Formal Methods for Software Development Modeling Distributed Systems

Wolfgang Ahrendt

14 September 2018

You know you have a distributed system when the crash of a computer you've never heard of stops you from getting any work done.-Leslie Lamport

Using **PROMELA** channels for modeling distributed systems

Modeling Distributed Systems

Distributed systems consist of

nodes,

- interacting via communication channels,
- protocols dictate how nodes communicate with each other.

Distributed systems are very complex.

Models of distributed systems abstract away from details of networks/protocols/nodes.

In PROMELA:

- nodes modeled by PROMELA processes
- communication channels modeled by PROMELA channels
- protocols modeled by algorithm distributed over processes

In PROMELA, channels are first class citizens.

Data type chan with two operations for sending and receiving

A variable of channel type is declared by initializer:

chan name = [capacity] of $\{type_1, ..., type_n\}$

name	name of channel variable
capacity	non-negative integer constant
type _i	PROMELA data types

Example:

chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }

chan name = [capacity] of $\{type_1, ..., type_n\}$

Creates channel, stored in variable name

Messages communicated via channel are *n*-tuples \in type₁ $\times \ldots \times$ type_n

Can buffer up to *capacity* messages, if *capacity* $\geq 1 \Rightarrow$ *"buffered channel"*

The channel has *no* buffer if *capacity* = 0 ⇒ *"rendezvous channel"*

Example:

chan ch = [2] of { mtype, byte, bool }

Creates channel, stored in variable ch

Messages communicated via ch are 3-tuples \in mtype \times byte \times bool

Given, e.g., mtype = {red, yellow, green}, an example message on ch can be: green, 20, false

ch is a buffered channel, buffering up to 2 messages

Sending and Receiving

send statement has the form:

name ! $expr_1, \dots, expr_n$

- name: channel variable
- expr₁, ..., expr_n: sequence of expressions, where number and types match name's type
- sends values of expr₁, ..., expr_n as one message
- ▶ example: ch ! green, i+20, false

receive statement has the form:

name ? var₁, ... , var_n

- name: channel variable
- var₁, ..., var_n: sequence of variables, where number and types match name's type
- assigns values of message to var_1, \dots, var_n
- example: ch ? color, time, flash

Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
active proctype Client0() {
  request ! 0
}
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1
}
....
```

Client0 and Client1 send messages 0 resp. 1 to channel request Order of sending is nondeterministic

Client-Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
...
active proctype Server() {
   byte num;
   do
      :: request ? num;
      printf("servinguclientu%d\n", num)
   od
}
```

Server loops on

- receiving first message from request, storing value in num
- printing

Executability of receive Statement (non-buffered)

request ? num

executable only when another process offers send on channel request

 \Rightarrow receive statement frequently used as guard in $\,if/do\mbox{-statements}$

```
do
    :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
    od
("->" equivalent to ";")
```

Rendezvous Channels

```
chan ch = [0] of { byte, byte };
```

```
/* global only to make visible in SpinSpider */
byte hour, minute;
```

```
active proctype Sender() {
    printf("ready\n");
    ch ! 11, 45;
    printf("Sent\n")
}
```

```
active proctype Receiver() {
    printf("steady\n");
    ch ? hour, minute;
    printf("Received\n")
}
```

Which interleavings can occur? \Rightarrow ask SPINSPIDER

Rendezvous are Synchronous

On a rendezvous channel:

Transfer of message from sender to receiver is synchronous, i.e., one single operation.



Rendezvous are Synchronous

Either:

- Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): "offer to engage in rendezvous"
- 2. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): *"rendezvous can be accepted"*

or the other way round:

- 1. Location counter of receiver process at receive ("?"): "offer to engage in rendezvous"
- Location counter of sender process at send ("!"): "rendezvous can be accepted"

In both case, the next step is:

Location counter of both processes is incremented at once.

Only place where $\operatorname{PROMELA}$ processes execute synchronously

Reconsider Client Server

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
active proctype Server() {
  byte num;
  do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num)
  od
}
active proctype Client0() {
  request ! 0
}
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1
}
```

So far no reply to clients

Reply Channels

```
chan request = [0] of { byte };
chan ack = [0] of \{bool\}:
active proctype Server() {
 bvte num;
 do :: request ? num ->
        printf("serving_client_%d\n", num);
        ack ! true
 od
}
active proctype Client0() {
  request ! 0; ack ? _; printf("acknowledged\n")
}
active proctype Client1() {
  request ! 1; ack ? _; printf("acknowledged\n")
}
```

(Anonymous variable "_": data from channel no stored anywhere)

Reply Channels - Single Server

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype };
chan reply = [0] of { mtype };
active proctype Server() {
  mtype msg;
  do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
  od
}
active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
                                  Is the assertion valid? Ask SPIN.
}
active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

Several Servers

More realistic with several servers:

```
active [2] proctype Server() {
 mtype msg;
 do :: request ? msg; reply ! msg
  od
}
active proctype NiceClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! nice; reply ? msg;
  assert(msg == nice)
                                   And here? Analyse with SPIN.
}
active proctype RudeClient() {
  mtype msg;
  request ! rude; reply ? msg
}
```

To fix the protocol:

clients declare local reply channel + send it to server

Sending Channels via Channels

