

# Agda

Catarina Coquand

August 16, 2005

# Background

- Agda is an interactive system for developing proofs in a variant of Martin-Löf's type theory
- It is based on the idea of direct manipulation of proof-term and not on tactics. The proof is a term, not a script.
- The language has ordinary programming constructs such as data-types and case-expressions, signatures and records, let-expressions and modules.
- Has an emacs-interface and a graphical interface, Alfa

---

# System

Agda is an interactive system.

- It consists of a type checker and a termination checker
- Implemented in Haskell
- You will use a simpler version of Agda (with a small library)

## A proof of $A \rightarrow A$

- The proof of  $A \rightarrow A$  is the term  $\lambda x : A.x$
- In Agda

```
\x -> x  
-- alternative: \(x::A) -> x
```

- The syntax of Agda is rather close to Haskell

# The identity function

- Function definition

```
id (A::Set) :: A -> A
id = \a -> a
```

- Application:

```
id 0
id 'c'
```

# Syntactic Sugar for Function Definitions

```
id (A::Set) :: A -> A
id a = a
```

## Inbuilt type: Pairs

- Pairs are written  $A \times B$
- A pair is written  $(a, b)$
- Projection functions
  - `fst`  $:: A \times B \rightarrow A$
  - `snd`  $:: A \times B \rightarrow B$
- Corresponds to logical and

## Rule for And

$$\frac{[A \& B] \quad C \quad A \quad B}{C}$$

```
curry (A,B,C::Set) :: (A × B -> C) -> A -> B -> C
curry f a b = f (a,b)
```

## And-elimination

Stating the &-elimination rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A \ B] \\ C \end{array} \quad A \& B}{C}$$

`uncurry(A,B,C::Set) :: (A -> B -> C) -> A × B -> C`

# Swap

Bengt's proof of  $A \& B \implies B \& A$ . We use the  $\&$ -elimination i.e. `uncurry`

```
swap (A,B::Set) :: (A × B) -> B × A
swap p = uncurry (\x y -> (y,x)) p
```

## Inbuilt Type: Booleans

- Type is `Bool`
- Constructed by `True` and `False`
- We have the ordinary `if_then_else` construction

## Inbuilt Types: Lists

- Type is `List A`
- Constructed by `Nil` and `:`
- The list `[]` is syntactic sugar for `Nil`
- The list `[1,2,5]` is syntactic sugar for `1:[2,5]`
- The list `[1,2,5]` is syntactic sugar for `1:2:5:Nil`

## More Inbuilt Types

- `Integer`: Infinite integers with usual operations except division
- `Char`: Characters with some standard operations
- `String`: Strings are lists of characters

## Let-expressions

We can also use let-notation

```
ex :: Integer
ex = let {
      big :: Integer;
      big = 12324567891234566789;
      neg :: Integer;
      neg = negate 1000;
    }
    in big*neg
```

## Layout rule

```
ex :: Integer
ex = let big :: Integer
      big = 12324567891234566789
      neg :: Integer
      neg = negate 1000
    in big*neg
```

# Equality Type

- We write equality as  $a == b$
- It is reflexive, symmetric, transitive, and substitutive
- Equivalent to Leibniz-equality

## Typechecking a proof of Reflexivity

We have `refId x` is of type `x == x`,

```
refId 6 ::          6 == 6 -- also the inferred type
refId 6 ::          2 * 3 == 4 + 2
```

This is so since `6 == 6` and `2*3 == 4+2` are convertible. (See Herman Geuver's note on type checking)

## Stating a Quantified Theorem

State that `==` is symmetrical:  $\forall x y. x == y \implies y == x$

```

symmEq (A::Set) :: (x,y::A) -> x == y -> y == x
symmEq x y = .....

```

Equivalent to

```

symmEq (A::Set) :: (x::A) -> (y::A) -> x == y -> y == x
symmEq x y = .....

```

## Defining Type Synonyms

```
Pred :: Set -> Type
```

```
Pred X = X -> Set
```

```
Rel :: Set -> Type
```

```
Rel X = X -> X -> Set
```

```
Symmetrical (X::Set) :: (R::Rel X) -> Set
```

```
Symmetrical R = (x1,x2::X) |-> (x1 'R' x2 -> x2 'R' x1)
```

```
symmEq (A::Set) :: Symmetrical (==)
```

```
symmEq x1 x2 = ...
```

## Language Constructions : Data Types

We introduce a *new* type by data-type construction

```
data Bool = True | False
data List (A::Set) = Nil | (:) (a::A) (l::List A)
```

## Language Constructions :Case Expressions

We can introduce implicitly defined constants by case-expressions. (Should be thought of as defining functions with pattern-equations.)

```
(++) (A :: Set) :: List A -> List A -> List A
(++) xs ys = case xs of
  (Nil) -> ys
  (x : xs') -> x:xs'++ys
```

Has to cover all possible cases. The term `xs ++ ys` is on normal form.

