{Path } B \ (t \ x) \ (u \ x)}{\Gamma \vdash \langle i \rangle \lambda x : A. p \ x \ i : \text{Path } ((x : A) \rightarrow B) \ t \ u}$$

We also can justify the fact that any element in $(x : A, \text{Path } A \ a \ x)$ is equal to $(a, 1_a)$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : A \quad \Gamma \vdash p : \text{Path } A \ a \ b}{\Gamma \vdash \langle i \rangle (p \ i, \langle j \rangle p \ (i \wedge j)) : \text{Path } (x : A, \text{Path } A \ a \ x) \ (a, 1_a) \ (b, p)}$$

For justifying the transitivity of equality, we need A to have *composition operations*.

Partial elements

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \leq \psi \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash A}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \leq \psi \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash u : A}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash u : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vdash A_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, \psi_k \vdash A_k \quad \Gamma, \psi_i \wedge \psi_j \vdash A_i = A_j}{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k \vdash \psi_1 A_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k A_k}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vdash u_1 : A_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, \psi_k \vdash u_k : A_k \quad \Gamma, \psi_i \wedge \psi_j \vdash A_i = A_j \quad \Gamma, \psi_i \wedge \psi_j \vdash u_i = u_j : A_i}{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k \vdash \psi_1 u_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k u_k : \psi_1 A_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k A_k}$$

We can have $k = 0$ in which case we get a dummy element of type A in the context $\Gamma, 0$.

We also have $\psi_1 u_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k u_k = u_i : A$ if $\psi_i = 1$ and $\psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k \vdash u = \psi_1 u \vee \dots \vee \psi_k u$

If $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash u : A$ then $\Gamma \vdash a : A[\varphi \mapsto u]$ is an abbreviation for $\Gamma \vdash a : A$ and $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash a = u : A$. In this case, we see this element a as a witness that the partial element u (which is defined at least on the extent φ) is *connected*.

For instance if $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash u : A$ where $\varphi = (i = 0) \vee (i = 1)$ then the element u is determined by two element $\Gamma \vdash a_0 : A(i0)$ and $\Gamma \vdash a_1 : A(i1)$ and an element $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash a : A[\varphi \mapsto u]$ gives a path connecting a_0 and a_1 .

We may write $\Gamma \vdash a : A[\psi_1 \mapsto u_1, \dots, \psi_k \mapsto u_k]$ for $\Gamma \vdash a : A[\psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k \mapsto \psi_1 u_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_k u_k]$. This means that $\Gamma \vdash a : A$ and $\Gamma, \psi_i \vdash a = u_i : A$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Composition operations

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash u : A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_0 : A(i0)[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 : A(i1)[\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

Kan filling operation

We recover Kan filling operation

$$\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \text{fill}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 = \text{comp}^j A (i \wedge j) [\varphi \mapsto u(i \wedge j), (i = 0) \mapsto a_0] a_0 : A$$

The element $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash v = \text{fill}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 : A$ satisfies

$$\Gamma \vdash v(i0) = a_0 : A(i0) \quad \Gamma \vdash v(i1) = \text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 : A(i1) \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash v(i1) = u : A$$

Recursive definition of composition

The operation $\text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0$ is defined by induction on A .

Product type

In the case of a product type $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash (x : A) \rightarrow B = C$, we have $i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash \mu : C$ with and $\vdash \lambda_0 : C(i0)[\varphi \mapsto \mu(i0)]$ and we define, for $\vdash u_1 : A(i1)$

$$(\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto \mu] \lambda_0) u_1 = \text{comp}^i B(x = v) [\varphi \mapsto \mu v] (\lambda_0 u_0)$$

where $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash v = \text{fill}^i A(1 - i) [] u_1 : A$ and $u_0 = v(i0) : A(i0)$.

