Formal Methods for Software Development Java Modeling Language, Part II

Wolfgang Ahrendt

05 October 2018

JML Modifiers

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by additional modifiers

The most important ones are:

- spec_public
- pure
- ▶ nullable
- non_null
- helper

In enterPIN example, pre/postconditions made heavy use of class fields

But: public specifications can access only public fields

Not desired: make all fields mentioned in specification public

In enterPIN example, pre/postconditions made heavy use of class fields

But: public specifications can access only public fields

Not desired: make all fields mentioned in specification public

Control visibility with spec_public

- Keep visibility of JAVA fields private/protected
- ▶ If needed, make them public only in specification by spec_public

In enterPIN example, pre/postconditions made heavy use of class fields

But: public specifications can access only public fields

Not desired: make all fields mentioned in specification public

Control visibility with spec_public

- Keep visibility of JAVA fields private/protected
- ▶ If needed, make them public only in specification by spec_public

In enterPIN example, pre/postconditions made heavy use of class fields

But: public specifications can access only public fields

Not desired: make all fields mentioned in specification public

Control visibility with spec_public

- Keep visibility of JAVA fields private/protected
- ▶ If needed, make them public only in specification by spec_public

(Different solution: use specification-only fields; not covered in this course, but see Sect. 7.7 in [JML Tutorial], see Literature slide.)

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

But: specifications must not themselves change the state!

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

o1.equals(o2) li.contains(elem) li1.max() < li2.min()

But: specifications must not themselves change the state!

Definition ((Strictly) Pure method)

A method is pure iff it always terminates and has no visible side effects on existing objects.

A method is strictly pure if it is pure and does not create new objects.

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

o1.equals(o2) li.contains(elem) li1.max() < li2.min()

But: specifications must not themselves change the state!

Definition ((Strictly) Pure method)

A method is pure iff it always terminates and has no visible side effects on existing objects.

A method is strictly pure if it is pure and does not create new objects.

JML expressions may contain calls to (strictly) pure methods.

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

```
o1.equals(o2) li.contains(elem) li1.max() < li2.min()
```

But: specifications must not themselves change the state!

Definition ((Strictly) Pure method)

A method is pure iff it always terminates and has no visible side effects on existing objects.

A method is strictly pure if it is pure and does not create new objects.

JML expressions may contain calls to (strictly) pure methods.

Pure methods are annotated by pure or strictly_pure resp.

```
public /*@ pure @*/ int max() { ... }
```

JML Modifiers: Purity Cont'd

- **pure** puts obligation on implementor not to cause side effects
- It is possible to formally verify that a method is pure
- pure implies assignable \nothing; (may create new objects)
- assignable \strictly_nothing; expresses that no new objects are created
- Assignable clauses are local to a specification case
- pure is global to the method

JML Expressions \neq Java Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- ► Each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- ▶ If a and b are **boolean** JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:
 - ▶ !a ("not a")
 - ► a && b ("a and b")
 - ▶ a || b ("a or b")

JML Expressions \neq Java Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- ► Each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- ▶ If a and b are **boolean** JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
a && b ("a and b")
a || b ("a or b")
a ==> b ("a implies b")
a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
...
...
...
...
```

How to express the following?

▶ An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .

How to express the following?

- ▶ An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .
- ▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

How to express the following?

- ► An array arr only holds values ≤ 2.
- ▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.
- ► All Account objects in the array allAccounts are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field.

How to express the following?

- ► An array arr only holds values ≤ 2.
- ▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.
- ► All Account objects in the array allAccounts are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field.
- All instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers.

First-order Logic in JML Expressions

JML boolean expressions extend JAVA boolean expressions by:

- implication
- equivalence

First-order Logic in JML Expressions

JML boolean expressions extend JAVA boolean expressions by:

- implication
- equivalence
- quantification

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- ▶ if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
  a && b ("a and b")
  a || b ("a or b")
  a ==> b ("a implies b")
  a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
  (\forall t x; a) ("for all x of type t, a holds")
  (\exists t x; a) ("there exists x of type t such that a")
```