## Elimination Rule for Lists

```
elimList (A :: Set) ::
  (C :: List A -> Set) ->
  C [] ->
  ((x :: A) -> (xs :: List A) -> C xs -> C (x:xs)) ->
  (xs :: List A) ->
  C xs
elimList C c_nil c_con xs =
  case xs of
    (Nil) -> c_nil
    (x : xs') -> c_con x xs' (elimList C c_nil c_con xs')
```

## Logic

- Or: `data Plus (X,Y::Set) = Inl (x::X) | Inr (y::Y)`
- Exists: `data Sigma (X::Set) (Y::X -> Set) = dep_pair (x::X)(y::Y x)`
- Truth: `data Unit :: Set = unit`
- Absurdity: `data Empty :: Set =`

## Or- elimination

```

elimPlus (X,Y::Set) ::
  (C::Plus X Y -> Set) ->
  (c_lft::(x::X) -> C (Inl x)) ->
  (c_rgt::(y::Y) -> C (Inr y)) ->
  (xy::Plus X Y) ->
  C xy
elimPlus C c_lft c_rgt xy = case xy of
  (Inl x) -> c_lft x
  (Inr y) -> c_rgt y

whenPlus (X,Y,Z::Set) :: (f::X -> Z) -> (g::Y -> Z) -> (Plus X Y -> Z)
whenPlus = elimPlus (\h -> Z)

```

## Absurdity

```
data Empty :: Set =
```

```
elimEmpty :: (C :: Empty -> Set) -> (z :: Empty) -> C z  
elimEmpty C z = case z of { }
```

```
whenEmpty :: (X :: Set) -> Empty -> X  
whenEmpty X z = case z of { }
```

```
Not :: Set -> Set
```

```
Not X = X -> Empty
```

```
absurdElim (A :: Set) :: A -> Not A -> (X :: Set) -> X
```

```
absurdElim h h' X = whenEmpty X (h' h)
```

## Inductive families

```
idata (==) (X::Set)  :: X  -> X  -> Set where
  refId (x::X)  :: (==) x  x
```

Use elimination rules and not case for inductive families.

## Language Constructions : Structures/Signature

```
PlusSig :: (A::Set) -> Set
```

```
PlusSig A = sig
```

```
  zer :: A
```

```
  plus :: A -> A -> A
```

```
IntPluSig :: PlusSig Integer
```

```
IntPluSig = struct
```

```
  zer :: Integer
```

```
  zer = 0
```

```
  plus :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
```

```
  plus = (+)
```

## Another Instance

```
ListPluSig :: (A::Set) -> PlusSig (List A)
ListPluSig A = struct
    zer :: List A
    zer  = []
    plus :: List A -> List A -> List A
    plus = (++)
```

## Using Struct/Sig

```
f :: Integer
f = IntPlusSig.plus IntPlusSig.zer (IntPlusSig.zer +1)
```

```
f :: Integer
f = let open IntPlusSig use plus, zer
    in plus zer (zer + 1)
```

# Packages

## Packages

```
package Natural where
  open Prelude use Pred
  open Boolean use Bool, False, True

data Nat = Zero | Succ (n::Nat)

natrec (C::Pred Nat)(bc::C Zero)
      (ic::(n::Nat) -> C n -> C (Succ n))
      (m::Nat)
  :: C m = ....
isZero (a::Nat) :: Bool
  = .....
```

## Examples : typechecking

```
F :: Set
F  = Bool
f :: Bool -> F
f = \a -> a
```

Gives an equality constraint:

```
Bool = F
```

We must compute F to see that they are equal.

## Example : Typechecking

```
F :: (A::Set) -> Set
```

```
F = \A -> A
```

```
f :: (B::Set) -> B -> F B
```

```
f = \B -> \a -> a
```

Gives the equality constraint:

$$B = F B$$

## Meta-variables

- A meta-variable can only occur in **one** typing constraint.
- The result of typechecking is a set of typing constraints and equality constraints instead of a yes and no answer when type-checking terms with meta-variables.
- Using higher-order unification will sometimes (often) solve the constraints.

## Meta-variables

$f :: (A :: \text{Set}) \rightarrow (a :: A) \rightarrow A$   
 $f = \lambda (B :: \text{Set}) \rightarrow \lambda (b :: B) \rightarrow ?$

Is type correct if

$$B : \text{Set}, b : B \vdash ? : B$$

## Examples Meta Variables ctd

```
f :: (A::Set) -> (a::A) -> A
f = \(B::Set) -> \(b::?) -> b
```

Is type correct if

$$B : Set \vdash ? \text{ type}$$

and

$$A \equiv ?(B = A)$$

## Hidden Arguments

We do not have polymorphism, but hidden arguments

```
id (A::Set) :: A -> A
id  a = a
id 'c'
```

is translated into `id |? 'c' .`

## Hidden Arguments ctd

We can write more explicitly

```
id :: (A::Set) |-> A -> A
id  = \(A::Set) |-> \a -> a
```

```
id |Char 'c'
```

## Emacs-symbols

```
(global-set-key (kbd "C-*") (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\327")))
;;; Cartesian product
(global-set-key (kbd "C-." ) (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\260")))
;;; Ring
(global-set-key (kbd "C-!" ) (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\254")))
;;; not
(global-set-key [f9] (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\330")))
;;; Empty set
(global-set-key [f10] (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\267"))) ;;;; M
(global-set-key [f11] (lambda () (interactive) (insert "\367")))
```