

Alfa/Agda

Thierry Coquand

Formalization and answers by Thierry Coquand
<coquand@cs.chalmers.se>.

7.1 Statement

$\text{prime } p \rightarrow \text{noether } A \ (\text{multiple } p) \rightarrow \text{isNotSquare } p$

7.2 Definitions

Definition of noether

$\text{noether } (A \in \text{Set}, R \in \text{rel } A) \in \text{Type}$
 $\text{noether } A \ R \equiv$
 $(P \in \text{pred } A) \rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow ((y \in A) \rightarrow (R y x \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow P x$

Definition of multiple

$\text{multiple } (p \in A) \in \text{rel } A$
 $\text{multiple } p \equiv \lambda x y \rightarrow (p \cdot x) == y$

Definition of prime

$\text{prime} \in \text{pred } A$
 $\text{prime} \equiv \lambda p \rightarrow (x, y \in A) \rightarrow (p \mid (x \cdot y)) \rightarrow (p \mid x) \vee (p \mid y)$

Definition of isNotSquare

$\text{isNotSquare} \in \text{pred } A$
 $\text{isNotSquare} \equiv \lambda p \rightarrow (x, y \in A) \rightarrow \neg ((p \cdot \text{square } x) == \text{square } y)$

7.3 Proof

File noether.alfa

some general logical concepts and principles

$\text{rel } (A \in \text{Set}) \in \text{Type}$
 $\text{rel } A \equiv A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{pred } (A \in \text{Set}) \in \text{Type}$
 $\text{pred } A \equiv A \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{exists} \in (A \in \text{Set}, B \in A \rightarrow \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{exists} \equiv \lambda A B \rightarrow \text{data } \{ \text{Witness } (u \in A, v \in B u) \}$
 $\text{existsElim} \in (h1 \in \text{exists } A B, h2 \in (x \in A) \rightarrow B x \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C$
 $(A \in \text{Set}, B \in A \rightarrow \text{Set}, C \in \text{Set})$

$$\begin{aligned}
existsElim &\equiv \lambda h1 h2 \rightarrow \text{case } h1 \text{ of } \{ \text{Witness } uv \rightarrow h2 uv \} \\
\wedge (A, B \in Set) &\in Set \\
A \wedge B &\equiv \text{data } \{ \text{Pair}(a \in A, b \in B) \} \\
andElimLeft &\in (A \wedge B) \rightarrow A \quad (A, B \in Set) \\
andElimLeft &\equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{case } h \text{ of } \{ \text{Pair } ab \rightarrow a \} \\
andElimRight &\in (A \wedge B) \rightarrow B \quad (A, B \in Set) \\
andElimRight &\equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{case } h \text{ of } \{ \text{Pair } ab \rightarrow b \} \\
\vee (A, B \in Set) &\in Set \\
A \vee B &\equiv \text{data } \{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Inl}(a \in A) \\ \text{Inr}(b \in B) \end{array} \} \\
orElim &\in (A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B) \rightarrow C \quad (A, B, C \in Set) \\
orElim &\equiv \lambda h1 h2 h3 \rightarrow \text{case } h3 \text{ of } \{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Inl } a \rightarrow h1 a \\ \text{Inr } b \rightarrow h2 b \end{array} \} \\
noether (A \in Set, R \in rel A) &\in Type \\
noether A R &\equiv (P \in pred A) \rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow ((y \in A) \rightarrow (R y x \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow P x \\
\perp \in Set & \\
\perp &\equiv \text{data } \{ \} \\
\neg (A \in Set) &\in Set \\
\neg A &\equiv A \rightarrow \perp
\end{aligned}$$

The principle of infinite descent, following Fermat

$$\begin{aligned}
infiniteDescent (A \in Set, R \in rel A, P \in pred A) &\in \\
noether A R \rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow (P x \rightarrow exists A \lambda x1 \rightarrow R x1 x \wedge P x1) &\rightarrow (x \in A) \rightarrow \neg (P x) \\
infiniteDescent A R P &\equiv \lambda h1 h2 x \rightarrow h1 \lambda y \rightarrow \neg (P y) \lambda z h3 h4 \rightarrow \\
existsElim (h2 z h4) \lambda y h5 &\rightarrow h3 y \text{ (andElimLeft h5) (andElimRight h5) } x
\end{aligned}$$