```
mtype = { nice, rude };
chan request = [0] of { mtype, chan };
active [2] proctype Server() {
  mtype msg; chan ch;
  do :: request ? msg, ch;
        ch ! msg
  od
}
active proctype NiceClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! nice, reply; reply ? msg;
  assert( msg == nice )
}
active proctype RudeClient() {
  chan reply = [0] of { mtype }; mtype msg;
  request ! rude, reply; reply ? msg
}
      verify with SPIN
```

FMSD: Modeling Distributed Systems

Global channel

All processes can send and/or receive messages

Local channel

- Can model 'private' communication & security issues
- Example:

Local channel can be passed through a global channel

Sending Process IDs

Used *fixed constants* used for identification (here nice, rude)

- inflexible
- doesn't scale

Alternative:

Processes send their own, unique process ID, _pid, as part of message

Experiment with rendezvous3.pml

Example, clients code:

```
chan reply = [0] of { byte, byte };
request ! _pid, reply;
reply ? serverID, serversClient;
```

```
assert( serversClient == _pid )
```

Limitations of Rendezvous Channels

- Rendezvous too restrictive for many applications
- Servers and clients block each other too much
- Difficult to manage uneven workload (online shop: dozens of webservers serve thousands of clients)

Buffered Channel

Buffered channels queue messages. Requests/services no not immediately block clients/servers.

Example: chan ch = [3] of { mtype, byte, bool } Buffered channels, with capacity cap

- Can hold up to cap messages
- Are a FIFO (first-in-first-out) data structure: always the 'oldest' message in channel is retrieved by a receive
- (Normal) receive statement reads and removes message
- Sending and receiving to/from buffered channels is asynchronous, i.e. interleaved

Executability of Buffered Channel operations

```
Given channel ch, with capacity cap, currently containing n messages

receive statement ch ? msg

is executable iff ch is not empty, i.e., n > 0

send statement ch ! msg

is executable iff there is still 'space' in the message queue,

i.e., n < cap
```

A non-executable receive or send statement will block until it is executable again

(With option -m, SPIN has a different send semantics: Attempt to send to full channel doesn't block, but message gets lost.)

Checking Channel for Full/Empty

This can prevent unnecessary blocking:

Given channel ch:

full(ch) checks whether ch is full
nfull(ch) checks whether ch is not full
empty(ch) checks whether ch is empty
nempty(ch) checks whether ch is not empty

Illegal to negate those. Avoid combining with else.

Copy Message without Removing

Assume ch to be a buffered channel.

ch ? color, time, flash

- Assigns values from the message to color, time, flash
- Removes message from ch

ch ? <color, time, flash>

- Assign values from the message to color, time, flash
- Leaves message in ch

Dispatching Messages

```
Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message
mtype = {hi, bye};
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};
active proctype Server () {
   mtype msg;
read:
  ch ? msg;
  do
    :: msg == hi -> printf("Hello.\n"); goto read
    :: msg == bye -> printf("See_you.\n"); break
  od
}
. . .
```

There is a better way!

Dispatching Messages

```
Recurring task: Dispatch action depending on message type.
mtype = {hi, bye};
chan ch = [0] of {mtype};
active proctype Server () {
  do
    :: ch ? hi -> printf("Hello.\n")
    :: ch ? bye -> printf("See_you.\n"); break
  od
}
. . .
```

hi and bye are *values*, not variables!

Pattern Matching

Receive statement allows also non-variable expressions as arguments:

ch ? exp_1, \ldots, exp_n

- exp₁,..., exp_n any(!) expressions of correct type
- Receive statement is executable, iff
 - **1**. either
 - ch is buffered channel and not empty, or
 - ch is rendezvous channel and some process ready to send to ch
 - 2. message msg_1, \ldots, msg_n in channel *ch* matches exp_1, \ldots, exp_n
- msgi matches expi iff
 - *exp_i* is a variable and *msg_i* a value (of correct type)
 - exp_i is not a variable and exp_i == msg_i

Pattern Matching Examples

```
Assume
    chan ch = [0] of {int, int};
    int id = 5;
Does ch ? 0, id match message
    [0, 5] ? ✓ [0, 7] ? ✓ [1, 7] ? ★
    Value of id afterwards?
```

To match the value stored in a variable var use eval(var)

Does ch ? 0, eval(id) match message

- ▶ [0, 5] ? ✔ [0, 7] ? X [1, 7] ? X
- Value of id afterwards?

Dispatching Messages Revisited

Random receive ?? (for buffered channels)

Executable if matching message exists in channel.

If executed, first matching message removed from channel.

```
mtype = {hi, bye};
chan ch = [3] of {mtype};
active proctype Server () {
    do
        :: ch ?? bye -> printf("See_you.\n"); break
        :: else         -> printf("Hello.\n")
        od
}
...
```

Nicer Message Formatting

PROMELA provides an alternative, but equivalent syntax for

ch ! exp1, exp2, exp3

namely

ch ! exp1(exp2, exp3)

Increases readability for certain applications, e.g. protocol modelling: ch!send(msg,id) vs. ch!send,msg,id ch!ack(id) vs. ch!ack,id Buffered channels are part of the state! State space gets much bigger using buffered channels Use with care (and with small buffers).