Formal Methods for Software Development

Introduction to PROMELA

Wolfgang Ahrendt

31 August 2017

Towards Model Checking

System Model

Promela Program

```
byte n = 0;
active proctype P() {
  ...
}
active proctype Q() {
  ...
}
```

System Property

P, Q are never in their critical section at the same time



What is PROMELA?

PROMELA is an acronym

PROcess MEta-LAnguage

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA is an acronym

PROcess MEta-LAnguage

PROMELA is a language for modeling concurrent systems

- multi-threaded, synchronisation

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA is an acronym

PROcess MEta-LAnguage

PROMELA is a language for modeling concurrent systems

- multi-threaded, synchronisation
- shared memory as well as message passing

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA is an acronym

PROcess MEta-LAnguage

PROMELA is a language for modeling concurrent systems

- multi-threaded, synchronisation
- shared memory as well as message passing
- few control structures, pure (side-effect free) expressions

What is PROMELA?

PROMELA is an acronym

PROcess MEta-LAnguage

PROMELA is a language for modeling concurrent systems

- multi-threaded, synchronisation
- shared memory as well as message passing
- few control structures, pure (side-effect free) expressions
- data structures with fixed bounds

What is PROMELA Not?

PROMELA is not a programming language

Very small language, not intended to program real systems
(we will master most of it in today's lecture!)

- No pointers
- No methods/procedures
- No libraries
- No GUI, no standard input
- No floating point types
- No data encapsulation

What is PROMELA Not?

PROMELA is not a programming language

Very small language, not intended to program real systems
(we will master most of it in today's lecture!)

- No pointers
- No methods/procedures
- No libraries
- No GUI, no standard input
- No floating point types
- No data encapsulation
- Nondeterministic

A First PROMELA Program

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Hello␣world\n")
}
```

Command Line Execution

Simulating (i.e., interpreting) a PROMELA program

```
> spin hello.pml
      Hello world
1 process created
```

A First PROMELA Program

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Hello␣world\n")
}
```

Command Line Execution

Simulating (i.e., interpreting) a PROMELA *program*

```
> spin hello.pml
      Hello world
1 process created
```

- keyword **proctype** declares process named P
- keyword **active** creates an instance of P
- C-like command and expression syntax
- no ";" needed here (only for sequencing commands)
- C-like (simplified) formatted print

Arithmetic Data Types

```
active proctype P() {
    int val = 123;
    int rev;
    rev = (val % 10) * 100 + /* % is modulo */
          ((val / 10) %  10) * 10 + (val / 100);
    printf("val␣=␣%d,␣rev␣=␣%d\n", val, rev)
}
```

Arithmetic Data Types

```
active proctype P() {
    int val = 123;
    int rev;
    rev = (val % 10) * 100 + /* % is modulo */
          ((val / 10) %  10) * 10 + (val / 100);
    printf("val = %d, rev = %d\n", val, rev)
}
```

Observations

- Data types **byte**, **short**, **int**, **unsigned** with operations +,−,*,/,%
- Expressions computed as **int**, then converted to container type
- No floats, no side effects, C/Java-style comments
- No string variables (strings only in print statements)

Booleans and Enumerations

```
bit  b1 = 0;
bool b2 = true;
```

Observations

- **bit** numeric type containing 0, 1
- **bool**, **true**, **false** syntactic sugar for **bit**, 1, 0

Enumerations

```
mtype = { red, yellow, green } //in global context

active proctype P() {
  mtype light = green;
  printf("the light is %e\n", light)
}
```

Observations

- literals represented as non-0 **byte**: at most 255
- **mtype** stands for message type (first used for message names)
- There is at most one **mtype** per program
- %e "prints" mtype constant

Control Statements

Sequence	using ; as *separator* (not terminator like in C/Java)
Guarded Command:	
— Selection	non-deterministic choice of an alternative
— Repetition	loop until `break` (or forever)
Goto	jump to a label

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Command Line Execution

Trace of random simulation of multiple runs

```
> spin -v max.pml
> spin -v max.pml
> ...
```

Guarded Commands: Selection

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a = 5, b = 5;
  byte max, branch;
  if
    :: a >= b -> max = a; branch = 1
    :: a <= b -> max = b; branch = 2
  fi
}
```

Observations

- Each alternative starts with a guard (here `a >= b`, `a <= b`)
- Guards may "overlap" (more than one can be true at the same time)
- Any alternative whose guard is true is randomly selected
- When no guard true: process blocks until one becomes true
- `if` statements can have any number of alternatives

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: true  -> ...
fi
```

