

Upper confidence bound algorithms

Christos Dimitrakakis

EPFL

November 6, 2013

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bandit problems
 - UCB
- 3 Structured bandit problems
- 4 Reinforcement learning problems
 - Optimality Criteria
 - UCRL

The stochastic bandit problem

- A set of K bandits, actions $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, K\}$
- Expected reward of the i -th bandit: $\mu_i \triangleq \mathbb{E}(r_t \mid a_t = i)$.
- Maximise:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T r_t, \quad (2.1)$$

where T is arbitrary.

What is a good heuristic strategy?

Definition (Regret)

The **(total) regret** of a policy π relative to the optimal policy is:

$$L_T(\pi) \triangleq \sum_{t=1}^T r_t^* - r_t^\pi \quad (2.2)$$

Empirical average

$$\hat{\mu}_{t,i} \triangleq \frac{1}{n_{t,i}} \sum_{k=1}^t r_{k,i} \mathbb{I}\{a_k = i\}, \quad n_{t,i} \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^t \mathbb{I}\{a_k = i\}.$$

Algorithm 1 Optimistic initial values

Input \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}

$r_{\max} \triangleq \max \mathcal{R}$

for $t = 1, \dots$ **do**

$$u_{t,i} = \frac{n_{t-1,i} \hat{\mu}_{t-1,i} + r_{\max}}{n_{t-1,i} + 1}$$

$$a_t = \arg \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} u_{t,i}$$

end for

A simple analysis in the deterministic case

Consider the case where $r_{t,i} = \mu_{t,i}$ for all bandits.

- Then $u_{t,i} \geq \mu_j$ for all t, i .

A simple analysis in the deterministic case

Consider the case where $r_{t,i} = \mu_{t,i}$ for all bandits.

- Then $u_{t,i} \geq \mu_i$ for all t, i .
- At time t , we play i if $u_{t,i} \geq u_{t,j}$ for all j .

A simple analysis in the deterministic case

Consider the case where $r_{t,i} = \mu_{t,i}$ for all bandits.

- Then $u_{t,i} \geq \mu_i$ for all t, i .
- At time t , we play i if $u_{t,i} \geq u_{t,j}$ for all j .
- But $u_{t,j} \geq \mu_j$

A simple analysis in the deterministic case

Consider the case where $r_{t,i} = \mu_{t,i}$ for all bandits.

- Then $u_{t,i} \geq \mu_i$ for all t, i .
- At time t , we play i if $u_{t,i} \geq u_{t,j}$ for all j .
- But $u_{t,j} \geq \mu_j$
- If $\mu^* \triangleq \max_j \mu_j$, we play i at most

$$n_{t,i} \leq \frac{r_{\max}}{\Delta_i}$$

times, where $\Delta_i = \mu^* - \mu_i$.

A simple analysis in the deterministic case

Consider the case where $r_{t,i} = \mu_{t,i}$ for all bandits.

- Then $u_{t,i} \geq \mu_i$ for all t, i .
- At time t , we play i if $u_{t,i} \geq u_{t,j}$ for all j .
- But $u_{t,j} \geq \mu_j$
- If $\mu^* \triangleq \max_j \mu_j$, we play i at most

$$n_{t,i} \leq \frac{r_{\max}}{\Delta_i}$$

times, where $\Delta_i = \mu^* - \mu_i$.

- Since every time we play i we lose Δ_i , the regret is

$$L_T \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \Delta_i \frac{r_{\max} - \mu^*}{\Delta_i} = (K - 1)(r_{\max} - \mu^*)$$

Algorithm 2 UCB1

Input \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}

$$\hat{\mu}_{0,i} = r_{\max}, \forall i.$$

for $t = 1, \dots$ **do**

$$u_{t,i} = \hat{\mu}_{t-1,i} + \sqrt{2 \frac{\ln t}{n_{t-1,i}}}.$$

$$a_t = \arg \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} u_{t,i}$$

end for

Theorem (Auer et al [?])

The expected regret of UCB1 after T rounds is at most

$$c_1 \sum_{i:\mu_i < \mu^*} \left(\frac{\ln T}{\Delta_i} \right) + c_2 \sum_{j=1}^K \Delta_j$$

Proof.