Path type

In the case of path type $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \text{Path } A u v = C$ we have $i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash \mu : C$ and $\vdash p_0 : C(i0)[\varphi \mapsto \mu(i0)]$. We define

$$\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto \mu] p_0 = \langle j \rangle \text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto \mu j, (j = 0) \mapsto u, (j = 1) \mapsto v] (p_0 j)$$

Sum type

In the case of a sigma type $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash (x : A, B) = C$ given $i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash w : C$ and $\vdash w_0 : C(i0)[\varphi \mapsto w(i0)]$ we define

$$\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto w] w_0 = (\text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto w.1] w_{0.1}, \text{comp}^i B(x = a) [\varphi \mapsto w.2] w_{0.2})$$

where $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash a = \text{fill}^i A [\varphi \mapsto w.1] w_{0.1} : A$.

Example

If $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A$, composition for $\varphi = 0$ corresponds to a transport function $A(i0) \rightarrow A(i1)$.

If I is an object of \mathcal{C} the lattice $\mathbb{F}(I)$ has a greatest element < 1 which is the disjunction of all $(i = 0) \vee (i = 1)$ for i in I . This element can be called the *boundary* of I . Composition w.r.t. this boundary gives the usual operation of Kan composition, which witnesses the existence of a lid for any open box.

Two derived operations

The first derived operation states that the image of a composition is path equal to the composition of the respective images.

Lemma 0.2 *If we have $\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \sigma : T \rightarrow A$, $\Delta \vdash \psi$ and $\Delta, \psi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash t : T$ with $\Delta \vdash t_0 : T(i0)[\psi \mapsto t(i0)]$ then we can build*

$$\Delta \vdash \text{pres}(\sigma, [\psi \mapsto t], t_0) : \text{Path } A(i1) (\text{comp}^i A [\psi \mapsto a] a_0) \sigma(i1) (\text{comp}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0)$$

where $\Delta \vdash a_0 = \sigma(i0) t_0 : A(i0)$ and $\Delta, i : \mathbb{I}, \psi \vdash a = \sigma t : A$. Furthermore, we have

$$\Delta, \psi \vdash \text{pres}(\sigma, [\psi \mapsto t], t_0) = \langle j \rangle a$$

We define the type of isomorphisms. Given $\Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow B$ and $\Gamma \vdash g : B \rightarrow A$ we have

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash u : (y : B) \rightarrow \text{Path } B (f (g y)) y \quad \Gamma \vdash v : (x : A) \rightarrow \text{Path } A (g (f x)) x}{\Gamma \vdash (f, g, u, v) : \text{Iso}(A, B)}$$

The second operation corresponds to the fact that any isomorphism defines an equivalence.

Lemma 0.3 *We have an operation*

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A) \quad \Delta, \delta \vdash t : T \quad \Delta \vdash a : A[\delta \mapsto \sigma t]}{\Delta \vdash \text{equiv}(\sigma, [\delta \mapsto t], a) : (x : T, \text{Path } A a (\sigma x))[\delta \mapsto (t, \langle j \rangle a)]}$$

Glueing

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash T \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)]) \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)]) = T}}{\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A) \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash t : T \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A[\varphi \mapsto \sigma t]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{glue}(a, [\varphi \mapsto t]) : \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)])[\varphi \mapsto t]}}$$

If $\sigma = (f, g, u, v)$ we write σa for $f a$.

Composition for glueing

Assume $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \varphi$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A)$. We write $B = \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)])$. Assume also $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \psi \vdash b = \text{glue}(a, [\varphi \mapsto t]) : B$ and $\Gamma \vdash b_0 = \text{glue}(a_0, [\varphi(i0) \mapsto t_0]) : B(i0)[\psi \mapsto b(i0)]$.

The goal is to build $\Gamma \vdash b_1 : B(i1)[\psi \mapsto b(i1)]$. Furthermore, we should have $b_1 = \text{comp}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0$ if $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \varphi = 1$.

We have $\Gamma, \psi \vdash a(i0) = a_0 : A(i0)$ and $\Gamma, \psi \wedge \varphi(i0) \vdash t(i0) = t_0 : T(i0)$. Furthermore $\Gamma, \varphi(i0) \vdash a_0 = \sigma(i0)t_0 : A(i0)$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash a = \sigma t : A$.

