

Lecture 1: **Simple and Polymorphic Type Theory**

1

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x^\sigma. \lambda y^\tau. x &: \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \\ \lambda x^{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}. \lambda y^{\beta \rightarrow \gamma}. \lambda z^\alpha. y(xz) &: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \lambda x^\alpha. \lambda y^{(\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha}. y(\lambda z^\beta. x) &: \alpha \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \end{aligned}$$

For every type there is a term of that type:

$$x^\sigma : \sigma$$

Not for every type there is a **closed term** of that type:

$$(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \text{ is not } \mathbf{inhabited}$$

3

Simplest system:  $\lambda \rightarrow$  just **arrow types**

$$\text{Typ} := \text{TVar} \mid (\text{Typ} \rightarrow \text{Typ})$$

- Examples:  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$
- Brackets associate to the right and outside brackets are omitted:  
 $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$
- Types are denoted by  $\sigma, \tau, \dots$

**Terms:**

- typed variables  $x_1^\sigma, x_2^\sigma, \dots$ , countably many for every  $\sigma$ .
- application: if  $M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  and  $N : \sigma$ , then  $(MN) : \tau$
- abstraction: if  $P : \tau$ , then  $(\lambda x^\sigma. P) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

2

Formulation with **contexts** to declare the free variables:

$$x_1 : \sigma_1, x_2 : \sigma_2, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$$

is a **context**, usually denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

**Derivation rules** of  $\lambda \rightarrow$ :

$$\frac{x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash P : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma. P : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

$\Gamma \vdash_{\lambda \rightarrow} M : \sigma$  if there is a derivation using these rules with conclusion  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$

4

Typical problems one would like to have an **algorithm** for:

$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma?$  Type Checking Problem TCP  
 $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$  Type Synthesis Problem TSP  
 $\vdash ? : \sigma$  Type Inhabitation Problem (by a **closed** term) TIP

5

**Formulas-as-Types** (Curry, Howard):

There are **two readings** of a judgement  $M : \sigma$

1. term as **algorithm/program**, type as **specification**:  
 $M$  is a function of type  $\sigma$
2. type as a **proposition**, term as its **proof**:  
 $M$  is a proof of the proposition  $\sigma$

7

Typical problems one would like to have an **algorithm** for:

$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma?$  Type Checking Problem TCP  
 $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$  Type Synthesis Problem TSP  
 $\vdash ? : \sigma$  Type Inhabitation Problem (by a **closed** term) TIP

For  $\lambda \rightarrow$ , all these problems are **decidable**.

Remarks:

- TCP and TSP are (usually) equivalent:  
To solve  $MN : \sigma$ , one has to solve  $N : ?$  (and if this gives answer  $\tau$ , solve  $M : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ ).
- TIP is undecidable for most extensions of  $\lambda \rightarrow$ , as it corresponds to **provability** in some logic.

6

**Formulas-as-Types** (Curry, Howard):

There are **two readings** of a judgement  $M : \sigma$

1. term as **algorithm/program**, type as **specification**:  
 $M$  is a function of type  $\sigma$
2. type as a **proposition**, term as its **proof**:  
 $M$  is a proof of the proposition  $\sigma$

- There is a **one-to-one correspondence** between
  - **typable terms** in  $\lambda \rightarrow$
  - **derivations** in minimal proposition logic
- The judgement

$$x_1 : \tau_1, x_2 : \tau_2, \dots, x_n : \tau_n \vdash M : \sigma$$

can be read as

$M$  is a **proof** of  $\sigma$  from the **assumptions**  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ .

8

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma]^3 \quad [\alpha]^1}{\beta \rightarrow \gamma} \quad \frac{[\alpha \rightarrow \beta]^2 \quad [\alpha]^1}{\beta}}{\frac{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 1}{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 2} 3}{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 3} \simeq \lambda x: \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma. \lambda y: \alpha \rightarrow \beta. \lambda z: \alpha. xz(yz) : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$$

9

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma]^3 \quad [\alpha]^1}{\beta \rightarrow \gamma} \quad \frac{[\alpha \rightarrow \beta]^2 \quad [\alpha]^1}{\beta}}{\frac{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 1}{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 2} 3}{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 3} \simeq \lambda x: \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma. \lambda y: \alpha \rightarrow \beta. \lambda z: \alpha. xz(yz) : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$$

10

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[x: \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma]^3 \quad [z: \alpha]^1}{xz: \beta \rightarrow \gamma} \quad \frac{[y: \alpha \rightarrow \beta]^2 \quad [z: \alpha]^1}{yz: \beta}}{\frac{\frac{xz(yz): \gamma}{\lambda z: \alpha. xz(yz): \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 1}{\lambda y: \alpha \rightarrow \beta. \lambda z: \alpha. xz(yz): (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 2} 3}{\lambda x: \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma. \lambda y: \alpha \rightarrow \beta. \lambda z: \alpha. xz(yz): (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma} 3}$$