First we prove that

$$\mathbb{E} n_{t,i} \leq O\left(\frac{\ln T}{\Delta_i^2}\right)$$

Then we note that the expected regret can be written as

$$\sum_{i:\mu_i < \mu^*} \Delta_i \mathbb{E} n_{t,i}$$

due to Wald's identity. □

Let $B_{t,s} = \sqrt{(2 \ln t)/s}$. Then we can prove $\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_{T,i} &= 1 + \sum_{t=K+1}^T \mathbb{I}\{a_t = i\} \\
 &\leq c + \sum_{t=K+1}^T \mathbb{I}\{a_t = i \wedge n_{t-1,i} \geq c\} \\
 &\leq c + \sum_{t=K+1}^T \mathbb{I}\left\{\hat{\mu}_{n_{t-1}^*}^* + B_{t-1,n_{t-1}^*} \leq \max_{n_i(t-1),i} \hat{\mu}_{n_i(t-1),i} + B_{t-1,n_i(t-1)}\right\} \\
 &\leq c + \sum_{t=K+1}^T \mathbb{I}\left\{\min_{0 < s < t} \hat{\mu}_s^* + B_{t-1,s} \leq \max_{c \leq s_i < t} \hat{\mu}_{s_i,i} + B_{t-1,s_i}\right\} \\
 &\leq c + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \sum_{s_i=c}^{t-1} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{\mu}_s^* + B_{t-1,s} \leq \hat{\mu}_{s_i,i} + B_{t-1,s_i}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_{t,s} = \sqrt{(2 \ln t)/s}$. Then we can prove $\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$n_{T,i} \leq c + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \sum_{s_j=c}^{t-1} \mathbb{I} \{ \hat{\mu}_s^* + B_{t-1,s} \leq \hat{\mu}_{s_j,i} + B_{t-1,s_j} \}$$

When the indicator function is true one of the following holds:

$$\hat{\mu}_s^* \leq \mu^* - B_{t,s} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{s_j,i} \geq \mu_i + B_{t,s_j} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mu^* < \mu_i + 2B_{t,s_j} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof idea

- Bound the probability of the first two events.
- Choose c to bound the last term.

From Hoeffding bound:

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_s^* \leq \mu^* - B_{t,s}) \leq e^{-4 \ln t} = t^{-4} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu}_{s_i,i} \geq \mu_i + B_{t,s_i}) \leq e^{-4 \ln t} = t^{-4} \quad (2.7)$$

Setting $c = \lceil (8 \ln n) / \Delta_i^2 \rceil$ makes the last event false as $s_i \geq c$.

$$\mu^* - \mu_i - 2B_{t,s_i} = \mu^* - \mu_i - 2\sqrt{(2 \ln t) / s_i} \geq \mu^* - \mu_i - \Delta_i = 0.$$

Summing up all the terms completes the proof.

Bandits and optimisation

- Continuous stochastic functions[? ? ?]
- Constrained deterministic distributed functions[?]

First idea[?]

Solve a sequence of discrete bandit problems.

At epoch i , we have some interval A_i

- Split the interval A_i in k regions $A_{i,j}$
- Run UCB on the k -armed bandit problem.
- When a region is sub-optimal with high probability, remove it!

Tree bandits [?]

Create a tree of coverings, with (h, i) being the i -th node at depth h . \mathcal{D} are the descendants and \mathcal{C} the children of a node.

At time t we pick node H_t, I_t . Each node is picked at most once.

$$n_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{I}\{(H_t, I_t) \in \mathcal{D}(h, i)\} \quad (\text{visits of } (h, i))$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \frac{1}{n_{h,i}(T)} \sum_{t=1}^T r_t \mathbb{I}\{(H_t, I_t) \in \mathcal{C}(h, i)\} \quad (\text{reward from } (h, i))$$

(child bound)

Tree bandits [?]

Create a tree of coverings, with (h, i) being the i -th node at depth h . \mathcal{D} are the descendants and \mathcal{C} the children of a node.