We define $a'_1 = \text{comp}^i A [\psi \mapsto a] a_0$ so that $\Gamma \vdash a'_1 : A(i1)$ and $\Gamma, \psi \vdash a'_1 = a(i1) : A(i1)$.

Take $\delta = \forall i. \varphi$. We have $\Gamma, \delta, \psi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash a = \sigma t$ and $\Gamma, \delta \vdash a_0 = \sigma(i0) t_0$. Hence, using Lemma 0.2

$$\Gamma, \delta \vdash \omega = \text{pres } \sigma [\psi \mapsto t] t_0 : \text{Path } A(i1) a'_1 (\sigma(i1) t'_1)$$

where $t'_1 = \text{comp}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0$. We can then define $a''_1 = \text{comp}^j A(i1) [\delta \mapsto \omega j, \psi \mapsto a(i1)] a'_1$ so that $\Gamma \vdash a''_1 : A(i1)$ and $\Gamma, \psi \vdash a''_1 = a(i1) : A(i1)$ and $\Gamma, \delta \vdash a''_1 = \sigma(i1) t'_1 : A(i1)$.

We have $\Gamma, \varphi(i1) \vdash \sigma(i1) : T(i1) \rightarrow A(i1)$ and $\Gamma \vdash a''_1 : A(i1)$ and $\Gamma, \delta \vdash a''_1 = \sigma(i1) t'_1$ and $\Gamma, \psi \wedge \varphi(i1) \vdash a''_1 = a(i1) = \sigma(i1) t(i1)$. Using Lemma 0.3 we get

$$t_1 = \text{equiv}(\sigma(i1), [\delta \mapsto t'_1, \psi \mapsto t(i1)], a''_1).1 \quad \alpha = \text{equiv}(\sigma(i1), [\delta \mapsto t'_1, \psi \mapsto t(i1)], a''_1).2$$

so that $\Gamma, \varphi(i1) \vdash t_1 : T(i1)$ and $\Gamma, \varphi(i1) \vdash \alpha : \text{Path } A(i1) a''_1 (\sigma(i1) t_1)$. We then define

$$a_1 = \text{comp}^j A(i1) [\varphi(i1) \mapsto \alpha j, \psi \mapsto a(i1)] a''_1 \quad b_1 = (a_1, [\varphi(i1) \mapsto t_1])$$

We have $\Gamma \vdash b_1 : B(i1)[\psi \mapsto b(i1)]$ as required and, if $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \varphi = 1$ we have $b_1 = \text{comp}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0$.

Identity types

We explain how to define an identity type with the required computation rule, following an idea due to Andrew Swan.

We define a new type $\text{Id } A \ a_0 \ a_1$ with the introduction rule

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash \omega : \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1 [\varphi \mapsto \langle i \rangle a]}{\Gamma \vdash (\omega, [\varphi \mapsto a]) : \text{Id } A \ a_0 \ a_1}$$

We define $(\omega, [\varphi \mapsto a]) \ i = \omega \ i : A$. Given $\Gamma \vdash \alpha : \text{Id } A \ a \ x$ we define $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \alpha^*(i) : \text{Id } A \ a \ (\alpha \ i)$

$$\alpha^*(i) = (\langle j \rangle \omega(i \wedge j), [\varphi \vee (i = 0) \mapsto a])$$

This is well defined since $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, (i = 0) \vdash \langle j \rangle \omega(i \wedge j) = \langle j \rangle a$ and $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash \langle j \rangle \omega(i \wedge j) = \langle j \rangle a$. We can now define $r(a) = (\langle j \rangle a, [1 \mapsto a]) : \text{Id } A \ a \ a$.

If we have $\Gamma, x : A, \alpha : \text{Id } A \ a \ x \vdash C$ and $\Gamma \vdash b : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash \beta : \text{Id } A \ a \ b$ and $\Gamma \vdash d : C[a, r(a)]$ we take, for $\beta = (\omega, [\varphi \mapsto a])$

$$J \ b \ \beta \ d = \text{comp}^i \ C[\beta \ i, \beta^*(i)] \ d \ [\varphi \mapsto d] : C[b, \beta]$$

and we have $J \ a \ r(a) \ d = d$ as desired.