File lem.alfa

```
import noether.alfa
```

Definition of commutative monoid; for the main proof, it will be the monoid \mathbb{N}^* for multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}
AbMonoid (A \in Set, == \in rel A) &\in Set \\
AbMonoid A (==) &\equiv \\
\text{sig } &\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ref \in (x \in A) \rightarrow x == x \\ sym \in (x, y \in A) \rightarrow (x == y) \rightarrow y == x \\ trans \in (x, y, z \in A) \rightarrow (x == y) \rightarrow (y == z) \rightarrow x == z \\ ss \in A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \\ cong \in (x1, x2, y1, y2 \in A) \rightarrow (x1 == x2) \rightarrow (y1 == y2) \rightarrow (x1 \cdot y1) == (x2 \cdot y2) \\ assoc \in (x, y, z \in A) \rightarrow (x \cdot (y \cdot z)) == ((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \\ comm \in (x, y \in A) \rightarrow (x \cdot y) == (y \cdot x) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

A beginning of the theory of commutative monoid

```
package ThAbMonoid (A \in Set, == \in rel A, m \in AbMonoid A (==))
with
  open m use ref, sym, trans, cong, \cdot, assoc, comm
  square (x \in A) \in A
  square x \equiv x \cdot x
```

$\text{multiple } (p \in A) \in \text{rel } A$
 $\text{multiple } p \equiv \lambda xy \rightarrow (p \cdot x) == y$
 $\text{congLeft} \in (y == z) \rightarrow (y \cdot x) == (z \cdot x) \quad (x, y, z \in A)$
 $\text{congLeft} \equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{cong } h (\text{ref } x)$
 $\text{congRight} \in (y == z) \rightarrow (x \cdot y) == (x \cdot z) \quad (x, y, z \in A)$
 $\text{congRight} \equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{cong } (\text{ref } x) h$
 $\text{lemma0} \in (x == z) \rightarrow (y == z) \rightarrow x == y \quad (x, y, z \in A)$
 $\text{lemma0} \equiv \lambda hh' \rightarrow \text{trans } h (\text{sym } y zh')$
 $\text{lemma2} \in (x == y) \rightarrow \text{square } x == \text{square } y \quad (x, y \in A)$
 $\text{lemma2} \equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{cong } h h$
 $\text{lemma3} \in (x \cdot (y \cdot z)) == (y \cdot (x \cdot z)) \quad (x, y, z \in A)$
 $\text{lemma3} \equiv \text{lemma0 assoc } (\text{trans assoc } (\text{congLeft comm}))$
 $\text{lemma4} \in (x \cdot (x \cdot \text{square } y)) == \text{square } (x \cdot y) \quad (x, y \in A)$
 $\text{lemma4} \equiv \text{trans } (\text{congRight lemma3}) \text{ assoc}$
 $\text{lemma5} \in ((p \cdot y1) == y) \rightarrow (p \cdot (p \cdot \text{square } y1)) == \text{square } y \quad (p, y, y1 \in A)$
 $\text{lemma5} \equiv \lambda h \rightarrow \text{trans lemma4 } (\text{lemma2 } h)$

File lem1.alfa

```
import lem.alfa
```

Cancelative abelian monoid; \mathbb{N}^* is cancelative for the multiplication

```

isCancel  $(A \in \text{Set}, == \in \text{rel } A, m \in \text{AbMonoid } A (==)) \in \text{Set}$   

isCancel  $A (==) m \equiv (x, y, z \in A) \rightarrow (m \cdot sszx == m \cdot sszy) \rightarrow x == y$   

package square  $(A \in \text{Set}, == \in \text{rel } A, m \in \text{AbMonoid } A (==), cancel \in \text{isCancel } A (==) m)$   

with  

  open m use ref, sym, trans, cong,  $\cdot$ , assoc, comm  

  open ThAbMonoid A (==) m use square, multiple  

  lemma1  $\in ((p \cdot u) == w) \rightarrow ((p \cdot v) == w) \rightarrow u == v \quad (p, u, v, w \in A)$   

  lemma1  $\equiv \lambda h2h3 \rightarrow \text{cancel } uv p ((\text{ThAbMonoid } A (==) m). \text{lemma0 } (p \cdot u) (p \cdot v) wh2h3)$   

  lemma2  $\in ((p \cdot \text{square } x) == \text{square } y) \rightarrow ((p \cdot y1) == y) \rightarrow (p \cdot \text{square } y1) == \text{square } x$   

   $(p, x, y, y1 \in A)$   

  lemma2  $\equiv \lambda h1h2 \rightarrow \text{lemma1 } ((\text{ThAbMonoid } A (==) m). \text{lemma5 } p y1 h2) h1$   

   $\exists \in \text{pred } A \rightarrow \text{Set}$   

   $\exists \equiv \text{exists } A$   

   $| \in \text{rel } A$   

   $| \equiv \lambda xy \rightarrow \exists z ((x \cdot z) == y)$   

  prime  $\in \text{pred } A$   

  prime  $\equiv \lambda p \rightarrow (x, y \in A) \rightarrow (p | (x \cdot y)) \rightarrow (p | x) \vee (p | y)$   

  lemma3  $\in \text{prime } p \rightarrow (p | \text{square } x) \rightarrow p | x \quad (p, x \in A)$   

  lemma3  $\equiv \lambda h1h2 \rightarrow \text{orElim } \lambda h \rightarrow h \lambda h \rightarrow h (h1 xx h2)$   

  lemma4  $\in \text{prime } p \rightarrow ((p \cdot \text{square } x) == \text{square } y) \rightarrow$   

 $\exists y1 (((p \cdot y1) == y) \wedge ((p \cdot \text{square } y1) == \text{square } x)) \quad (p, x, y \in A)$   

  lemma4  $\equiv \lambda h1h2 \rightarrow \text{let } \begin{cases} \text{rem} \in p | y \\ \text{rem} \equiv \text{lemma3 } h1 (\text{Witness } (\text{square } x) h2) \end{cases}$   

 $\text{in existsElim rem } \lambda y1 h3 \rightarrow \text{Witness } y1 (\text{Pair } h3 (\text{lemma2 } h2 h3))$ 