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- ▶ if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
   a && b ("a and b")
   a || b ("a or b")
   a ==> b ("a implies b")
   a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
   (\forall t x; a) ("for all x of type t, a holds")
   (\exists t x; a) ("there exists x of type t such that a")
   (\forall t x; a; b) ("for all x of type t fulfilling a, b holds")
   (\exists t x; a; b) ("there exists an x of type t fulfilling a,
```

such that b")

JML Quantifiers

```
in
(\forall t x; a; b)
(\exists t x; a; b)
a is called "range predicate"
```

JML Quantifiers

```
in
(\forall t x; a; b)
(\exists t x; a; b)
a is called "range predicate"
                     those forms are redundant:
                      (\forall t x; a; b)
                            equivalent to
                     (\forall t x; a \Longrightarrow b)
                      (\exists t x; a; b)
                            equivalent to
                     (\exists t x; a && b)
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b) widely used
```

Pragmatics of range predicate:

a is used to restrict range of x further than t

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used

Pragmatics of range predicate:
a is used to restrict range of x further than t
Example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used

Pragmatics of range predicate:
a is used to restrict range of x further than t

Example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i,j;
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used

Pragmatics of range predicate:
a is used to restrict range of x further than t

Example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i,j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10;</pre>
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used

Pragmatics of range predicate:
a is used to restrict range of x further than t

Example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i,j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10; arr[i] <= arr[j])</pre>
```

How to express:

▶ An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .

How to express:

▶ An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .

(\forall int i;

How to express:

▶ An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .

(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length;

How to express:

An array arr only holds values ≤ 2 .

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] <= 2)
```

How to express:

▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

How to express:

▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

How to express:

▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

is this enough?

How to express:

► The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

```
(\forall int i; 0 \le i \&\& i \le arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

```
(\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m == arr[i])
```

How to express:

▶ The variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr.

```
arr.length > 0 ==>
(\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m == arr[i])</pre>
```

(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])

How to express:

► All Account objects in the array accountArray are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field.

How to express:

► All Account objects in the array accountArray are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field.

How to express:

▶ All existing instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers.

How to express:

All existing instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers.

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
     p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

JML offers also generalized quantifiers:

- ► \max
- ► \min
- ▶ \product
- ▶ \sum

returning the maximum, minimum, product, or sum of the values of a given expressions (with variables in a given range)

JML offers also generalized quantifiers:

- ► \max
- ► \min
- ▶ \product
- ▶ \sum

returning the maximum, minimum, product, or sum of the values of a given expressions (with variables in a given range)

Examples

$$= 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4$$

JML offers also generalized quantifiers:

- ► \max
- ► \min
- ▶ \product
- ▶ \sum

returning the maximum, minimum, product, or sum of the values of a given expressions (with variables in a given range)

Examples

(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i)
$$= 0+1+2+3+4$$
 (\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; (2*i)+1) $= 3*5*7*9$

JML offers also generalized quantifiers:

- ► \max
- ► \min
- ▶ \product
- ▶ \sum

returning the maximum, minimum, product, or sum of the values of a given expressions (with variables in a given range)

```
Examples
```

(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i)
$$= 0+1+2+3+4$$

(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; (2*i)+1) $= 3*5*7*9$
(\max int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) $= 4$

JML offers also generalized quantifiers:

- ► \max
- ► \min
- ▶ \product
- ▶ \sum

returning the maximum, minimum, product, or sum of the values of a given expressions (with variables in a given range)

```
Examples
```

(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i)
$$= 0+1+2+3+4$$

(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; (2*i)+1) $= 3*5*7*9$
(\max int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) $= 4$
(\min int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i-1) $= -1$

Example: Specifying LimitedIntegerSet

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
  public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
  public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