If $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \text{Id } A \ a \ b$ and $p_0 = (\omega_0, [\psi_0 \mapsto a_0]) : \text{Id } A \ (i0) \ a \ (i0) \ b \ (i0)$ and $\varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash q = (\omega, [\psi \mapsto c]) : \text{Id } A \ a \ b$ such that $\varphi \vdash q(i0) = p_0$ we define $\text{comp}^i \ (\text{Id } A \ a \ b) \ [\varphi \mapsto q] \ p_0$ to be $(\gamma, [\varphi \wedge \psi(i1) \mapsto c(i1)])$ where

$$\gamma = \langle j \rangle \text{comp}^i \ A \ (p_0 \ j) \ [\varphi \mapsto q \ j, (j = 0) \mapsto a, (j = 1) \mapsto b]$$

Factorization

The same idea of Andrew Swan can be used to factorize a map

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \vdash G(f)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B [\varphi \mapsto f \ a]}{\Gamma \vdash (b, [\varphi \mapsto a]) : G(f)}$$

We define $p_G : G(f) \rightarrow B$ by $p_G(b, [\varphi \mapsto a]) = b$ and $c(a) = (f \ a, [1 \mapsto a])$ and we have a factorization of the map $f = p_G \circ c$.

The composition for $G(f)$ is defined by

$$\text{comp}^i \ G(f) \ [\varphi \mapsto (b, [\psi \mapsto a])] \ (b_0, [\psi_0 \mapsto a_0]) = (\text{comp}^i \ B \ [\varphi \mapsto b] \ b_0, [\varphi \wedge \psi(i1) \mapsto a(i1)])$$

Here is one application of the type $G(f)$. Suppose that we have a dependent type $D(v)$ ($v : B$) with a section $g(v) : C(v)$ ($v : B$) and $h(a) : C(f \ a)$ ($a : A$) with $\omega(a) : \text{Path } C(f \ a) \ g(f \ a) \ h(a)$ ($a : A$). We can define a new section $\tilde{g}(u) : C(p_G \ u)$ ($u : G(f)$) such that $\tilde{g}(c \ a) = h(a)$ ($a : A$). The definition is

$$\tilde{g}(b, [\varphi \mapsto a]) = \text{comp}^i \ C(b) \ g(b) \ [\varphi \mapsto \omega(a) \ i]$$

It can be checked that c has the lifting property w.r.t. any trivial fibrations. Also p_G is a trivial fibration, since $G(f)$ can be defined as the sigma type $(b : B, T_f(b))$ where $T_f(b)$ is the contractible type of element $\varphi \mapsto a$ with $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash a : A$ and $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash f \ a = b : B$.

Appendix 1: definition of the derived operations

A definition of equiv

We assume given

$$\Delta \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A) \quad \Delta \vdash \delta \quad \Delta, \delta \vdash t : T \quad \Delta \vdash a : A[\delta \mapsto f t]$$

where $\sigma = (f, g, u, v)$ and

$$\Delta \vdash u : (y : A) \rightarrow \text{Path } A (f (g y)) y \quad \Delta \vdash v : (x : T) \rightarrow \text{Path } T (g (f x)) x$$

We define

$$\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \theta = \text{fill}^i T [\delta \mapsto v t i] (g a) : T$$

so that $\theta(i0) = g a$ and $\delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \theta = v t i$. We write $\Delta \vdash t' = \theta(i1) : T$ such that $\Delta, \delta \vdash t' = t$.

We define next

$$\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \theta' = \text{comp}^j T [(i = 0) \mapsto g a, (i = 1) \mapsto v t' (1 - j), \delta \mapsto v t (i \wedge (1 - j))] \theta$$

so that $\theta'(i0) = g a$, $\theta'(i1) = g (f t')$ and $\delta \vdash \theta' = g (f t)$ and

$$\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \theta'' = \text{comp}^j A [(i = 0) \mapsto u a j, (i = 1) \mapsto u (f t') j, \delta \mapsto u (f t) j] (f \theta')$$

and we can take $\text{equiv}(\sigma, [\delta \mapsto t], a) = (t', \langle i \rangle \theta'')$.

