

Normalization by Evaluation (NbE) Techniques and Abstract Values

Andreas Abel¹, Thierry Coquand², Ulf Norell²

¹Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

²Chalmers University of Technology

Curry-Howard Implementation Techniques 2006

December 19, 2006

Normalization by Evaluation

- Evaluate term t , obtain value $v = \llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho \in \text{Val}$.
- Val contains the variables and neutral terms.
- Reify v to a normal form.
- **Semantical type-checking** is NbE, without reification.

The Language

- Set Tm of raw terms:

$$r, s, t, A, B, C ::= x \mid \lambda x t \mid r s \mid \Pi x:A. B \mid Type$$

- Judgements

$\Gamma \vdash$	Γ is a well-formed context
$\Gamma \vdash A$	A is a well-formed type
$\Gamma \vdash t : A$	t has type A

- Wellformed types

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash Type}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : Type}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x:A. B}$$

Typing Rules

- Lambda-calculus

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x t : \Pi x:A. B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : \Pi x:A. B \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash r s : B[s/x]}$$

- Π in *Type*, conversion:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{Type} \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : \text{Type}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x:A. B : \text{Type}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A'}{\Gamma \vdash t : A'} \quad A =_{\beta\eta} A'$$

Evaluation, Abstractly

- λ -model: \mathbb{D} with application \cdot and evaluation $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho$
- Computation law for application

$$\llbracket \lambda x t \rrbracket_\rho \cdot v = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto v]}$$

- Computation laws for evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x \rrbracket_\rho &= \rho(x) \\ \llbracket r s \rrbracket_\rho &= \llbracket r \rrbracket_\rho \cdot \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \end{aligned}$$

- Substitution law: $\llbracket t[s/x] \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho]}$
- (Ir)relevance law: $\llbracket t \rrbracket_\rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho'}$ if $\rho(x) = \rho'(x)$ for all $x \in \text{FV}(t)$.

Values as Scott Domain

- Variables and neutral objects

$$\frac{v_1, \dots, v_k \in \text{Val}}{x v_1 \dots v_k \in \text{Val}}$$

- Canonical objects, using functions of the Metalanguage

$$\frac{f \in \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val}}{\text{Lam } f \in \text{Val}}$$

$$\frac{v \in \text{Val} \quad f \in \text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val}}{\text{Pi } v f \in \text{Val}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{Type} \in \text{Val}}$$

Evaluation, Concretely

- Application

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Lam } f) \cdot v &= f(v) \\ x \vec{v} \cdot v &= x(\vec{v}, v) \end{aligned}$$

- Evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x \rrbracket_\rho &= \rho(x) \\ \llbracket r s \rrbracket_\rho &= \llbracket r \rrbracket_\rho \cdot \llbracket s \rrbracket_\rho \\ \llbracket \lambda x t \rrbracket_\rho &= \text{Lam } f && \text{where } f(d) = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto d]} \\ \llbracket \Pi x : A. B \rrbracket_\rho &= \text{Pi } \llbracket A \rrbracket_\rho g && \text{where } g(d) = \llbracket B \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto d]} \\ \llbracket \text{Type} \rrbracket_\rho &= \text{Type} \end{aligned}$$

- $\llbracket t \rrbracket := \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho_0}$ with $\rho_0(x) = x$.

Semantical Type-Checking

- Bidirectional.
- Use evaluation instead of rewriting.
- Subject (context Δ , type V) is evaluated.
- Object (term) is raw.
- Each object is checked before evaluation.
- Only evaluation **creates** values.
- All other operations just take values apart.

Type Checking Rules

- Inference: $\Delta \vdash t \downarrow V$ (V is output).

$$\frac{}{\Delta \vdash x \downarrow \Delta(x)} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash r \downarrow \text{Pi } V F \quad \Delta \vdash s \uparrow V}{\Delta \vdash r s \downarrow F \cdot \llbracket s \rrbracket}$$

- Checking: $\Delta \vdash t \uparrow V$ (only inputs).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash t \downarrow V \quad \Delta \vdash V = V' \uparrow \text{Type}}{\Delta \vdash t \uparrow V'} \quad \frac{\Delta, x: V \vdash t \uparrow F \cdot x}{\Delta \vdash \lambda x t \uparrow \text{Pi } V F}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash A \uparrow \text{Type} \quad \Delta, x: \llbracket A \rrbracket \vdash B \uparrow \text{Type}}{\Delta \vdash \Pi x: A. B \uparrow \text{Type}} \quad \frac{}{\Delta \vdash \text{Type} \uparrow \text{Type}}$$

Bidirectional η -Equality on Semantics

- Structural: $\Delta \vdash v = v' \downarrow V$ (V is output).

$$\frac{}{\Delta \vdash x = x \downarrow \Delta(x)} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash v = v' \downarrow \text{Pi } V F \quad \Delta \vdash w = w' \uparrow V}{\Delta \vdash v w = v' w' \downarrow F \cdot v}$$

- Type-directed: $\Delta \vdash v = v' \uparrow V$ (only inputs).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash v = v' \downarrow V \quad \Delta \vdash V = V' \uparrow \text{Type}}{\Delta \vdash v = v' \uparrow V'}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, x: V \vdash v \cdot x = v' \cdot x \uparrow F \cdot x}{\Delta \vdash v = v' \uparrow \text{Pi } V F} \quad \frac{}{\Delta \vdash \text{Type} = \text{Type} \uparrow \text{Type}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash V = V' \uparrow \text{Type} \quad \Delta, x: V \vdash F \cdot x = F' \cdot x \uparrow \text{Type}}{\Delta \vdash \text{Pi } V F = \text{Pi } V' F' \uparrow \text{Type}}$$

On Higher-Order Values

- Values with embedded functions $\text{Val} \rightarrow \text{Val}$ give elegant type-checking.
- But scale badly to local definitions, metavariables...
- Survived in Agdalight for just one year.

Abstract Values

- Benjamin Gregoire: compiling terms in Coq.
- Keep values **abstract**!
- **View:** $u ::= x \vec{v} \mid \text{Lam } f \mid \text{Pi } V F \mid \text{Type}$.
- Saves clear type-checking design.
- Values could be implemented as closures (Coquand 1996):

$$v ::= x \vec{v} \mid t\rho \quad (t ::= \lambda xt \mid \Pi x:A. B \mid \text{Type})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{view } (\lambda xt)\rho &= \text{Lam } (v \mapsto \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto v]}) \\ \text{view } (\Pi x:A. B)\rho &= \text{Pi } \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\rho} (v \mapsto \llbracket B \rrbracket_{\rho[x \mapsto v]}) \\ \text{view } (\text{Type})\rho &= \text{Type} \end{aligned}$$

Conclusions

- Values-as-functions allow clear type-checking design.
- But should only be a **view** on the values.
- Closures are more flexible (initial model?).