Prerequisites: Adding Specification Modifiers

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
 public final int limit;
 private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];
 private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;
 public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
 public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
 public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
 public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) \{/*...*/\}
contains is pure: no effect on the state + terminates normally
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
contains is pure: no effect on the state + terminates normally
How to specify result value?
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
@ ensures \result ==
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
  @
```

```
/*@ public normal behavior
  @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);</pre>
  @ ensures \result == true:
  @ ensures contains(elem):
  @ ensures (\forall int e:
  0
                      e != elem:
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   ensures size == \old(size) + 1;
  0
  @ also
  0
  @ <spec-case2>
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

```
/*@ public normal behavior
  0
   <spec-case1>
  0
  @ also
  0
  @ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
  @ ensures \result == false;
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  @ ensures size == \old(size);
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying remove()

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ ensures !contains(elem);
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                      e != elem;
  0
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
    ensures \old(contains(elem))
  0
            ==> size == \old(size) - 1:
   ensures !\old(contains(elem))
            ==> size == \old(size):
  0
  0*/
public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data?

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data, e.g.:

- consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data, e.g.:

- consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

Data constraints are global: all methods must preserve them

Consider LimitedSorted IntegerSet

```
public class LimitedSortedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
  public LimitedSortedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
  public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

method contains

► Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)

method contains

- ► Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- Why is that sufficient?

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method add

► Search first index with bigger element, insert just before that

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method add

- ▶ Search first index with bigger element, insert just before that
- ► Thereby try to establish sortedness in poststate

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method add

- ▶ Search first index with bigger element, insert just before that
- ► Thereby try to establish sortedness in poststate
- ► Why is that sufficient?

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method add

- ▶ Search first index with bigger element, insert just before that
- ► Thereby try to establish sortedness in poststate
- Why is that sufficient?
- We assume sortedness in prestate

method contains

- Can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ► Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method add

- ▶ Search first index with bigger element, insert just before that
- ► Thereby try to establish sortedness in poststate
- Why is that sufficient?
- ► We assume sortedness in prestate

method remove

► (accordingly)

Specifying Sortedness with JML

```
Recall class fields:
   public final int limit;
   private int arr[];
   private int size = 0;
```

Sortedness as JML expression:

Specifying Sortedness with JML

Specifying Sortedness with JML

```
Recall class fields:
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
Sortedness as JML expression:
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
                  arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
(What's the value of this if size < 2?)
```

Specifying Sortedness with JML

```
Recall class fields:
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
Sortedness as JML expression:
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
                   arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
(What's the value of this if size < 2?)
But where in the specification does the red expression go?
```

Specifying Sorted contains()

Can assume sortedness of prestate

Specifying Sorted contains()

Can assume sortedness of prestate

Specifying Sorted contains()

Can assume sortedness of prestate

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  @ ensures \result == (\exists int i:
                                   0 <= i && i < size;
  0
  0
                                   arr[i] == elem):
  @*/
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
contains() is pure
⇒ sortedness of poststate trivially ensured
```

Specifying Sorted remove()

```
Can assume sortedness of prestate
Must ensure sortedness of poststate
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                                 arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  @ ensures !contains(elem):
    ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                       e != elem:
                       contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  0
    ensures \old(contains(elem))
  0
             ==> size == \old(size) - 1;
    ensures !\old(contains(elem))
             ==> size == \old(size);
  0
  @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
  0*/
public void remove(int elem) {/*...
FMSD: Java Modeling Language
                                                        181005
                                                               29 / 62
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                               arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
  0
  @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);
  @ ensures \result == true;
  @ ensures contains(elem);
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                      e != elem:
  0
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   ensures size == \old(size) + 1;
   ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                              arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  0
  0
  @ also <spec-case2>
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ <spec-case1> also
  @
  @ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                               arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  0
  @ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
  @ ensures \result == false;
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  0
  @ ensures size == \old(size);
  @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                              arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Factor out Sortedness

So far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

Factor out Sortedness

So far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

We can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

Factor out Sortedness

So far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

We can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

- 1. delete blue and red parts from previous slides
- 2. add 'sortedness' as JML class invariant instead