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: else  -> ...
fi
```

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: true  -> ...
fi
```

- Instance of the general case
- `true` can be selected anytime, regardless of other guards

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: else  -> ...
fi
```

Guarded Commands: Selection Cont'd

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: true  -> ...
fi
```

- Instance of the general case
- **true** can be selected anytime, regardless of other guards

```
bool p;
...
if
  :: p     -> ...
  :: else  -> ...
fi
```

- Special case
- **else** selected only if all other guards are false

Guarded Statement Syntax

```
:: guard -> command
```

Observations

- `->` is synonym for `;`
- Therefore: can use `;` instead of `->`
  (Relation guards vs. statements will get clearer later)
- First statement after `::` used as guard
- `-> command` can be omitted

Guarded Statement Syntax

```
:: guard -> command
```

Observations

- `->` is synonym for `;`
- Therefore: can use `;` instead of `->`
  (Relation guards vs. statements will get clearer later)
- First statement after `::` used as guard
- `-> command` can be omitted
- (`->` overloaded, see conditional expressions)

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Command Line Execution

Trace with values of local variables

```
> spin -p -l gcd.pml
> spin --help
```

Guarded Commands: Repetition

```
active proctype P() { /* computes gcd */
  int a = 15, b = 20;
  do
    :: a > b -> a = a - b
    :: b > a -> b = b - a
    :: a == b -> break
  od
}
```

Observations

- Any alternative whose guard is true is randomly selected
- Only way to exit loop is via **break** or **goto**
- When no guard true: loop blocks until one becomes true

Counting Loops

Counting loops can be realized with **break** after termination condition

```
#define N 10 /* C-style preprocessing */

active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  ...
  do
    :: i > N -> break                    /* test */
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++ /* body,increase */
  od
  ...
}
```

Counting Loops

Counting loops can be realized with **break** after termination condition

```
#define N 10 /* C-style preprocessing */

active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  ...
  do
    :: i > N -> break                    /* test */
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++ /* body,increase */
  od
  ...
}
```

Observations

- Don't forget **else**, otherwise strange behaviour

For-loops

Since SPIN 6, support for native `for`-loops.

```
byte i;
for (i : 1..10) {
  /* loop body */
}
```

For-loops

Since SPIN 6, support for native `for`-loops.

```
byte i;
for (i : 1..10) {
  /* loop body */
}
```

Internally translated to:

```
byte i;
i = 1;
do
  :: i <= 10 ->
     /* loop body */
     i++
  :: else -> break
od
}
```

Awareness of translation helps when analyzing runs and interleavings.

Arrays

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a[5]; /* declare + initialize byte array a */
  a[0]=0; a[1]=10; a[2]=20; a[3]=30; a[4]=40;
  byte sum = 0, i = 0;
  do
    :: i > N-1 -> break
    :: else     -> sum = sum + a[i]; i++
  od
}
```

Arrays

```
active proctype P() {
  byte a[5]; /* declare + initialize byte array a */
  a[0]=0; a[1]=10; a[2]=20; a[3]=30; a[4]=40;
  byte sum = 0, i = 0;
  do
    :: i > N-1 -> break
    :: else     -> sum = sum + a[i]; i++
  od
}
```

Observations

- Arrays are scalar types: a and b always different arrays
- Array bounds are constant and cannot be changed
- Only one-dimensional arrays (there is an ugly workaround)

Record Types

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE D;
  D.day = 23; D.month = 5; D.year = 67
}
```

Record Types

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE D;
  D.day = 23; D.month = 5; D.year = 67
}
```

Observations

- may include previously declared record types, but no self-references

Record Types

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE D;
  D.day = 23; D.month = 5; D.year = 67
}
```

Observations

- may include previously declared record types, but no self-references
- Can be used to realize multi-dimensional arrays:

```
typedef VECTOR {
  int vector[10]
}
VECTOR matrix[5]; /* base type array in record */
matrix[3].vector[6] = 17;
```

Jumps

```
#define N 10
active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  do
    :: i > N -> goto exitloop
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++
  od;
exitloop:
  printf("End of loop")
}
```

Jumps

```
#define N 10
active proctype P() {
  int sum = 0; byte i = 1;
  do
    :: i > N -> goto exitloop
    :: else -> sum = sum + i; i++
  od;
exitloop:
  printf("End of loop")
}
```