Computation:

- **$\beta$ -reduction:**  $(\lambda x: \sigma. M)P \rightarrow_{\beta} M[P/x]$
- **$\eta$ -reduction:**  $\lambda x: \sigma. Mx \rightarrow_{\eta} M$  if  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$

Cut-elimination in minimal logic =  **$\beta$ -reduction** in  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[\sigma]^1}{\mathcal{D}_1} \quad \frac{\tau}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} 1 \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{\sigma}}{\tau} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{\sigma} \quad \mathcal{D}_1}{\frac{[x: \sigma]^1}{\mathcal{D}_1} \quad \frac{M: \tau}{M: \sigma \rightarrow \tau} 1 \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{P: \sigma}}{(\lambda x: \sigma. M)P: \tau} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{P: \sigma} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{M[P/x]: \tau}}$$

11

Properties of  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

- **Uniqueness of types**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , then  $\sigma = \tau$ .
- **Subject Reduction**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta\eta} N$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ .
- **Strong Normalization**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ , then all  $\beta\eta$ -reductions from  $M$  terminate.

12

Properties of  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

- **Uniqueness of types**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , then  $\sigma = \tau$ .
- **Subject Reduction**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta\eta} N$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ .
- **Strong Normalization**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ , then all  $\beta\eta$ -reductions from  $M$  terminate.
- **Substitution property**  
If  $\Gamma, x : \tau, \Delta \vdash M : \sigma$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash P : \tau$ , then  $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash M[P/x] : \sigma$ .
- **Thinning**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ , then  $\Delta \vdash M : \sigma$ .
- **Strengthening**  
If  $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ .

13

**Strong Normalization** of  $\beta$  for  $\lambda \rightarrow$  à la Curry is proved by constructing a **model** of  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

**Definition**

- $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket := \text{SN}$  (the set of strongly normalizing  $\lambda$ -terms).
- $\llbracket \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket := \{M \mid \forall N \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket (MN \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket)\}$ .

**Lemma** (both by induction on  $\sigma$ )

- $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \subseteq \text{SN}$
- If  $M[N/x]\vec{P} \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ ,  $N \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ , then  $(\lambda x.M)N\vec{P} \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .

**Proposition**

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n \vdash M : \sigma \\ N_1 \in \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket, \dots, N_n \in \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow M[N_1/x_1, \dots, N_n/x_n] \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$$

**Proof** By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ .

**Corollary**  $\lambda \rightarrow$  is SN

**Proof** By taking  $N_i := x_i$  in the Proposition.

15

**Strong Normalization** of  $\beta$  for  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

**Note:**

- Terms may get **larger** under reduction  
 $(\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx))P \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x.P(Px)$
- Redexes may get **multiplied** under reduction.  
 $(\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx))((\lambda y.M)Q) \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x.((\lambda y.M)Q)((\lambda y.M)Q)x$
- New redexes may be **created** under reduction.  
 $(\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx))(\lambda y.N) \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda x.(\lambda y.N)((\lambda y.N)x)$

14

## Polymorphic $\lambda$ -calculus

Why Polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus?

- Simple type theory  $\lambda \rightarrow$  is not very expressive
- In simple type theory, we can not 'reuse' a function.  
E.g.  $\lambda x:\alpha.x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\lambda x:\beta.x : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ .

We want to define functions that can treat types **polymorphically**:

add types  $\forall \alpha.\sigma$ :

Examples

- $\forall \alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$   
If  $M : \forall \alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $M$  can map any type to itself.
- $\forall \alpha.\forall \beta.\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$   
If  $M : \forall \alpha.\forall \beta.\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $M$  can take two inputs (of arbitrary types) and return a value of the first input type.

16

Derivation rules of  $\lambda 2$ :

Full (system F-style) polymorphism:

$$\text{Typ} := \text{TVar} \mid (\text{Typ} \rightarrow \text{Typ}) \mid \forall \alpha. \text{Typ}.$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha. M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \quad \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ any type}$$

Examples:

- $\lambda \alpha. \lambda \beta. \lambda x : \alpha. \lambda y : \beta. x : \forall \alpha. \forall \beta. \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha.$
- $\lambda x : (\forall \alpha. \alpha). \lambda y : \sigma. x \tau : (\forall \alpha. \alpha) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau.$
- $\lambda x : (\forall \alpha. \alpha). x (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) (x \sigma) : (\forall \alpha. \alpha) \rightarrow \tau.$

17

Formulas-as-types for  $\lambda 2$ :

There is a **formulas-as-types** isomorphism between  $\lambda 2$  and **second order proposition logic**, PROP2

Derivation rules of PROP2:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \forall \alpha. \sigma} \quad \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma[\tau/\alpha]}$$

**NB** This is **constructive** second order proposition logic:

$$\forall \alpha. \forall \beta. ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \text{ Peirce's law}$$

is **not derivable**.