JML Class Invariant

```
public class LimitedSortedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  /*@ private invariant (\forall int i;
    0
                                   0 < i && i < size:
                                  arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
    0
    @*/
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;
  // constructor and methods.
  // without sortedness in pre/postconditions
```

JML Class Invariant

- ▶ JML class invariant can be placed anywhere in class
- ► (Contrast: method contract must be in front of its method)
- Custom to place class invariant in front of fields it talks about

instance invariants

Can refer to instance fields of this object
 (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: instance invariant

instance invariants

```
Can refer to instance fields of <a href="this">this</a> object
(unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

Cannot refer to instance fields of this object JML syntax: static invariant

instance invariants

```
Can refer to instance fields of this object (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

Cannot refer to instance fields of this object JML syntax: static invariant

both

Can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields of objects other than this, like 'o.size'

instance invariants

```
Can refer to instance fields of this object (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

Cannot refer to instance fields of this object

JML syntax: **static invariant**

both

Can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields of objects other than this, like 'o.size'

In classes, instance is default. In interfaces, static is default.

If instance or static is omitted for invariants

⇒ instance invariant in classes, static invariant in interfaces

Static JML Invariant Example

```
public class BankCard {
  /*@ public static invariant
    @ (\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
    0*/
 private /*@ spec_public @*/ int cardNumber;
  // rest of class follows
```

Class Invariants: Intuition, Notions & Scope

Class invariants must be

- established by
 - constructors (instance invariants)
 - static initialisation (static invariants)

Class Invariants: Intuition, Notions & Scope

Class invariants must be

- established by
 - constructors (instance invariants)
 - static initialisation (static invariants)
- preserved by all (non-helper) methods
 - assumed in prestate (implicit preconditions)
 - ensured in poststate (implicit postconditions)
 - can be violated during method execution

Class Invariants: Intuition, Notions & Scope

Class invariants must be

- established by
 - constructors (instance invariants)
 - static initialisation (static invariants)
- preserved by all (non-helper) methods
 - assumed in prestate (implicit preconditions)
 - ensured in poststate (implicit postconditions)
 - can be violated during method execution

Scope of invariant

- ▶ not limmited to it's class/interface
- depends on visibility (private vs. public) of local state
- ⇒ An invariant must not be violated by any code in any class

The JML modifier: helper

JML helper methods

Neither assumes nor ensures any invariant by default.

The JML modifier: helper

JML helper methods

```
T /*@ helper @*/ m(T p1, ..., T pn)
```

Neither assumes nor ensures any invariant by default.

Pragmatics & Usage examples of helper methods

- ► Helper methods are usually private.
- Used for structuring implementation of public methods (e.g. factoring out reoccurring steps)
- Used in constructors (where invariants have not yet been established)

The JML modifier: helper

JML helper methods

```
T /*@ helper @*/ m(T p1, ..., T pn)
```

Neither assumes nor ensures any invariant by default.

Pragmatics & Usage examples of helper methods

- Helper methods are usually private.
- Used for structuring implementation of public methods (e.g. factoring out reoccurring steps)
- Used in constructors (where invariants have not yet been established)

Additional purpose in KeY context

Normal form, used when translating JML to Dynamic Logic. (See later lecture)

Aim: refer to invariants of arbitrary objects in JML expressions.

Aim: refer to invariants of arbitrary objects in JML expressions.

▶ \invariant_for(o) is a boolean JML expression

Aim: refer to invariants of arbitrary objects in JML expressions.

- ▶ \invariant_for(o) is a boolean JML expression
- ► \invariant_for(o) is true in a state where all invariants of o are true, otherwise false

Aim: refer to invariants of arbitrary objects in JML expressions.

- ▶ \invariant_for(o) is a boolean JML expression
- \invariant_for(o) is true in a state where all invariants of o
 are true, otherwise false

Pragmatics:

Use \invariant_for(this) when local invariant is intended but not implicitly given, e.g., in specification of helper methods.

Aim: refer to invariants of arbitrary objects in JML expressions.

- ▶ \invariant_for(o) is a boolean JML expression
- \invariant_for(o) is true in a state where all invariants of o
 are true, otherwise false

Pragmatics:

- Use \invariant_for(this) when local invariant is intended but not implicitly given, e.g., in specification of helper methods.
- Put \invariant_for(o), where o ≠ this, into local requires/ensures clause or invariant to assume/guarantee or maintain invariant of o locally