Observations

- Jumps allowed only within a process
- Labels must be unique for a process
- Can't place labels in front of guards (inside alternative ok)
- Easy to write messy code with goto

Inlining Code

PROMELA has no method or procedure calls

Inlining Code

PROMELA has no method or procedure calls

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
inline setDate(D, DD, MM, YY) {
  D.day = DD; D.month = MM; D.year = YY
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE d;
  setDate(d,1,7,62)
}
```

Inlining Code

PROMELA has no method or procedure calls

```
typedef DATE {
  byte day, month, year;
}
inline setDate(D, DD, MM, YY) {
  D.day = DD; D.month = MM; D.year = YY
}
active proctype P() {
  DATE d;
  setDate(d,1,7,62)
}
```

- macro-like abbreviation mechanism for code that occurs multiply
- **inline** creates new scope for locally declared variables[a]
- but initializers moved outside the **inline** ⇒ use with care

[a] since SPIN 6, see [Ben-Ari, Supplementary Material on SPIN 6]

Non-Deterministic Programs

Deterministic PROMELA programs are trivial

Assume PROMELA program with one process and no overlapping guards

- All variables are (implicitly or explictly) initialized
- No user input possible
- Each state is either blocking or has exactly one successor state

Such a program has exactly one possible computation!

Non-Deterministic Programs

Deterministic PROMELA programs are trivial

Assume PROMELA program with one process and no overlapping guards

- All variables are (implicitly or explictly) initialized
- No user input possible
- Each state is either blocking or has exactly one successor state

Such a program has exactly one possible computation!

Non-trivial PROMELA programs are non-deterministic!

Possible sources of non-determinism

1. Non-deterministic choice of alternatives with overlapping guards
2. Scheduling of concurrent processes

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values

```
byte x;
if
  :: x = 1
  :: x = 2
  :: x = 3
  :: x = 4
fi
```

Observations

- assignment statement used as guard
  - assignment statements (here used as guards) always succeed
  - side effect of guard is desired effect of this alternative
- selects non-deterministically a value in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ for x

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values Cont'd

Generation of values from explicit list impractical for large range

Non-Deterministic Generation of Values Cont'd

Generation of values from explicit list impractical for large range

```
#define LOW  0
#define HIGH 9
byte x = LOW;
do
  :: x < HIGH -> x++
  :: break
od
```

Observations

- In each iteration, equal chance for increase of `range` and loop exit
- Chance of generating n in random simulation is $2^{-(n+1)}$
  - Obtain no representative test cases from random simulation!
  - OK for verification, because all computations are generated

Select construct

Since SPIN 6, support for native `select` operator.

```
select(row : 1..8)
```

Select construct

Since SPIN 6, support for native `select` operator.

```
select(row : 1..8)
```

Internally translated to:

```
row = 1;
do
:: row < 8 -> row++
:: break
od
```

Awareness of translation helps when analyzing runs and interleavings.

Sources of Non-Determinism

1. Non-deterministic choice of alternatives with overlapping guards
2. Scheduling of concurrent processes

Concurrent Processes

```
active proctype P() {
  printf("Process␣P,␣statement␣1\n");
  printf("Process␣P,␣statement␣2\n")
}

active proctype Q() {
  printf("Process␣Q,␣statement␣1\n");
  printf("Process␣Q,␣statement␣2\n")
}
```

Observations

- Can declare more than one process (need unique identifier)
- At most 255 processes

Execution of Concurrent Processes

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of two processes

```
> spin interleave.pml
```

Execution of Concurrent Processes

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of two processes

```
> spin interleave.pml
```

Observations

- Scheduling of concurrent processes 'on one processor'
- Scheduler randomly selects process to make next step
- Many different computations are possible: non-determinism
- Use `-p`/`-g`/`-l` options to see more execution details

Sets of Processes

```
active [2] proctype P() {
  printf("Process␣%d,␣statement␣1\n", _pid);
  printf("Process␣%d,␣statement␣2\n", _pid)
}
```

Observations

- Can declare set of identical processes
- Current process identified with reserved variable `_pid`
- Each process can have its own local variables

Sets of Processes

```
active [2] proctype P() {
  printf("Process␣%d,␣statement␣1\n", _pid);
  printf("Process␣%d,␣statement␣2\n", _pid)
}
```

Observations

- Can declare set of identical processes
- Current process identified with reserved variable `_pid`
- Each process can have its own local variables

Command Line Execution

Random simulation of set of two processes