A definition of pres

We assume given $\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \sigma : T \rightarrow A$, $\Delta \vdash \psi$ and $\Delta, \psi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash t : T$ with $\Delta \vdash t_0 : T(i0)[\psi \mapsto t(i0)]$. We define $\Delta \vdash a_0 = \sigma(i0) t_0 : A(i0)$ and $\Delta, i : \mathbb{I}, \psi \vdash a = \sigma t : A$, and

$$\Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u = \text{fill}^i A [\psi \mapsto a] a_0 : A \quad \Delta, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash v = \text{fill}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0 : T$$

We define then $\text{pres}(\sigma, [\psi \mapsto t], t_0) = \langle j \rangle \text{comp}^i A [\psi \mapsto \sigma t, (j = 0) \mapsto \sigma v, (j = 1) \mapsto u] a_0$

Appendix 2: self-contained operational semantics

We use $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ for the “faces”, irreducible elements of the distributive \mathbb{F} . If we restrict context as follows

$$\Gamma ::= () \mid \Gamma, x : A \mid \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \mid \Gamma, \alpha$$

then any partial element in such a context is equal to a total element. This follows from the fact that faces are irreducible element. To test a judgement in a context Γ , φ is then reduced to test the judgement in the context Γ, α for all irreducible component α of φ .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi : \mathbb{F}}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \text{ in } \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash i : \mathbb{I}} (i : \mathbb{I} \text{ in } \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : A) \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : (x : A) \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : A}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B(u)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : A, B)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash b : B(a)}{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) : (x : A, B)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash z : (x : A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash z.1 : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash z : (x : A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash z.2 : B(z.1)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_0 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_1 : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Path } a_0 \ a_1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \langle i \rangle t : \text{Path } A \ t(i0) \ t(i1)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1}{\Gamma \vdash t \ 0 = a_0 : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Path } A \ a_0 \ a_1}{\Gamma \vdash t \ 1 = a_1 : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I}, \varphi \vdash u : A \quad \Gamma \vdash a_0 : A(i0)[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 : A(i1)[\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

$$\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \text{fill}^i A [\varphi \mapsto u] a_0 = \text{comp}^j A (i \wedge j) [\varphi \mapsto u(i \wedge j), (i = 0) \mapsto a_0] a_0 : A$$

$$\text{For } i : \mathbb{I} \vdash C = (x : A) \rightarrow B$$

$$(\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto \mu] \lambda_0) u_1 = \text{comp}^i B(x = v) [\varphi \mapsto \mu \ v] (\lambda_0 u_0)$$

where $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash v = \text{fill}^i A(1 - i) [] u_1 : A$ and $u_0 = v(i0) : A(i0)$.

$$\text{For } i : \mathbb{I} \vdash C = \text{Path } A \ u \ v$$

$$\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto \mu] p_0 = \langle j \rangle \text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto \mu \ j, (j = 0) \mapsto u, (j = 1) \mapsto v] (p_0 \ j)$$

$$\text{For } i : \mathbb{I} \vdash C = (x : A, B)$$

$$\text{comp}^i C [\varphi \mapsto w] w_0 = (\text{comp}^i A [\varphi \mapsto w.1] w_0.1, \text{comp}^i B(x = a) [\varphi \mapsto w.2] w_0.2)$$

where $i : \mathbb{I} \vdash a = \text{fill}^i A [\varphi \mapsto w.1] w_0.1 : A$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash u : (y : B) \rightarrow \text{Path } B \ (f \ (g \ y)) \ y \quad \Gamma \vdash v : (x : A) \rightarrow \text{Path } A \ (g \ (f \ x)) \ x}{\Gamma \vdash (f, g, u, v) : \text{Iso}(A, B)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash T \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)]) \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)]) = T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \sigma : \text{Iso}(T, A) \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash t : T \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A[\varphi \mapsto \sigma t]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{glue}(a, [\varphi \mapsto t]) : \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)])[\varphi \mapsto t]}$$