```
public class Database {
  . . .
  /*@ public normal_behavior
    @ requires ...;
    @ ensures ...;
    0*/
  public void add (Set newItems) {
    ... <rough adding at first> ...;
    cleanUp();
```

```
public class Database {
  /*@ public normal_behavior
    @ requires ...;
    @ ensures ...;
    0*/
  public void add (Set newItems) {
    ... <rough adding at first> ...;
    cleanUp();
  . . .
  /*@ private normal_behavior
    @ ensures \invariant_for(this);
    @*/
  private /*@ helper @*/ void cleanUp() { ... }
  . . .
```

Example

```
If all (non-helper) methods of ATM shall maintain invariant of
object stored in insertedCard:
public class ATM {
    ...
/*@ private invariant
    @ insertedCard != null ==> \invariant_for(insertedCard);
    @*/
    private BankCard insertedCard;
    ...
```

Alternatively more fine grained:

Example

```
If method withdraw of ATM relies on invariant of insertedCard
public class ATM {
  private BankCard insertedCard;
  . . .
  /*@ public normal_behavior
    @ requires \invariant_for(insertedCard);
    @ requires <other preconditions>;
    @ ensures <postcondition>;
    0*/
  public int withdraw (int amount) { ... }
```

Notes on \invariant_for

► For non-helper methods, \invariant_for(this) implicitly added to pre- and postconditions!

Notes on \invariant_for

- ► For non-helper methods, \invariant_for(this) implicitly added to pre- and postconditions!
- ► \invariant_for(expr) returns true iff expr satisfies the invariant of its static type:
 - ▶ Given class B extends A
 - After executing initialiser A o = new B();
 \invariant_for(o) is true when o satisfies invariants of A

Notes on \invariant_for

- ► For non-helper methods, \invariant_for(this) implicitly added to pre- and postconditions!
- ➤ \invariant_for(expr) returns true iff expr satisfies the invariant of its static type:
 - ► Given class B extends A
 - After executing initialiser A o = new B();
 \invariant_for(o) is true when o satisfies invariants of A,
 \invariant_for((B)o) is true when o satisfies invariants of B.

Notes on \invariant_for

- ► For non-helper methods, \invariant_for(this) implicitly added to pre- and postconditions!
- ➤ \invariant_for(expr) returns true iff expr satisfies the invariant of its static type:
 - ▶ Given class B extends A
 - After executing initialiser A o = new B();
 \invariant_for(o) is true when o satisfies invariants of A,
 \invariant_for((B)o) is true when o satisfies invariants of B.
- ▶ If o and this have different types, \invariant_for(o) only covers public invariants of o's type.
 E.g., \invariant_for(insertedCard) refers to public invariants
 of BankCard

Recall Specification of enterPIN()

public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...

Recall Specification of enterPIN()

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
                                     = false;
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3>
  0*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
last lecture:
all 3 spec-cases were normal_behavior
```

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions *P*, forbids method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies *P*

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions *P*, forbids method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies *P*

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies P

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies P

Keyword signals specifies poststate, depending on thrown exception

```
normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies P
```