19

Recall: Important Properties

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma? & \text{TCP} \\ \Gamma \vdash M : ? & \text{TSP} \\ \vdash ? : \sigma & \text{TIP} \end{array}$$

Properties of  $\lambda 2$

- TIP is **undecidable**,
- TCP and TSP are equivalent & decidable.

18

Definability of the other connectives:

$$\begin{array}{l} \perp := \forall \alpha. \alpha \\ \sigma \wedge \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \\ \sigma \vee \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \\ \exists \alpha. \sigma := \forall \beta. (\forall \alpha. \sigma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \end{array}$$

and all the standard constructive derivation rules are derivable.

Example ( $\wedge$ -elimination):

$$\frac{\forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \quad \frac{[\sigma]^1}{\tau \rightarrow \sigma} 1}{(\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{\sigma}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} 1$$

**Idea:**

The definition of a connective is an encoding of the **elimination** rule.

20

## Data types in $\lambda 2$

$$\text{Nat} := \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

This type can be used as the type of **natural numbers**, using the encoding of  $\mathbb{N}$  as **Church numerals** in the  $\lambda$ -calculus.

$$n \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda f. f(\dots(fx)) \quad n\text{-times } f$$

- $0 := \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. x$
- $S := \lambda n: \text{Nat}. \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. f(n \alpha x f)$
- **Iteration**: if  $c: \sigma$  and  $g: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ , then define **It c g** :  $\text{Nat} \rightarrow \sigma$  as

$$\lambda n: \text{Nat}. n \sigma c g$$

Then

$$\text{It c g } n = g(\dots(gc)) \quad (n \text{ times } g)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Define  $+$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\dots$  using iteration.

21

## Strong Normalization of $\beta$ for $\lambda 2$ .

Note:

- There are two kinds of  $\beta$ -reductions
  - $(\lambda x: \sigma. M)P \rightarrow_{\beta} M[P/x]$
  - $(\lambda \alpha. M)\tau \rightarrow_{\beta} M[\tau/\alpha]$
- The second doesn't do any harm: we can just look at the underlying **untyped**  $\lambda$ -terms

Recall the proof for  $\lambda \rightarrow$ :

- $[\alpha] := \text{Term}(\alpha) \cap \text{SN}$ .
- $[\sigma \rightarrow \tau] := \{M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \mid \forall N \in [\sigma] (MN \in [\tau])\}$ .

Question:

How to define  $[\forall \alpha. \sigma]$  ??

$$[\forall \alpha. \sigma] := \prod_{X \in U} [\sigma]_{\alpha := X}??$$

23

## Properties of $\lambda 2$ .

- **Uniqueness of types**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , then  $\sigma = \tau$ .
- **Subject Reduction**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta\eta} N$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ .
- **Strong Normalization**  
If  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ , then all  $\beta\eta$ -reductions from  $M$  terminate.

22

## Strong Normalization of $\beta$ for $\lambda 2$ .

Question:

How to define  $[\forall \alpha. \sigma]$  ??

$$[\forall \alpha. \sigma] := \prod_{X \in U} [\sigma]_{\alpha := X}??$$

- What is  $U$ ?  
The collection of all 'possible' interpretations of types (?)
- $\prod_{X \in U} [\sigma]_{\alpha := X}$  may get very (too?) big.

Girard:

- $[\forall \alpha. \sigma]$  should be **small**

$$\bigcap_{X \in U} [\sigma]_{\alpha := X}$$

- Characterization of  $U$ .

24

$U := \text{SAT}$ , the collection of **saturated sets** of (untyped)  $\lambda$ -terms.

$X \subset \Lambda$  is **saturated** if

- $xP_1 \dots P_n \in X$  (for all  $x \in \text{Var}$ ,  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \text{SN}$ )
- $X \subseteq \text{SN}$
- If  $M[N/x]\vec{P} \in X$  and  $N \in \text{SN}$ , then  $(\lambda x.M)N\vec{P} \in X$ .

Let  $\rho : \text{TVar} \rightarrow \text{SAT}$  be a **valuation** of type variables.

**Define** the interpretation of types  $[\sigma]_\rho$  as follows.

- $[\alpha]_\rho := \rho(\alpha)$
- $[\sigma \rightarrow \tau]_\rho := \{M \mid \forall N \in [\sigma]_\rho (MN \in [\tau]_\rho)\}$
- $[\forall \alpha.\sigma]_\rho := \bigcap_{X \in \text{SAT}} [\sigma]_{\rho, \alpha := X}$

25

**Proposition**

$x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n \vdash M : \sigma \Rightarrow M[P_1/x_1, \dots, P_n/x_n] \in [\sigma]_\rho$

for all valuations  $\rho$  and  $P_1 \in [\tau_1]_\rho, \dots, P_n \in [\tau_n]_\rho$

**Proof**

By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ .

**Corollary**  $\lambda 2$  is SN

(Proof: take  $P_1$  to be  $x_1, \dots, P_n$  to be  $x_n$ .)

26