

Normalization by Evaluation for Martin-Löf Type Theory with Typed Equality Judgements

Andreas Abel¹
Thierry Coquand² Peter Dybjer²

¹Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich
²Chalmers University of Technology

Logic in Computer Science
Wrocław, Poland
10 July 2007

My Talk

- Dependent type theory basis for theorem provers (functional programming languages) Agda, Coq, Epigram, ...
- Intensional theory with predicative universes.
- Judgemental $\beta\eta$ -equality.
- Deciding type equality with Normalization-By-Evaluation.
- Semantic proof of decidability of typing.

Dependent Types

- Dependent function space:

$$\frac{r : \prod x:A. B[x] \quad s : A}{r s : B[s]}$$

- Types contain terms, type equality non-trivial.
- Shape of types can depend on terms:

$$\text{Vec } A \ n = \underbrace{A \times \dots \times A}_{n \text{ factors}}$$

- Type conversion rule:

$$\frac{t : A}{t : B} \quad A \cong B$$

- Deciding type checking requires injectivity of \prod

$$\prod x:A. B \cong \prod x:A'. B' \text{ implies } A \cong A' \text{ and } B \cong B'$$

Untyped β -Equality

- One solution: $A \cong B$ iff A, B have common β -reduct.
- Confluence of β makes \cong transitive.
- Injectivity of Π trivial.
- But we want also $\eta!$ E.g.
 - Theorem prover should not distinguish between $P(\lambda x. f x)$ and $P f$,
 - or between two inhabitants of a one-element type.
- The stronger the type equality, the more (sound) programs are accepted by the type checker.

Untyped $\beta\eta$ -Equality

- Try: $A \cong B$ iff A, B have common $\beta\eta$ -reduct.
- $\beta\eta$ -reduction (with surjective pairing) **only confluent on strongly normalizing terms**
- Proof of s.n. requires **model construction**
- ... which requires invariance of interpretation under reduction
- ... which requires **subject reduction**
- ... which requires **strengthening**
- ... **hard** to prove for pure type systems (van Benthem 1993)
- Even for untyped β , model construction difficult: Miquel Werner 2002: The not so simple proof-irrelevant model of CC

Typed $\beta\eta$ -Equality

- Introduce **equality judgement** $\vdash A = B$.
- Relies on term equality $\vdash t = t' : C$.
- Simplifies model construction considerably.
- Now injectivity of Π is hard.
- Goguen 1994: Typed Operational Semantics for UTT.
 - “Syntactical” model.
 - Shows confluence, subject reduction, normalization in one go.
 - Impressive, technically demanding work.
- This work: simpler argument, in the same spirit.
- Slogan: **semantics proves properties of syntax**. (Altenkirch 1994).

Deciding judgemental equality

Normalization function $\text{nf}^A(t)$.

- Completeness:
 $\vdash t = t' : A$ implies $\text{nf}^A(t) = \text{nf}^A(t')$ (syntactical equal).
- Soundness:
 $\vdash t : A$ implies $\vdash t = \text{nf}^A(t) : A$.

Syntax of Terms and Types

- Lambda-calculus with constants

$$r, s, t ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. t \mid r s$$

c	$::=$	N	type of natural numbers
		z	zero
		s	successor
		rec	primitive recursion
		Fun	function space constructor
		U	universe of small types

- $\Pi x:A. B$ is written $Fun A(\lambda x. B)$.

Judgements

- Essential judgements

$\Gamma \vdash A$	A is a well-formed type in Γ
$\Gamma \vdash t : A$	t has type A in Γ
$\Gamma \vdash A = A'$	A and A' are equal types in Γ
$\Gamma \vdash t = t' : A$	t and t' are equal terms of type A in Γ

- Typing of functions:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : \text{Fun } A(\lambda x.B)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \text{Fun } A(\lambda x.B) \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash rs : B[s/x]}$$

Rules for Judgmental Equality

- Equality axioms:

$$(\beta) \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) s = t[s/x] : B[s/x]}$$

$$(\eta) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Fun } A(\lambda x. B)}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t x) = t : \text{Fun } A(\lambda x. B)} \quad x \notin \text{FV}(t)$$

- Computation axioms for primitive recursion.
- Congruence rules.

Small and Large Types

- Small types (sets):

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{N} : \mathbf{U}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{U} \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : \mathbf{U}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Fun } A(\lambda x. B) : \mathbf{U}}$$

- \mathbf{U} includes types defined by recursion like $\text{Vec } A \ n$.
- (Large) types:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{U}}{\Gamma \vdash A} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{U}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Fun } A(\lambda x. B)}$$

λ -Model

- Consider a (total) combinatorial algebra D
- with constructors N, z, s, Fun, U .
- Evaluation $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho$: Standard.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket c \rrbracket_\rho &= c && (c \text{ constant}) \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket_\rho &= \rho(x) \\ \llbracket r s \rrbracket_\rho &= \llbracket r \rrbracket_\rho \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \\ \llbracket \lambda x. t \rrbracket_\rho d &= \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto d]} \end{aligned}$$

- Example: $\llbracket \text{Fun } A (\lambda x. B) \rrbracket = \text{Fun } X F$ where $X = \llbracket A \rrbracket$ and $F d = \llbracket B \rrbracket_{[x \mapsto d]}$.
- We enrich D with term variables:
- $\text{Up } u \in D$ for each neutral term $u ::= x \vec{v}$ (generalized variable).

