

# Syntactic Normalization Proofs

Andreas Abel

Department of Computer Science  
Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

ProgLog Seminar, Chalmers, Göteborg  
March 14, 2007

# Introduction

- Research: normalization proofs in Twelf.
- Twelf: higher-order abstract syntax.
- Comfortable variable handling, but no recursive functions.
- Only  $\Pi_2$  statements ( $\forall x \exists y A$ ).
- Termination orders: lexicographic extension of structural order, i.e.,  $< \omega^\omega$ .

# A Normalizer for Simply-Typed Lambda-Calculus

- A structurally recursive normalizer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nf}(x) &= x \\ \text{nf}(\lambda x:A.t) &= \lambda x:A. \text{nf}(t) \\ \text{nf}(r s) &= \text{nf}(r)@ \text{nf}(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \vec{w}@w &= x \vec{w} w \\ (\lambda x:A.v)@w &= [w^A/x]v \end{aligned}$$

- “Hereditary” substitution of one normal form into another always terminates.
- $[(\lambda y:A.\lambda z:B.w)^{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C}/x]x u v$  triggers two new substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} [u^A/y]\lambda z:B.w \\ [v^B/z]w' \end{aligned}$$

but  $A$  and  $B$  are smaller than  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ .

- $[w^A/x]v$  structurally recursive in  $(A, v)$ .

# Hereditary Substitutions

- Normalizing substitution of normal forms:  $[s^A/x]t$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [s^A/x]x &= s^A \\
 [s^A/x]y &= y && \text{if } x \neq y \\
 [s^A/x](\lambda y : B. r) &= \lambda y : B. [s^A/x]r && \text{where } y \text{ fresh for } s, x \\
 [s^A/x](t u) &= ([\hat{t}^B/y]r')^C && \text{if } \hat{t} = (\lambda y : B'. r')^{B \rightarrow C} \\
 &\hat{t} \hat{u} && \text{otherwise}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where } \hat{t} &= [s^A/x]t \\
 \hat{u} &= [s^A/x]u
 \end{aligned}$$

- Invariant:  $|B \rightarrow C| \leq |A|$  in line 4.

# Inductive Characterization of Strongly Normalizing Terms

- Following Joachimski and Matthes (2003)
- $\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A$  means  $t$  is *strongly normalizing of type A*.
- $\Gamma \vdash t \downarrow^x A$  means  $t$  is *sn and neutral of type A*.
- Rules:

$$\frac{(x:A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \downarrow^x A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow^x A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A}{\Gamma \vdash rs \downarrow^x B} \text{ sne\_app}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow^x A}{\Gamma \vdash r \uparrow A} \text{ sn\_ne}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t \uparrow B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t \uparrow A \rightarrow B} \text{ sn\_lam}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash [s/x]r \vec{s} \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.r) s \vec{s} \uparrow C} \text{ sn\_exp}$$

# Closure of S.N. Terms under Application

- Lemma: Let  $\mathcal{D} :: \Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A$ .
  - ① If  $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma \vdash r \uparrow A \rightarrow C$  then  $\Gamma \vdash rs \uparrow C$ .
  - ② If  $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma, x:A \vdash t \uparrow C$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [s/x]t \uparrow C$ .
  - ③ If  $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma, x:A \vdash t \downarrow^x C$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [s/x]t \uparrow C$   
and  $C$  is a subexpression of  $A$ .
  - ④ If  $\mathcal{E} :: \Gamma, x:A \vdash t \downarrow^y C$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [s/x]t \downarrow^y C$ .
- Proof: Simultaneously by main induction on type  $A$  (for part 3) and side induction on the derivation  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- Similar to Girard, Lafont and Taylor (1989): Lexicographic induction on highest degree (=type) of a redex and the number of redexes of highest degree.

# Intersection Types

- STL + additional typing rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash t : A \cap B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \cap B}{\Gamma \vdash t : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \cap B}{\Gamma \vdash t : B}$$

- Exactly the s.n. terms are typable.
- Additional rules for inductive characterization of s.n.:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n \downarrow^x A \cap B}{\Gamma \vdash n \downarrow^x A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash n \downarrow^x A \cap B}{\Gamma \vdash n \downarrow^x B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash t \uparrow B}{\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A \cap B}$$

# Closure under $\cap$ -Elimination

- Recap:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow^x A}{\Gamma \vdash r \uparrow A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t \uparrow B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t \uparrow A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash t \uparrow B}{\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A \cap B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash [s/x]r \vec{s} \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.r) s \vec{s} \uparrow C}$$

- Lemma:  $\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A_1 \cap A_2$  implies  $\Gamma \vdash t \uparrow A_i$ .
- Hereditary substitutions still work since all eliminations make type smaller.