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if prestate satisfies P

Keyword signals specifies poststate, depending on thrown exception

Keyword signals_only limits types of thrown exception

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3> also
  @ public exceptional_behavior
   requires insertedCard==null;
  @ signals_only ATMException;
  @ signals (ATMException) !customerAuthenticated;
  0*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
In case insertedCard==null in prestate:
 enterPIN must throw an exception ('exceptional_behavior')
 it can only be an ATMException ('signals_only')
 method must then ensure !customerAuthenticated in poststate
    ('signals')
```

signals_only Clause: General Case

An exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

signals_only
$$E_1, \ldots, E_n$$
;

where E_1, \ldots, E_n are exception types

signals_only Clause: General Case

An exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

signals_only
$$E_1, \ldots, E_n$$
;

where E_1, \ldots, E_n are exception types

Meaning:

If an exception is thrown, it is of type E_1 or ... or E_n

signals Clause: General Case

An exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

signals (E) b;

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

signals Clause: General Case

An exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

Meaning:

If an exception of type E is thrown, b holds afterwards

Allowing Non-Termination

By default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

Allowing Non-Termination

By default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

In each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

diverges true;

Allowing Non-Termination

By default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

In each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

diverges true;

Meaning:

Given the precondition of the specification case holds in prestate, the method may or may not terminate

Further Modifiers: non_null and nullable

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by further modifiers:

- class fields
- method parameters
- method return types

can be declared as

- nullable: may or may not be null
- ▶ non_null: must not be null

non_null: Examples

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null @*/ String name;
Implicit invariant 'public invariant name != null;'
added to class
```

non_null: Examples

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null @*/ String name;
Implicit invariant 'public invariant name != null;'
added to class

public void insertCard(/*@ non_null @*/ BankCard card) {...
Implicit precondition 'requires card != null;'
added to each specification case of insertCard
```

non_null: Examples

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null @*/ String name;
Implicit invariant 'public invariant name != null;'
added to class
public void insertCard(/*@ non_null @*/ BankCard card) {..
Implicit precondition 'requires card != null;'
added to each specification case of insertCard
public /*@ non_null @*/ String toString()
Implicit postcondition 'ensures \result != null;'
added to each specification case of toString
```

non_null Default

```
non_null is default in JML!
```

⇒ same effect even without explicit 'non_null's

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ String name;
Implicit invariant 'public invariant name != null;'
added to class
public void insertCard(BankCard card) {...
Implicit precondition 'requires card != null;'
added to each specification case of insertCard
public String toString()
Implicit postcondition 'ensures \result != null;'
added to each specification case of toString
```

nullable: Examples

To prevent such pre/postconditions and invariants: 'nullable'

```
private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ String name;
No implicit invariant added
public void insertCard(/*@ nullable @*/ BankCard card) {...
No implicit precondition added
```

```
public /*@ nullable @*/ String toString()
```

No implicit postcondition added to specification cases of toString

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

► All elements in the list are non_null

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

- ▶ All elements in the list are non null
- ► The list is cyclic, or infinite!

```
Repair:
```

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private /*@ nullable @*/ LinkedList next;
    ....
```

⇒ Now, the list is allowed to end somewhere!

non_null as default in JML only since some years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the **non_null** by default semantics.

non_null as default in JML only since some years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the **non_null** by default semantics.

Pitfall!

non_null as default in JML only since some years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the **non_null** by default semantics.

Pitfall!

```
/*@ non_null @*/ Object[] a;
is not the same as:
/*@ nullable @*/ Object[] a; //@ invariant a != null;
```

non_null as default in JML only since some years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the **non_null** by default semantics.

Pitfall!

```
/*@ non_null @*/ Object[] a;
is not the same as:
/*@ nullable @*/ Object[] a; //@ invariant a != null;
because the first one also implicitly adds
(\forall int i; i >= 0 && i < a.length; a[i] != null)
I.e. extends non_null also to the elements of the array!</pre>
```

JML and Inheritance

All JML contracts, i.e.

- specification cases
- class invariants

are inherited down from superclasses to subclasses.

A class has to fulfill all contracts of its superclasses.

