

Principles of Concurrent Programming TDA384/DIT391

Tuesday, 19 December 2017

(including example solutions)

Teacher/examiner: K. V. S. Prasad (prasad@chalmers.se)

Exercise 1: Concurrent data structures (14 points)

In this exercise, you will evaluate different implementations of a counting operation on a list data structure in Java, analyzing whether they are *thread safe*, that is executable by multiple concurrent threads without running into race conditions.

Recall the various implementations of linked sets presented during the course (and also described in Chapter 9 of Herlihy & Shavit). They are all variants implementing the same *interface*, consisting of operations to remove elements, add elements, and test whether an element is in the set. In this exercise, we extend the interface with a new operation `int size()`, which simply returns the number of nodes stored in the set.

A simple implementation of method `size()` that works in a sequential setting is:

```
public int size() {
    int size = -1;
    Node<T> curr;
    curr = head;           // set curr to the head node
    do {
        curr = curr.next(); // move curr to next node in chain
        size += 1;          // increment size by 1
    } while (curr != tail); // until curr reaches the tail node
    return size;
}
```

Question 1.1 (2 points): Why is local variable `size` initialized to `-1` instead of `0`?

The empty set consists of the head node, whose next node is the tail node. Hence, `size` is incremented at least once, returning `0` for an empty set as it should be.

Question 1.2 (2 points): Explain why the above implementation of `size()` is not thread safe. To this end, describe a concrete scenario where race conditions may occur.

Without any kind of concurrency control, `size()` may interfere with other threads executing destructive operations on the list. For example, a thread `t` may be removing an element from the set;

the result of another thread u calling to `size()` depends on whether t is operating in the portion of the linked list already scanned by u : if t removes an element behind u 's `curr`, u will include it in the count even if t terminates before u .

Question 1.3 (2 points): Recall the implementation `CoarseSet` of the thread-safe set data structures seen during the course (and also described in Chapter 9 of Herlihy & Shavit). `CoarseSet` uses a variable `lock` of type `Lock` to guard access to the whole data structure. Modify the implementation of `size()` shown above so that it uses `lock` to avoid race conditions.

Since `lock` guards access to the whole list, it is sufficient to acquire `lock` at the beginning of `size()` and to release it at the end:

```
public int size() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
        // body of size() as above
    } finally {
        lock.unlock();
    }
}
```

Question 1.4 (4 points): In order to make `size()` run in constant time, we now consider a more efficient implementation that adds an attribute `size` of type `int` to the set, which keeps track of the current number of elements in the list. Thus, method `size()` simply returns the value of attribute `size` when it is called.

a) Write an implementation of `size()` in `CoarseSet` that is thread safe using locks.

```
public int size() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
        return size;
    } finally {
        lock.unlock();
    }
}
```

b) Which operations of `CoarseSet` must update the value of attribute `size`?

The operations that change the set's size: `add` and `remove`.

c) Consider the implementation of method `remove` in `CoarseSet`. Illustrate what race conditions may occur if `remove` updates the value of attribute `size` *after* releasing `lock`.

The same race condition described in the answer to question 1.2 above can occur here if the thread executing `remove` interleaves with the one executing `size()`.

Question 1.5 (4 points): Consider yet another variant of set implementation where we want to update attribute size without using any locks.

- a) Choose a suitable *type* for attribute size so that it can be updated thread-safely without using locks.

```
AtomicInteger size;
```

- b) Based on your choice of type, write a piece of code that increments size by one in a thread-safe manner without locking.

```
int curSize;
do {
    curSize = size.get();
} while (!size.compareAndSet(curSize, curSize + 1));
```