At time t we pick node H_t, I_t . Each node is picked at most once.

$$n_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{I}\{(H_t, I_t) \in \mathcal{D}(h, i)\} \quad (\text{visits of } (h, i))$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \frac{1}{n_{h,i}(T)} \sum_{t=1}^T r_t \mathbb{I}\{(H_t, I_t) \in \mathcal{C}(h, i)\} \quad (\text{reward from } (h, i))$$

$$C_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \hat{\mu}_{h,i}(T) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln T}{n_{h,i}(T)}} + nu_1 \rho^h \quad (\text{confidence bound})$$

$$B_{h,i}(T) \triangleq \min \left\{ C_{h,i}(T), \max_{(h+1,j) \in \mathcal{C}(h,i)} B_{h+1,j} \right\} \quad (\text{child bound})$$

Infinite horizon, discounted

Discount factor γ such that

$$U_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k} \quad (4.1)$$

Geometric horizon, undiscounted

At each step t , the process terminates with probability $1 - \gamma$:

$$U_t^T = \sum_{k=0}^{T-t} r_{t+k}, \quad T \sim \text{Geom}(1 - \gamma) \quad (4.2)$$

$$V_{\gamma}^{\pi}(s) \triangleq \mathbb{E}(U_t \mid s_t = s)$$

Infinite horizon, discounted

Discount factor γ such that

$$U_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E} U_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \mathbb{E} r_{t+k} \quad (4.1)$$

Geometric horizon, undiscounted

At each step t , the process terminates with probability $1 - \gamma$:

$$U_t^T = \sum_{k=0}^{T-t} r_{t+k}, \quad T \sim \text{Geom}(1 - \gamma) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E} U_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \mathbb{E} r_{t+k} \quad (4.2)$$

$$V_{\gamma}^{\pi}(s) \triangleq \mathbb{E}(U_t \mid s_t = s)$$

The expected total reward criterion

$$V_t^{\pi, T} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} U_t^T, \quad V^{\pi} \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T} \quad (4.3)$$

Dealing with the limit

- Consider μ s.t. the limit exists $\forall \pi$.

The expected total reward criterion

$$V_t^{\pi, T} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} U_t^T, \quad V^{\pi} \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T} \quad (4.3)$$

Dealing with the limit

- Consider μ s.t. the limit exists $\forall \pi$.

$$V_+^{\pi}(s) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} r_t^+ \mid s_t = s \right), \quad V_-^{\pi}(s) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} r_t^- \mid s_t = s \right) \quad (4.4)$$

$$r_t^+ \triangleq \max\{-r, 0\}, \quad r_t^- \triangleq \max\{r, 0\}. \quad (4.5)$$

The expected total reward criterion

$$V_t^{\pi, T} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} U_t^T, \quad V^{\pi} \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T} \quad (4.3)$$

Dealing with the limit

- Consider μ s.t. the limit exists $\forall \pi$.
- Consider μ s.t. $\exists \pi^*$ for which V^{π^*} exists and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi^*, T} = V^{\pi^*} \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T}.$$

The expected total reward criterion

$$V_t^{\pi, T} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} U_t^T, \quad V^{\pi} \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T} \quad (4.3)$$

Dealing with the limit

- Consider μ s.t. the limit exists $\forall \pi$.
- Consider μ s.t. $\exists \pi^*$ for which V^{π^*} exists and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi^*, T} = V^{\pi^*} \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} V^{\pi, T}.$$

- Use optimality criteria sensitive to the divergence rate.

The average reward (gain) criterion

The gain g

$$g^\pi(s) \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} V^{\pi, T}(s) \quad (4.4)$$

$$g_+^\pi(s) \triangleq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} V^{\pi, T}(s), \quad g_-^\pi(s) \triangleq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} V^{\pi, T}(s) \quad (4.5)$$

If $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(r_T \mid s_0 = s)$ exists then it equals $g^\pi(s)$.

Let Π be the set of all history-dependent, randomised policies.

π^* is **total reward optimal** if

$$V^{\pi^*}(s) \geq V^\pi(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

π^* is **discount optimal** for $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ if

$$V_\gamma^{\pi^*}(s) \geq V_\gamma^\pi(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

π^* is **gain optimal** if

$$g^{\pi^*}(s) \geq g^\pi(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

Overtaking optimality

π^* is **overtaking optimal** if

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[V^{\pi^*, T}(s) - V^{\pi, T}(s) \right] \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

However, no overtaking optimal policy may exist.

π^* is **average-overtaking optimal** if

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \left[V^{\pi^*, T}(s) - V_+^{\pi}(s) \right] \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

Sensitive discount optimality

π^* is **n-discount optimal** for $n \in \{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ if

$$\liminf_{\gamma \uparrow 1} (1 - \gamma)^{-n} \left[V_{\gamma}^{\pi^*}(s) - V_{\gamma}^{\pi}(s) \right] \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi.$$

A policy is **Blackwell optimal** if $\forall s, \exists \gamma^*(s)$ such that

$$V_{\gamma}^{\pi^*}(s) - V_{\gamma}^{\pi}(s) \geq 0, \quad \forall \pi \in \Pi, \gamma^*(s)\gamma < 1.$$

Lemma

If a policy is m-discount optimal then it is n-discount optimal for all $n \leq m$.