```
> spin interleave_set.pml
```

Promela Computations

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2   byte n;
3   n = 1;
4   n = 2
5 }
```

Promela Computations

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2   byte n;
3   n = 1;
4   n = 2
5 }
```

One possible computation ('run') of this program



Notation

- Program pointer for each process in upper compartment
- Value of local `n` for each process in lower compartment

Promela Computations

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2   byte n;
3   n = 1;
4   n = 2
5 }
```

One possible computation ('run') of this program



Notation

- Program pointer for each process in upper compartment
- Value of local `n` for each process in lower compartment

Computations are either infinite or terminating or blocking

Interleaving

Can represent possible interleavings in a DAG

```
1 active [2] proctype P() {
2   byte n;
3   n = 1;
4   n = 2
5 }
```



Atomicity

At which granularity of execution can interleaving occur?

Definition (Atomicity)

An expression or statement of a process that is executed entirely without the possibility of interleaving is called atomic.

Atomicity

At which granularity of execution can interleaving occur?

Definition (Atomicity)

An expression or statement of a process that is executed entirely without the possibility of interleaving is called atomic.

Atomicity in PROMELA

- Assignments, jumps, skip, and expressions are atomic
  - In particular, conditional expressions are atomic:

    `(p -> q : r)`, C-style syntax, brackets required
- Guarded commands?

Atomicity Cont'd

```
int a,b,c;

active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
}
```

Atomicity Cont'd

```
int a,b,c;

active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
}

active proctype Q() { a = 0 }
```

Atomicity Cont'd

```
int a,b,c;

active proctype P() {
  a = 1; b = 1; c = 1;
  if
    :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
    :: else -> c = b
  fi
}

active proctype Q() { a = 0 }
```

Variables declared outside **proctype** are global.

Command Line Execution

Particular interleaving enforced by interactive simulation

```
> spin -p -g -i zero.pml
```

Atomicity Cont'd

Atomicity in PROMELA

- Alternatives in guarded commands are not atomic

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

```
c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
```

Atomicity Cont'd

Atomicity in PROMELA

- Alternatives in guarded commands are not atomic

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

```
c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
```

2. Put code inside **atomic** (but potentally unfaithful model):

```
atomic {
if
  :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
  :: else -> c = b
fi
}
```

Atomicity Cont'd

Atomicity in PROMELA

- Alternatives in guarded commands are not atomic

How to prevent interleaving?

1. Consider to use expression instead of selection statement:

```
c = (a != 0 -> (b / a): b)
```

2. Put code inside **atomic** (but potentally unfaithful model):

```
atomic {
if
   :: a != 0 -> c = b / a
   :: else -> c = b
fi
}
```

Remark: Blocking statement in **atomic** may lead to interleaving (Lect. "Concurrency")

Usage Scenario of PROMELA

1. Model the essential features of a system in PROMELA
   - abstract away, or simplify, complex (numeric) computations
     - make use of non-deterministic choice
   - replace unbound data structures with fixed size date structures
   - replace large variety by small variety

2. Select properties that the PROMELA model must satisfy
   - Generic Properties (discussed in later lectures)
     - Mutual exclusion for access to critical resources
     - Absence of deadlock
     - Absence of starvation
   - Specific Properties

Formalisation with PROMELA



Formalisation with PROMELA



Formalisation with PROMELA



Formalisation with PROMELA Abstraction



Formalisation with PROMELA Abstraction



Formalisation with PROMELA



Formalisation with PROMELA



Usage Scenario of PROMELA Cont'd

1. Model the essential features of a system in PROMELA
   - abstract away from complex (numerical) computations
     - make use of non-deterministic choice
   - replace unbound data structures with fixed size date structures
   - replace large variety by small variety
2. Select properties that the PROMELA model must satisfy
   - Mutal exclusion for access to critical resources
   - Absence of deadlock
   - Absence of starvation
   - Event sequences (e.g., system responsiveness)
3. Verify that all possible runs of PROMELA model satisfy properties
   - Typically, need many iterations to get model and properties right
   - Failed verification attempts provide feedback via counter examples

Verification: Work Flow (Simplified)

PROMELA Program

Properties



Literature for this Lecture

Ben-Ari Chapter 1, Sections 3.1–3.3, 3.5, 4.6, Chapter 6

Ben-Ari-sup Supplementary Material on SPIN Version 6

Spin Reference card

jspin User manual, file `doc/jspin-user.pdf` in distribution