For $\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash B = \text{glue}(A, [\varphi \mapsto (T, \sigma)])$ we define

$$\text{comp}^i B [\psi \mapsto \text{glue}(a, [\varphi \mapsto t])] \text{glue}(a_0, [\varphi(i0) \mapsto t_0]) = \text{glue}(a_1, [\varphi(i1) \mapsto t_1])$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1 & = \text{comp}^j A(i1) [\varphi(i1) \mapsto \alpha \ j, \psi \mapsto a(i1)] a_1'' & \Gamma \\ t_1 & = \text{equiv}(\sigma(i1), [\delta \mapsto t_1', \psi \mapsto t(i1)], a_1'').1 & \Gamma, \varphi(i1) \\ \alpha & = \text{equiv}(\sigma(i1), [\delta \mapsto t_1', \psi \mapsto t(i1)], a_1'').2 & \Gamma, \varphi(i1) \\ a_1'' & = \text{comp}^j A(i1) [\delta \mapsto \omega \ j, \psi \mapsto a(i1)] a_1' & \Gamma \\ \omega & = \text{pres } \sigma [\psi \mapsto t] t_0 & \Gamma, \delta \\ t_1' & = \text{comp}^i T [\psi \mapsto t] t_0 & \Gamma, \delta \\ a_1' & = \text{comp}^i A [\psi \mapsto a] a_0 & \Gamma \\ \delta & = \forall i. \varphi & \Gamma \end{array}$$

Appendix 3: propositional truncation

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inh } A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inc } a : \text{inh } A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash r : \mathbb{I}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{squash}(u_0, u_1, r) : \text{inh } A} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \text{inh } A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{squash}(u_0, u_1, 0) = u_0 : \text{inh } A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \text{inh } A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{squash}(u_0, u_1, 1) = u_1 : \text{inh } A} \\
\frac{\Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \text{inh } A}
\end{array}$$

We can then define two operations

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A(i0)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{transp } u_0 : \text{inh } A(i1)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{inh } A}{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash \text{squeeze } u : \text{inh } A(i1)}$$

satisfying

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{inh } A}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{squeeze } u)(i0) = \text{transp } u(i0) : \text{inh } A(i1)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{inh } A}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{squeeze } u)(i1) = u(i1) : \text{inh } A(i1)}$$

by the equations

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\text{transp } (\text{inc } a) & = & \text{inc } (\text{comp}^i A [] a) \\
\text{transp } (\text{squash}(u_0, u_1, r)) & = & \text{squash}(\text{transp } u_0, \text{transp } u_1, r) \\
\text{transp } (\text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) & = & \text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto \text{transp } u] (\text{transp } u_0) \\
\text{squeeze } (\text{inc } a) & = & \text{inc } (\text{comp}^j A(i \vee j) [(i = 1) \mapsto a(i1)] a) \\
\text{squeeze } (\text{squash}(u_0, u_1, r)) & = & \text{squash}(\text{squeeze } u_0, \text{squeeze } u_1, r) \\
\text{squeeze } (\text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) & = & \text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto \text{squeeze } u] (\text{squeeze } u_0)
\end{array}$$

Using these operations, we can define

$$\frac{\Gamma, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash A \quad \Gamma, \varphi, i : \mathbb{I} \vdash u : \text{inh } A \quad \Gamma \vdash u_0 : \text{inh } A(i0)[\varphi \mapsto u(i0)]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 : \text{inh } A(i1)[\varphi \mapsto u(i1)]}$$

by the equation

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{comp}^i [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0 = \text{hcomp}^i [\varphi \mapsto \text{squeeze } u] (\text{transp } u_0) : \text{inh } A(i1)$$

Given $\Gamma \vdash B$ and $\Gamma \vdash q : (x y : B) \rightarrow \text{Path } B x y$ and $f : A \rightarrow B$ we define $g : \text{inh } A \rightarrow B$ by the equations

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
g (\text{inc } a) & = & f a \\
g (\text{squash}(u_0, u_1, r)) & = & q (g u_0) (g u_1) r \\
g (\text{hcomp}^j [\varphi \mapsto u] u_0) & = & \text{comp}^j B [\varphi \mapsto g u] (g u_0)
\end{array}$$