Lemma

Gain optimality is equivalent to -1 -discount optimality.

An upper-confidence bound algorithm

Confidence region M_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \notin M_t) < \delta \quad (4.6)$$

Optimistic value for policy π :

$$V_+^\pi(M_t) \triangleq \max \{ V_\mu^\pi \mid \mu \in M_t \} \quad (4.7)$$

An upper-confidence bound algorithm

Confidence region M_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \notin M_t) < \delta \quad (4.6)$$

Optimistic value for policy π :

$$V_+^\pi(M_t) \triangleq \max \{ V_\mu^\pi \mid \mu \in M_t \} \quad (4.7)$$

UCRL [?] outline

An upper-confidence bound algorithm

Confidence region M_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \notin M_t) < \delta \quad (4.6)$$

Optimistic value for policy π :

$$V_+^\pi(M_t) \triangleq \max \{ V_\mu^\pi \mid \mu \in M_t \} \quad (4.7)$$

UCRL [?] outline

- At round k , start time t_k , calculate M_{t_k} .

An upper-confidence bound algorithm

Confidence region M_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \notin M_t) < \delta \quad (4.6)$$

Optimistic value for policy π :

$$V_+^\pi(M_t) \triangleq \max \{ V_\mu^\pi \mid \mu \in M_t \} \quad (4.7)$$

UCRL [?] outline

- At round k , start time t_k , calculate M_{t_k} .
- Choose $\pi_k \in \arg \max_\pi V_+^\pi(M_{t_k})$.

An upper-confidence bound algorithm

Confidence region M_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \notin M_t) < \delta \quad (4.6)$$

Optimistic value for policy π :

$$V_+^\pi(M_t) \triangleq \max \{ V_\mu^\pi \mid \mu \in M_t \} \quad (4.7)$$

UCRL [?] outline

- At round k , start time t_k , calculate M_{t_k} .
- Choose $\pi_k \in \arg \max_\pi V_+^\pi(M_{t_k})$.
- Execute π_k , observe rewards and update model until t_{k+1} .

The confidence region

Let M_t be a set of plausible MDPs for time t with transitions τ s.t.:

$$\left\| \mathbf{P}(\cdot | s, a) - \hat{\mathbf{P}}_t(\cdot | s, a) \right\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{n \ln T}{N_t(s, a)}}, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_t(\cdot | s, a)$ is the empirical transition probability.

Then $\mathbb{P}(\mu \in M_t) > 1 - nkT^{-2}$, via a bound due to Weissman [?].

The confidence region

Let M_t be a set of plausible MDPs for time t with transitions τ s.t.:

$$\left\| \mathbf{P}(\cdot | s, a) - \hat{\mathbf{P}}_t(\cdot | s, a) \right\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{n \ln T}{N_t(s, a)}}, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_t(\cdot | s, a)$ is the empirical transition probability.

Then $\mathbb{P}(\mu \in M_t) > 1 - nkT^{-2}$, via a bound due to Weissman [?].

Changing set of plausible MDPs

- This implies that we may have to switch policies.
- We do so when $N_t(s, a)$ doubles for some s, a .

Calculating the upper bound

In effect, create an **augmented MDP**

$$Q_t(s, a) = r(s, a) + \max \left\{ \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P(s' | s, a) V_{t+1}(s') \mid \|P - \hat{P}\|_1 \leq \epsilon \right\} \quad (4.9)$$

$$V_t(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_t(s, a) \quad (4.10)$$

Comparison with Bayesian upper bound

High-probability value function bound

$$V_+^* = \max \{ V_\mu^* \mid \mu \in M_t \}, \quad \mathbb{P}(\mu^* \in M_t) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Highly credible value function bound

$$V_+^* = \max \{ V_\mu^* \mid \mu \in M_t \}, \quad \xi_t(M_t) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Bayesian value function bound (e.g. [?])

$$V_+^* = \int_{\mathcal{M}} V_\mu^* d\xi_t(\mu) \quad \xi_t = \xi_0(\cdot \mid s_t, r_t, \dots)$$