Reification (Printing)

- Reification $\downarrow^X d$ produces a η -long β -normal term.

$$\downarrow^N z = z$$

$$\downarrow^N (s d) = s(\downarrow^N d)$$

$$\downarrow^N (\text{Up } u) = u$$

$$\downarrow^{\text{Up } u'} (\text{Up } u) = u$$

$$\downarrow^{\text{Fun } X F} f = \lambda x. \downarrow^{F(\uparrow^X x)} (f(\uparrow^X x)), \quad x \text{ fresh}$$

- Reflection $\uparrow^X u$ embeds a neutral term u into D , η -expanded.

$$(\uparrow^{\text{Fun } X F} u) d = \uparrow^{F d} (u \downarrow^X d)$$

$$\uparrow^X u = \text{Up } u$$

- Normalization of closed terms $\vdash t : A$

$$\text{nf}^A(t) = \downarrow^{[A]} \llbracket t \rrbracket.$$

PER Model

- A PER is a symmetric and transitive relation on D .
- Small types: define a PER \mathcal{U} and a PER $[X]$ for $X \in \mathcal{U}$.

$$\overline{N = N \in \mathcal{U}} \quad \overline{z = z \in [N]} \quad \frac{d = d' \in [N]}{s d = s d' \in [N]} \quad \frac{u \text{ neutral}}{\text{Up } u = \text{Up } u \in [N]}$$

$$\frac{u \text{ neutral}}{\text{Up } u = \text{Up } u \in \mathcal{U}} \quad \frac{u, u' \text{ neutral}}{\text{Up } u' = \text{Up } u' \in [\text{Up } u]}$$

$$\frac{X = X' \in \mathcal{U} \quad F d = F' d' \in \mathcal{U} \text{ for all } d = d' \in [X]}{\text{Fun } X F = \text{Fun } X' F' \in \mathcal{U}}$$

$$\frac{f d = f' d' \in [F d] \text{ for all } d = d' \in [X]}{f = f' \in [\text{Fun } X F]}$$

Modelling Large Types

- Large types: Define PER $\mathcal{T}ype$ and extend $[-]$ to $\mathcal{T}ype$.

$$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}ype$$

$$\frac{X = X' \in \mathcal{T}ype \quad F d = F' d' \in \mathcal{T}ype \text{ for all } d = d' \in [X]}{\text{Fun } X F = \text{Fun } X' F' \in \mathcal{T}ype}$$

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}ype} \quad [U] = \mathcal{U}$$

- PERs contain only **total** elements of \mathcal{D} .
- These can be printed (converted to terms).

Checking Semantic Equality

Lemma

Let $X = X' \in \mathcal{T}ype$.

- 1 $\uparrow^X u = \uparrow^{X'} u \in [X]$.
- 2 If $d = d' \in [X]$ then $\downarrow^X d =_\alpha \downarrow^{X'} d'$.

Proof.

Simultaneously by induction on $X = X' \in \mathcal{T}ype$. □

Completeness of NbE

Theorem (Validity of judgements in PER model)

Let $\rho(x) = \rho'(x) \in \llbracket \Gamma(x) \rrbracket_\rho$ for all x .

- If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho'} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_\rho$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash t = t' : A$ then $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket t' \rrbracket_{\rho'} \in \llbracket A \rrbracket_\rho$.

Corollary (Completeness of nf)

If $\vdash t = t' : A$ then $\text{nf}^A(t) =_\alpha \text{nf}^A(t')$.

Soundness remains: If $\vdash t : A$ then $\vdash t = \text{nf}^A(t) : A$.

Kripke Logical Relation

Relate well-typed terms modulo equality to inhabitants of PERs.

Lemma (Into and out of the logical relation)

- ① If $\Gamma \vdash r = u : C$ then $\Gamma \vdash r : C \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} \uparrow^X u \in [X]$.
- ② If $\Gamma \vdash r : C \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} d \in [X]$ then $\Gamma \vdash r = \downarrow^X d : C$.

Definition

$\Gamma \vdash r : C \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} d \in [X] : \iff \Gamma \vdash r = \downarrow^X d : C$ for X base type,

$\Gamma \vdash r : C \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} f \in [\text{Fun } X F] : \iff$
 $\Gamma \vdash C = \text{Fun } A (\lambda x. B)$ for some A, B and
 for all $\Delta \geq \Gamma$ and $\Delta \vdash s : A \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} d \in [X]$,
 $\Delta \vdash r s : B[s/x] \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} f d \in [F d]$.

Soundness of NbE

- Prove the fundamental theorem.
- Corollary: $\vdash t : A$ implies $\vdash t : A \textcircled{\mathbb{R}} \llbracket t \rrbracket \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
- Escaping the log.rel.: $\vdash t = \downarrow^{\llbracket A \rrbracket} \llbracket t \rrbracket : A$.
- Hence, nf is also sound.
- Decidability of judgemental equality entails injectivity of Π .

Conclusion

- Semantic metatheory of Martin-Löf Type Theory.
- Inference rules directly justified by PER model.
- No need to prove strengthening, subject reduction, confluence, normalization.
- Future work:
 - Extend to Σ -types, singleton-types, proof-irrelevance.
 - Adopt to syntax of categories-with-families (de Bruijn indices and explicit substitutions).

Related Work

- Martin-Löf 1975: NbE for Type Theory (weak conversion)
- Martin-Löf 2004: Talk on NbE (philosophical justification)
- Danvy et al: Type-directed partial evaluation
- Altenkirch Hofmann Streicher 1996: NbE for λ -free System F
- Berger Eberl Schwichtenberg 2003: Term rewriting for NbE
- Aehlig Joachimski 2004: Untyped NbE, operationally
- Filinski Rohde 2004: Untyped NbE, denotationally
- Danielsson 2006: strongly typed NbE for LF
- Altenkirch Chapman 2007: Tait in one big step

Special thanks to Klaus Aehlig.