## Advanced Algorithms Course. Lecture Notes. Part 2

## Set Cover

This is a very fundamental problem abstracted from a variety of applications. Given a set U of n elements, and m subsets  $S_i$  of U with weights  $w_i$ , find a set cover with minimal total weight. A set cover is a selection from the sets  $S_i$  whose union is still the whole of U. The Set Cover problem is NP-complete, as it generalizes the Vertex Cover problem. (You should be able to give a polynomial-time reduction from Vertex Cover.) Therefore we try again some greedy approximation algorithm.

A natural greedy rule is to successively add sets  $S_i$  to the solution, that cover as many new elements as possible per unit of weight. More formally: Let R denote the set of yet uncovered elements, initially R := U. In every step we put some  $S_i$  with minimal  $w_i/|S_i \cap R|$  in the solution, until  $R = \emptyset$ .

The natural the algorithm is, deriving a good bound for its approximation ratio is not so trivial. For the following analysis we use the so-called Harmonic sum. It is defined as  $H(n) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/i$ . Asymptotically it behaves roughly as  $\ln n$ . (But note that H(n) is quite different from  $\ln n$  for small n.) Let C be the greedy solution, and  $C^*$  an optimal set cover, with weight  $w^*$ .

The key idea of the analysis is to "charge" the covered elements as follows. Let us define  $c_s := w_i/|S_i \cap R|$  for each  $s \in S_i \cap R$ . Intuitively,  $c_s$  is the cost paid by the element s for being covered: The total costs  $w_i$  for the step are shared between the newly covered elements. The weight of the greedy solution C obviously equals the sum of these costs:  $\sum_{s \in U} c_s = \sum_{S_i \in C} w_i$ .

Now consider any set  $S_k = \{s_1, \ldots, s_d\}$ , where the elements of  $S_k$  are sorted in the order they are covered by the greedy algorithm. We study how much is paid by the elements of  $S_k$ . Just before an element  $s_j$  is covered we have  $|S_k \cap R| \ge d - j + 1$ , hence  $w_k/|S_k \cap R| \le w_k/(d - j + 1)$ . Let  $S_i$  be the set that covers this  $s_j$  in the greedy algorithm. Since the

algorithm always picks an  $S_i$  with minimum weight-per-element ratio, this means  $w_i/|S_i \cap R| \le w_k/|S_k \cap R| \le w_k/(d-j+1)$ . Summation of all element costs in  $S_k$  now yields  $\sum_{s \in S_k} c_s \le H(|S_k|)w_k$ .

Finally, if d denotes the maximum size of the sets  $S_i$ , the previous inequality becomes  $H(d)w_i \geq \sum_{s \in S_i} c_s$  for each i. We also use the trivial inequality  $\sum_{S_i \in C^*} \sum_{s \in S_i} c_s \geq \sum_{s \in U} c_s$ . Now we can put things together:  $H(d)w^* = H(d)\sum_{S_i \in C^*} w_i \geq \sum_{S_i \in C^*} \sum_{s \in S_i} c_s \geq \sum_{s \in U} c_s = \sum_{S_i \in C} w_i$ .

This shows that the greedy algorithm has an approximation ratio  $H(d) \approx \ln d$ . It may be disappointing that the ratio is not constant and grows with d. But it grows only logarithmically, it is constant when the size d is fixed (a frequent case in applications), and ratio H(d) is also the best possible for any polynomial Set Cover algorithm. (The latter fact is very hard to prove. Such hardness-of-approximation results are far beyond the reach of this course. But we mention the fact for your information.)

## Weighted Vertex Cover - The Pricing Method

We are given a graph G = (V, E), where we index the nodes by integers, that is,  $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , and node i has a weight  $w_i$ . The problem is to find a vertex cover of minimum weight. (A vertex cover is a subset of nodes that intersects all edges.) This problem is a special case of Weighted Set Cover (why?). Thus we can apply the previous H(d)-approximation, where the maximum node degree takes over the role of d. But, luckily, we can obtain a better approximation ratio. It will be the constant 2. This is not only a nice result as such, but also the method we present is of more general relevance in Optimization. Again we use prices, but now already in the algorithm itself, not only in the analysis. The technique is called the pricing method, or primal-dual method, because the given "primal" problem is attacked using some "dual" problem (see below).

Every edge e will pay a price  $p_e \ge 0$  for being covered. We will set these prices later. Consider any vertex cover S. We say that the prices are fair if  $\sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e \le w_i$  for all nodes  $i \in S$ . That is, the payments of all edges incident to i do not exceed the weight of i. If prices are fair, we clearly have  $\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e \le w(S)$ . Since S is a vertex cover, every edge appears at least once in this sum, thus  $\sum_{e \in E} p_e \le \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e \le w(S)$ . This inequality says that the sum of (any) fair prices is a lower bound on the cost of any vertex cover, in particular, for the cost of an optimal vertex cover.

Thus, instead of tackling the problem directly, we may construct prices that are fair but as large as possible (this is going to be our "dual" problem)

and then construct somehow a cheap vertex cover from these fair prices. In fact, this is easier than one might expect:

We call a node i tight if  $\sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e = w_i$ . Initially let all  $p_e = 0$ . Now we take some e without tight endnodes and simply raise  $p_e$  until one endnode is tight. This step is repeated as long as possible. After that, let S be the set of tight nodes.

This was the algorithm! Clearly S is a vertex cover, otherwise we could do more steps. Moreover,  $\sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e = w_i$  for all  $i \in S$ , by definition of S. Summation over  $i \in S$  gives  $\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{e=(i,j)} p_e = w(S)$ . Every edge e appears at most twice in this sum, hence  $w(S) \leq 2 \sum_{e \in E} p_e$ . This shows that w(S) has at most twice the weight of an optimal vertex cover.

Final remark: In the unweighted case, when  $w_i = 1$  for all nodes i, the edges with positive prices  $p_e = 1$  form a maximal (i.e., non-extendible) matching in the graph, and the vertex cover consists of all nodes contained in the edges of this matching.