```

```

Square ∈ rel A
Square ≡ λ p x → ∃ y ((p · square x) == square y)

lemma5 (h2 ∈ prime p, x ∈ A, h3 ∈ Square p x) ≡ ∃ x1 (((p · x1) == x) ∧ Square p x1)
(p ∈ A)
lemma5 h2 x h3 ≡ existsElim h3 λ y h4 → existsElim (lemma4 h2 h4) λ y1 h5 →
let [ rem1 ∈ (p · y1) == y
      rem1 ≡ andElimLeft h5
      rem2 ∈ (p · square y1) == square x
      rem2 ≡ andElimRight h5 ] in existsElim (lemma4 h2 rem2) λ x1 h6 → let [ rem3 ∈ (p · x1) == x
      rem3 ≡ andElimLeft h6
      rem4 ∈ (p · square x1) == square y1
      rem4 ≡ andElimRight h6 ] in Witness x1 (Pair rem3 (Witness y1 rem4))

isNotSquare ∈ pred A
isNotSquare ≡ λ p → (x, y ∈ A) → ¬ ((p · square x) == square y)

theorem (p ∈ A) ∈ prime p → noether A (multiple p) → isNotSquare p
theorem p ≡
λ h1 h2 → let [ rem ∈ (x ∈ A) → ¬ (Square p x)
      rem ≡ infiniteDescent A (multiple p) (Square p) h2 (lemma5 h1)
      in λ x y h3 → rem x (Witness y h3)

```

This is the main result; it applies to the case where A is \mathbb{N}^* and p is 2, but it shows as well that any prime cannot be a square of a rational

7.4 System

What is the home page of the system?

`<http://www.cs.chalmers.se/~catarina/agda/>`

What are the books about the system? No book directly on the system, but it is inspired by:

Nordstrom, Petersson, Smith, *Programming in Type Theory*, Oxford University Press.

What is the logic of the system? Described in Per Martin-Löf, ‘An intuitionistic theory of types’, 1972. It can be found in:

G. Sambin and J. Smith (eds.), *25 years of constructive type theory*, Oxford University Press.

This is extended by general data type declaration and case notation.

What is the implementation architecture of the system? Described in `<http://www.cs.chalmers.se/~catarina/agda/>`.

What does working with the system look like? It feels like programming in a functional language with dependent types. The construction of the proof/program can be done interactively with place-holders/meta-variables. Actually the syntax is very close to the functional language Cayenne, designed by Lennart Augustsson, <<http://www.cs.chalmers.se/~augustss/cayenne/>>.

What is special about the system compared to other systems? Identification of proofs and functional programs with dependent types + meta-variables.

What are other versions of the system? A first version (1990) was written by Thierry Coquand (caml) and Lennart Augustsson (C). Then (1992) version Lena Magnusson (SML) and Johan Nordlander (C).

Alfa is the interface of the system. It has been written by Thomas Hallgren. There is also an Emacs interface, following ideas of Dan Synek, written by Catarina Coquand,

Who are the people behind the system? The meta-variable idea comes from Bengt Nordstrom, refined later by Thierry Coquand and Lena Magnusson. The previous version Half was designed by Thierry Coquand (gofer) and Dan Synek (C). The actual version is designed by Catarina Coquand (haskell).

What are the main user communities of the system? Peter Hancock and Anton Setzer.

What large mathematical formalizations have been done in the system? Localic version of Hahn-Banach was done by Jan Cederquist in Half.