In addition, the subclass may add further specification cases, starting with also:

```
/*@ also
   @
   @ <subclass-specific-spec-cases>
   @*/
public void method () { ...
```

Complete Behavior Specification Case

behavior

```
forall T1 x1; ... forall Tn xn;
old U1 y1 = F1; ... old Uk yk = Fk;
requires P;
measured_by Mbe if Mbp;
diverges D;
when W;
accessible R:
assignable A;
callable p1(...), ..., pl(...);
captures Z;
ensures Q;
signals_only E1, ..., Eo;
signals (E e) S;
working_space Wse if Wsp;
duration De if Dp;
```

gray not in this course
green in this course

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

```
requires P;
diverges D;
assignable A;
ensures Q;
signals_only
E1, \dots, Eo;
signals (E e) S;
```

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

- ▶ D holds in the prestate and method m does not terminate (default: D=false)
- **.**..

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

```
requires P;
diverges D;
assignable A;
ensures Q;
signals_only
E1, ..., Eo;
signals (E e) S;
```

- **.** . . .
- in the reached (normal or abrupt) poststate: All of the following items must hold
 - only heap locations (static/instance fields, array elements) that did not exist in the prestate or are listed in A (assignable) may have been changed

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

```
requires P;
diverges D;
assignable A;
ensures Q;
signals_only
E1,...,Eo;
signals (E e) S;
```

- **.** . . .
- in the reached (normal or abrupt) poststate: All of the following items must hold
 - only heap locations . . .
 - ▶ if m terminates normally, then in its poststate property Q holds (default: Q=true)

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

- **.**...
- in the reached (normal or abrupt) poststate: All of the following items must hold
 - only heap locations . . .
 - ▶ if *m* terminates normally then . . .
 - ▶ if *m* terminates abruptly then
 - with an exception listed in signals_only (default: all exceptions of m's throws declaration + RuntimeException and Error) and
 - ► for matching signals clause, the exceptional postcondition *S* holds

Meaning of a behavior specification case in JML

An implementation of a method m satisfying its behavior spec. case must ensure: If property P holds in the method's prestate, then one of the following must hold

```
requires P;
diverges D;
assignable A;
ensures Q;
signals_only
E1, ..., Eo;
signals (E e) S;
```

- **.** . . .
- in the reached (normal or abrupt) poststate: All of the following items must hold
 - **.**..
 - \invariant_for(this) must be maintained (in normal or abrupt termination) by non-helper methods

Desugaring: Normal Behavior and Exceptional Behavior

Both normal_behavior and exceptional_behavior cases are expressible as general behavior cases:

Normal Behavior Case

- defaults to 'signals (Throwable e) false;'
- forbids overwriting of signals and signals_only

Desugaring: Normal Behavior and Exceptional Behavior

Both normal_behavior and exceptional_behavior cases are expressible as general behavior cases:

Normal Behavior Case

- defaults to 'signals (Throwable e) false;'
- forbids overwriting of signals and signals_only

Exceptional Behavior Case

- defaults to 'ensures false'
- forbids overwriting of ensures

Desugaring: Normal Behavior and Exceptional Behavior

Both normal_behavior and exceptional_behavior cases are expressible as general behavior cases:

Normal Behavior Case

- defaults to 'signals (Throwable e) false;'
- forbids overwriting of signals and signals_only

Exceptional Behavior Case

- defaults to 'ensures false'
- forbids overwriting of ensures

Both default to 'diverge false', but allow it to be overwritten.

Tools

```
Several tools support JML (see www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML//index.shtml).
```

On the course website: web interface, implemented by Bart van Delft, to OpenJML.

Many thanks to Bart!

Literature for this Lecture

KeYbook W. Ahrendt, B. Beckert, R. Bubel, R. Hähnle, P. Schmitt, M. Ulbrich, editors.
Deductive Software Verification - The KeY Book Vol 10001 of LNCS, Springer, 2016
(E-book at link.springer.com)

Essential reading:

JML Tutorial M. Huisman, W. Ahrendt, D. Grahl, M. Hentschel. Formal Specification with the Java Modeling Language Chapter 7 in [KeYbook]

Further reading available at
www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML//index.shtml