# Term Rewriting

- Coquand and Spiwack (LICS'06) give a filter model for Martin-Löf's logical framework with term rewriting.
- Backend is an intersection type system.
- Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add } y \ 0 &\longrightarrow y \\ \text{add } y \ (\$x) &\longrightarrow \$(\text{add } y \ x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add} & : \quad 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & \cap \quad 0 \rightarrow \$0 \rightarrow \$0 \\ & \cap \quad \$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \$0 \\ & \cap \quad \$0 \rightarrow \$0 \rightarrow \$\$0 \\ & \cap \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

# Types Approximating Function Behavior

Ground types

$a, b, c \quad ::= \quad E$                       exception  
                   |  $0 \mid \$a$                     zero and successor singletons

Types

$A, B, C \quad ::= \quad a$                       ground type  
                   |  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (A_i \rightarrow B_i)$     finite funct. descr., all  $A_i$  different

- Intersection and subtyping definable.
- Measure:  $|a| = 0$  and  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} (A_i \rightarrow B_i)| = \max\{|A_i| + 1, |B_i| \mid i \in I\}$ .

## Typing

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 : 0} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : a}{\Gamma \vdash \$r : \$a}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : 0 \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{z} : C}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) : C} f(0) \longrightarrow \underline{z}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \$a \quad \Gamma, x : a \vdash \underline{s} : C}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) : C} f(\$x) \longrightarrow \underline{s}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : A}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) : E} A \neq 0, \$a$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : A \quad \Gamma \vdash r : B}{\Gamma \vdash r : A \cap B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r : A \quad A \subseteq B}{\Gamma \vdash r : B}$$

## What about our Termination Argument!?

- Neutral terms in STL: The types of the  $s_j$  in  $x s_1 \dots s_n$  are smaller than the type of  $x$ .
- With TR: The type of  $f(x)$  might be bigger than the type of  $x$ .
- Problematic for substituting into  $f(x) s_1 \dots s_n$ .
- Solution: Distinguish *atomic terms*  $x \vec{s}$  from *neutral terms*  $E[f(x \vec{s})]$ .
- Evaluation contexts:

$$E[] ::= [] \mid E[] s \mid f(E[]).$$

## S.N. Atomic and Neutral Terms

- SN: Atomic terms.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x \downarrow \Gamma(x)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (A_i \rightarrow B_i) \quad \Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A_j \text{ for all } j \in J}{\Gamma \vdash r s \downarrow \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j}$$

- SN: Neutral terms.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow A \quad A \subseteq B}{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow 0 \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{z} \vec{s} \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) \vec{s} \downarrow C} \quad f(0) \longrightarrow \underline{z}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \downarrow \$a \quad \Gamma, x:a \vdash \underline{s} \vec{s} \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) \vec{s} \downarrow C} \quad f(\$x) \longrightarrow \underline{s}$$

## S.N. Terms

- Neutral terms.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \Downarrow A \quad A \subseteq B}{\Gamma \vdash r \Uparrow B}$$

- Introductions.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A_i \vdash t \Uparrow B_i \text{ for all } i \in I}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x t \Uparrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (A_i \rightarrow B_i)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 \Uparrow 0} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \Uparrow a}{\Gamma \vdash \$r \Uparrow \$a}$$

- Blocked terms.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \Uparrow A}{\Gamma \vdash f(r) \Uparrow E} \quad A \neq 0, \$a \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash r \Uparrow E \quad \Gamma \vdash s \Uparrow A}{\Gamma \vdash r s \Uparrow E}$$

## S.N. Terms (continued)

- Weak head expansions.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash E[[s/x]t] \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash E[(\lambda xt) s] \uparrow C}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E[z] \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash E[f(0)] \uparrow C} f(0) \longrightarrow z$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r \uparrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash E[[r/x]s] \uparrow C}{\Gamma \vdash E[f(\$r)] \uparrow C} f(\$x) \longrightarrow \underline{s}$$

- Cannot treat higher-order datatypes like tree ordinals (yet!?)
- But sufficient for bar recursion example.

## Conclusion

- Technique extends also to predicative polymorphism.
- Current work: primitive recursion (needs ordinals up to  $\omega^\omega$ ).
- Leads into “Munich” proof theory (ordinal analysis).



# References

- Matthes, Joachimski, AML 2003: Syntactic normalization.
- Watkins et al, TYPES 2003: Hereditary subst.
- Schürmann, Sarnat: LR-Proofs in Twelf.